IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR THE COUNTY OF POLK

Coral Anika Theill, ) aka, Kathryn Warner, ) aka Kathryn Hall ) ) Plaintiff, ) JUDICIAL NOTICE ) . vs. ) ) Case No. 95P-20693 ) State of Oregon, Polk County ) District Attorney ) ) Defendant. )

My name is Coral Anika Theill. I am the plaintiff in Case No. 95P-20693. Judge Campbell signed an Order for Relief from Judgment and an Order of Default in my case on September 22, 2017.

As documented in my Declaration filed August 18, 2017, I am disabled and live under poverty level. This case originated in 1995 when I sought safety and reported crimes that had been committed in our home against me and my children.

I sought safety to save my life and to protect my children from further abuse.  [Affidavits by friends, neighbors, physicians/counselors and my ex-husband’s co-workers are included in the court file.] Leaving a family system that condones domestic violence, rape, the molestation and rape of children, [psychological murder](https://owlcation.com/social-sciences/Psychological-Murder), coercive control, [spiritual and ritual abuse](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/spiritual-abuse) [cults] was my only **safe and sane choice**.

I have had no attorney since 1997 due to poverty. I have been involved in 45 court related hearings due to this case. Ongoing court trauma pushed me to homelessness, poverty and further health problems. Since I am living on social security, child support arrears of $3,815.74 will be garnished from my social security check causing further poverty and lack of adequate food and health requirements.

My ex-husband, V. Martin (Marty) Warner, the “payee,” owns a home and 12 acres in Independence, Oregon worth approximately $500,000 and works as an engineer for the City of Monmouth, in the Public Works department and collects social security income. I have lived under a state address protection program from Mr. V. Martin (Marty) Warner since 1999 due to being a victim of domestic violence, rape and threats from Mr. Warner. I was disabled at the time of the original child support judgment of 1999.

On September 25, 2017, my friend, Judy Bennett, Monmouth, Oregon picked up copies of the signed orders from the Polk County Clerk’s office and delivered them to Deputy District Attorney John Adams on my behalf. I had properly served Deputy District Attorney John Adams via priority mail on August 17, 2017. On the same day (August 17, 2017) Deputy District Attorney John Adams sent back all the court papers to me, including a copy of the Certificate of Mailing, my Motion for Relief from Judgment, Declaration and Order for Relief from Judgment with his signed letter (attached) saying they were “unsolicited”:

*"Dear Ms. Theill: We are returning the enclosed 23 pages to you. Our office cannot file your pleadings with the court on your behalf. We do not represent you and cannot provide legal advice. Thank you for your understanding.” Sincerely, John Adams, Deputy District Attorney*

I relied on the available recommendations from court personnel to ensure that my filings were correct and lawful. I followed the guidelines and served the necessary parties.   The Polk County District Attorney’s office after receiving the appropriate paper work, served properly, returned my court papers. I relied on the timeliness of the court to then request a vacate. The Court signed the Order of Relief Judgment and Order of Default forty-two days after the filing.

Since September 22, 2017, with a lawful court order in hand, I have tried to remove the child support judgment of $3,815.74 but was unable to do so.

On Monday, September 25, 2017, Deputy District Attorney John Adams called me and said he did not “understand” the court papers he received so he sent them back to me. He asked me if I objected to him filing a Motion to Set Aside Order. I said that I agreed with the Court’s decision on September 22, 2017.

On Friday, September 29, 2017, Erin, from the Polk County District Attorney’s office left a phone message requesting my “address.” Judge Paula Brownhill agreed that I could give the District Attorney an address in Oregon that would serve as my mailing address due to my address