WFATT 2019World Congress X Tokyo
Abstract Submission Guidelines

Submission guidelines are in accordance to "NATA Research & Education Foundation Peer Reviewed Track Instructions"

CALL FOR ABSTRACTS for the WFATT 2019 World Congress X Tokyo
May 11-12, 2019
Makuhari Messe, Chiba-city, Chiba, Japan

DEADLINE FOR ABSTRACT SUBMISSION IS February 2nd 2019
All abstracts submitted for presentation must be submitted via email (jatoresearch@jato-trainer.org)

Accepted peer-reviewed abstract will be published in the Journal of Athletic Training (details TBA).

Instructions for Abstract Preparation and Submission

Please read all instructions before preparing and submitting the abstract. There are two categories of abstract submissions; **(1) peer reviewed track abstract and (2) non-peer reviewed track abstract submissions.** You must submit an original research or original case study for the peer reviewed track abstract submission. However, you can submit a non-original research or non-original case study (i.e. a research or case study that has been presented and/or published previously) for the non-peer reviewed track abstract. Accepted peer reviewed track abstracts will be published on the Journal of Athletic Training. Non-peer review track abstracts will be presented only for the purpose of information or knowledge exchanges among participants in the congress.

Individuals may submit only one **Original Research Abstract or Clinical Case Study Abstract** as the primary (presenting) author, but may submit unlimited abstracts as a secondary author. All abstracts will undergo blind review. For the peer reviewed track abstract, all presentations **must** be of original work (not previously presented or published). This restriction includes any electronic/internet postings.
The **Research Abstract** must be written to the accepted scientific standards of a research area and should present findings pertaining to healthcare issues related to the athletic training profession. The **Clinical Case Study Abstract** should present a unique individual athletic injury case of general interest to the athletic trainer population.

Submission Process

Please submit your abstract via email to jatoresearch@jato-trainer.org and indicate the followings on the email:

1. Research type (Basic Research, Survey Research, Meta-Analysis Research & Systematic Reviews, Qualitative Research, or Clinical Case Study)
2. Peer review track abstract or non-peer review track abstract submission
3. In the email subject line, applicants should write their information using the following formatting: Author last name, Peer or Non-peer review track, WFATT 2019. (i.e., Tanaka T, Peer review track, WFATT 2019).

Formatting Instructions

1. Prepare your abstract (on your computer) in accordance with the following instructions.
2. Top, bottom, right, and left margins of the body of the abstract (in a WORD file) should be set at 1" using the standard 8.5" x 11" format. Use either Arial or Helvetica 12pt. font with single spacing. Provide the title of the paper or project starting at the top left margin.
3. On the next line, indent 3 spaces and provide the names of all authors, with the author who will make the presentation listed first. Enter the last name, then initials (without periods), followed by a comma, and continue the same format for all secondary authors (if any), ending with a colon.
4. On the same line following the colon, indicate the name of the institution (including the city and state) where the research was conducted. If primary author is not at the institution where the work was completed place an * after their name and following the institution where the research was conducted the primary author can indicate their present institution (including the city and state). For collaborative projects where portions of the project were conducted at different institutions, list all authors as described above (#3),then list institutional affiliations using the following consecutive symbols (*, †, ‡, §, ?, ¶,#, **, etc.)
5. Double space and begin entering the body of the abstract flush left in a single paragraph with no indentions. **The text of the body must be structured** (with the headings as indicated in the various formats below). Do not justify the right margin. **Do not include tables or figures.** The body of the abstract for Original Research is limited to 450 words. **The body of the abstract for a Clinical Case Study is limited to 600 words**. A word count generated by MS Word must be included at the bottom of the abstract. The word count should include the body of the abstract and structured headings.
6. The required formats for the structured abstracts are listed below. For further clarification, authors should consult the AMA Manual of Style 9th edition and the instructions for authors in the Journal of Athletic Training.
7. Abstracts fall into one of the following 5 categories; the author is responsible for determining the most applicable category for structuring their abstract. Each is provided with examples where applicable but the examples are not all encompassing and some may overlap. Authors should choose the format that seems to best fit and present their data or case study.

i. **Basic Research**

a. •Basic Sciences (e.g. muscle tissue biopsy, EMG, etc.)
b. •Epidemiology (e.g. cohort, case-control, intervention, clinical trial)
c. •Biomechanics (e.g. motion analysis, jump landing characteristics)

ii. **Survey Research**
a. •Instrument development (e.g. validation and reliability, psychometrics)
b. •Cross-sectional survey (e.g. paper, web-based, or interview questionnaires)

iii. **Meta-Analysis Research & Systematic Reviews**
a. •Meta-analysis (e.g. review and analysis of ACL clinical trials)
b. •Systematic Review (e.g. review of all clinical trials of the ACL without analysis)

iv. **Qualitative Research**
a. •Research using qualitative techniques (e.g. interviews or direct observation, etc.)

v. **Clinical Case Study/Report Abstracts**
a. •Report of a Single Patient Case (e.g. snake bites football player)

Review Criteria for All Original Research Abstracts

- Completeness of requested information in each structured heading.
- Overall clarity of writing
- Originality of research and or contribution to the literature or knowledgebase
- Methods and results address the primary objective
- Consistency between data and conclusions
- Adequacy of sample size to support conclusions

Review Criteria for All Clinical Case Study Abstracts

- MUST PROVIDE Patient Release of Information Form (retain in your files until requested)
- Completeness of requested information in each structured heading.
- Overall clarity of writing
- Originality of clinical case report
- Case managed within the standard of care

Format for Basic Research Abstracts

The Title of your Abstract Bolded and in Title Case

[3 spaces] Doe JT*, Public JQ†: *First Author's Institution Name, †Second Author's Institution.

[Blank Line] [Blank Line]

Context: Write a sentence or two summarizing the rationale for the study, providing a reason for the study question and/or uniqueness of study.

Objective: State the precise objective(s) or question(s) addressed in the report, including a priori hypotheses if applicable.

Design: Describe the overall study design of the project reported (e.g., randomized controlled trial, crossover trial, cohort or cross-sectional). Setting: Describe the environment in which the study was conducted to help readers understand the transferability of the findings, (e.g., patient clinic, research laboratory or field).

Patients or Other Participants: Describe the underlying target population, selection procedures (e.g., population based sample, volunteer sample or convenience sample) and important aspects of the final subject pool (e.g., number, average age, weight, height and measures of variance, years of experience or gender). Appropriate sample size should be evident.
Interventions: Interventions are the independent variables in the study. Describe the essential pieces of the experimental methods, types of materials, measurements and instrumentation utilized, data analysis procedures and statistical tests employed. Provide validity and reliability information on novel instrumentation.
Main Outcome Measures: Clearly identify primary or critical dependent variables that support the primary objective(s) of the study. Indicate the statistical analysis employed to answer the primary research objective(s).
Results: The main results of the study should be given. Comparative reports must* include descriptive data (e.g., proportions, means, rates, odds ratios or correlations), accompanying measures of dispersion (e.g., ranges, standard deviations or confidence intervals) and inferential statistical data. Results should be accompanied by the exact level of statistical significance. The P value should not exceed 3 digits to the right of decimal. When the exact significance is below P < .001, the exact significance should be reported as P < .001.
Conclusions: Summarize or emphasize the new and important findings of the study. The conclusion must be consistent with the study objectives and results as reported and should be no more than three to four sentences. If possible, relate implications of the findings for clinical practice.
Word Count: Limited to 450 words including headings.

**The purpose of having both descriptive and inferential data is that it provides the reader with the ability to judge the concluding statements. Descriptive data provides confidence that the data are 'reliable' and provides a gauge to determine whether the inferential statistics and conclusions are meaningful. Studies reporting analysis of larger data bases with multiple variables do not need to report all descriptive data, but should provide descriptive data for those variables which the author(s) believe to be the primary outcome(s) and support the overall conclusions of the study.*

Format for Survey Research Abstracts

The Title of your Abstract Bolded and in Title Case
[3 spaces] Doe JT*, Public JQ†: *First Author's Institution Name, †Second Author's Institution.
[Blank Line] [Blank Line]
Context: Write a sentence or two summarizing the rationale for the study, providing a reason for the study question. Strong > Objective: State the precise objective(s), purpose or question(s) addressed in the report.
Design: Describe the overall study design of the project reported (e.g., cross sectional, case-control, longitudinal or controlled intervention trial). **Setting**: Describe the environment in which the study was conducted to help readers understand the transferability of the findings, (e.g., population-based, patient clinic, classroom or athletic event).
Patients or Other Participants: Describe the underlying target population, sample selection procedures (e.g., population based, volunteer or convenience sample, random or systematic sample, or stratified or cluster sampling) and important aspects of the final subject pool (e.g., number, average age, years of experience or gender). Provide the final response rate.
Interventions: Interventions are the independent variables in the study. Describe the essential pieces of the experimental methods, the mode of survey administration (e.g., in-person interview, telephone, self- administered, online or computer-assisted), details of the survey development (formative research or pre-testing for new instruments), execution and data collection process, and instruments utilized. Provide validity and reliability information for all new instruments.

Main Outcome Measures: Clearly identify primary or critical dependent variables that support the primary objective(s) of the study. Describe how any data was manipulated (e.g. scoring process for scaled instruments or categorization of variables). Indicate the data and statistical analysis employed to answer the primary research objective(s).
Results: The main results of the study should be given. Reports must* include descriptive data (e.g., proportions, means, rates, odds ratios or correlations), accompanying measures of dispersion (e.g., ranges, standard deviations or confidence intervals) and inferential statistical data. Results should be accompanied by the exact level of statistical significance. The *P* value should not exceed 3 digits to the right of decimal. When the exact significance is below $P < .001$, the exact significance should be reported as $P < .001$.
Conclusions: Summarize or emphasize the new and important findings of the study and relate implications of the findings for clinical practice. The statement of your findings must be consistent with the results as reported and should be no more than three to four sentences.
Word Count: Limited to 450 words including headings.

** The purpose of having both descriptive and inferential data is that it provides the reader with the ability to judge the concluding statements. Descriptive data provides confidence that the data are 'reliable' and provides a gauge to determine whether the inferential statistics and conclusions are meaningful. Studies reporting analysis of larger data bases with multiple variables do not need to report all descriptive data, but should provide descriptive data for those variables which the author(s) believe to be the primary outcome(s) and support the overall conclusions of the study.*

Format for Meta-Analysis and Systematic Reviews

The Title of your Abstract Bolded and in Title Case
*[3 spaces]*Doe JT*, Public JQ†: *First Author's Institution Name, †Second Author's Institution.
[Blank Line] [Blank Line]
Context: Write a sentence or two summarizing the rationale for the study, providing a reason for the study question.
Objective: State the precise objective(s) or question(s) addressed in the report, including a priori hypotheses if applicable.
Data Sources: Identify how relevant research papers were identified – include databases and timeframe, key words and search limits.
Study Selection: Describe the processes through which studies were selected for inclusion for further analysis.
Data Extraction: Identify the number of investigators, the descriptive and measurement data obtained and if and how the quality of study methods was evaluated.
Data Synthesis: Describe how the data were organized, the statistical procedures applied (during assessment of heterogeneity) and the results (e.g., effect sizes, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals) of the analysis.
Conclusions: Summarize or emphasize the new and important findings of the study and relate implications of the findings for future research and/or for clinical practice and offer an indication as to the strength of the evidence provided. The statement of your findings must be consistent with the results as reported.
Word Count: Limited to 450 words including headings.

Format for Qualitative Research Abstracts

The Title of your Abstract Bolded and in Title Case
[3 spaces] Doe JT*, Public JQ†: *First Author's Institution Name, †Second Author's Institution.
[Blank Line] [Blank Line]
Context: Briefly explain the rationale for the study–provide a background for the study question.
Objective: State the precise objective(s) or question(s) addressed in the report.

Design: Describe the overall study design of the project reported (e.g., case study, phenomenology or grounded theory).
Setting: Describe the environment in which the study was conducted to help readers understand the transferability of the findings, (e.g., clinical setting or educational institution).
Patients or Other Participants: Describe the underlying target population, selection procedures and important aspects of the final subject pool (e.g., number, average age and measures of variance, years of experience or gender). Describe the essential pieces of the sampling methods (e.g., theoretical sampling and criterion sampling). Comment on why this number of participants was used (e.g., data saturation guided the total number of participants selected for the study).
Data Collection and Analysis: Describe how the data were collected (e.g., interviews, observations or document analysis), managed (e.g., interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim; identify if software was utilized) and analyzed (e.g., the interviews were analyzed using an inductive content analysis). Include intercoder agreement information if relevant to the study. Identify any verification strategies used to ensure trustworthiness (e.g., indicate form of triangulation, or use of peer debriefed).
Results: A short descriptive account of the case or the interpretation of the findings should be provided. This should include identifying and briefly explaining the emergent categories of themes.
Conclusions: Summarize or emphasize the new and important findings of the study and relate implications of the findings for future research and/or for clinical practice. The statement of your findings must be consistent with the results as reported and should be no more than five sentences.
Word Count: Limited to 450 words including headings.

Format for Clinical Case Study Abstracts

NOTE: There are four types of CASE study abstracts. Levels 1-3 are submitted in one format and Level 4 is submitted in a different format.

Table. Comparison of types of CASE report/study based on terminology and research design

Traditional Terminology	New Terminology*	Abstract Format (see guidelines on following pages)
Case Study	Level 1 Validation CASE Study	Level 1-3 Clinical CASE Study Abstract Guidelines
Case Study	Level 2 Exploration CASE Study/Series	Level 1-3 Clinical CASE Study Abstract Guidelines
Case Study	Level 3 Exploration CASE Study/Series	Level 1-3 Clinical CASE Study Abstract Guidelines
Case Report	Level 4 Rare Events CASE Study	Level 4 Clinical CASE Study Abstract Guidelines

*The level of the clinical case should be indicated in the abstract body and/or title to facilitate the review process.

Authors are encouraged to review the following references to determine the Level of case study they are submitting:

i. McKeon JMM, King MA, McKeon PO. Clinical Contributions to the Available Sources of Evidence (CASE) Reports: Executive Summary. J Athl Train. 2016; 51(7): 581-585.
ii. McKeon JMM, McKeon PO. Evidence-based practice or practice-based evidence: what's in a name? Int J Athl Ther Train. 2015; 20(4):1-4.

iii. McKeon JMM, McKeon PO. New Year, a new set of guidelines for making clinical contributions to the available sources of evidence. Int J Athl Ther Train. 2016; 21(1): 1-4.
iv. McKeon JMM, McKeon PO. Building a case for case studies. Int J Athl Ther Train. 2015; 20(5):1-5.

Level 1-3 Clinical CASE Study Abstract Guidelines

The Title of your Abstract Bolded and in Title Case

[3 spaces]Doe JT*, Public JQ†: *First Author's Institution Name, †Second Author's Institution.
[Blank Line]
[Blank Line]

Background: Provide an overview of the condition of interest using available evidence, where appropriate. Indicate the level of the clinical CASE Study. For a Level 1 validation CASE study, the authors should provide a clear description of the previously reported comparison study and highlight the most important findings. For Level 2 & 3 exploration case studies/ series, introduce the alternate, unique, or irregular presentation of the case examined compared to the available evidence.

Patient: Present the clinical case(s), including primary patient characteristics (age, sex, sport if appropriate, sport or activity, and years of experience) and diagnosis. For a case series, describe the underlying target population with measures of means and variance and important aspects of the subject pool. Pertinent aspects of the medical history should be included. Describe their complaints, MOI, initial clinical examination, diagnostic imaging, lab tests, and their commonality (examples: characteristic, injury, postural/gait abnormality, pathology, MOI). Describe the process that led to the diagnosis of the condition.

Intervention or Treatment: Describe the management of the case, interventions used, the timeline for progression to final resolution in the case, and the specific time points when treatment was provided.

Relevant and unique details should be included. For level 2 or 3 case studies/series, compare and contrast the interventions used with the typical presentation of the condition as described in the literature.

Outcomes or other Comparisons: Describe the primary outcomes or results of the case. For Level 1 CASE studies, compare and contrast the outcome from the current case to the outcome of the previously reported comparison study. Compare / contrast the outcomes used in the Level 2 or Level 3 Exploration CASE Studies / CASE Series with the typical presentation of the condition as previously described. For Case Series, report whether all patients responded similarly to each other. For this, it is important to ensure that similar outcome measures were used.

Conclusions: Interpret the findings of the study. For Level 1 CASE studies, discuss the current case in the context with the previously reported comparison study including the similarities and differences in the patient and outcomes. Discuss challenges associated with implementing the intervention from the comparison study “in real life” and provide recommendations for continued use of the assessment or intervention. For Level 2 & 3 case studies/series, discuss the challenges associated with the case due to the atypical presentation and provide recommendations for clinical practice.

Clinical Bottom Line: Provide an overall statement of the most important clinical points that can be gleaned from the current CASE study.

Word count: Limited to 600 words including headings.

Level 4 Clinical CASE Study Abstract Guidelines

The Title of your Abstract Bolded and in Title Case

[3 spaces]Doe JT*, Public JQ†: *First Author's Institution Name, †Second Author's Institution.
[Blank Line]
[Blank Line]

Background: Include the individual's age, sex, sport or activity, pertinent aspects of their medical history, a brief history of their complaint and physical findings from the athletic trainer's examination.
Differential Diagnosis: Include all possible diagnoses suspected based on the history, mechanism of injury, and the initial clinical examination prior to physician evaluation and subsequent diagnostic imaging and laboratory tests.
Treatment: Include the physician's evaluation and state the results of diagnostic imaging and laboratory results if performed. The final diagnosis of the injury or condition and subsequent treatment and clinical course followed should be clearly detailed. Relevant and unique details should be included, as well as the final outcome of the case.
Uniqueness: Briefly describe the uniqueness of this case such as its mechanism, incidence rate, evaluate findings, rehabilitation, or predisposing factors.
Conclusions: Include a concise summary of the case as reported and highlight the case's importance to the athletic training profession and provide the reader with a clinical learning opportunity.
Word Count: Limited to 600 words including headings.

【Acceptable Abbreviations】

ACL	Anterior Cruciate Ligament
ADL	Activities of Daily Living
AROM	Active Range of Motion
BESS	Balance Error Scoring System
BOC	Board of Certification
CAATE	Commission on Accreditation of Athletic Training Education
CAI	Chronic Ankle Instability
CNS	Central Nervous System
CT	Computed Tomography
DVT	Deep Vein Thrombosis
EMG	Electromyography
FMS	Functional Movement Screen
HRQL	Health Related Quality of Life
LCL	Lateral Collateral Ligament
LESS	Landing Error Scoring System
MCL	Medial Collateral Ligament
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NWB	Non-Weight Bearing
PCL	Posterior Cruciate Ligament
PFP	Patellofemoral Pain
ROM	Range of Motion
RROM	Resistive Range of Motion
SEBT	Star Excursion Balance Test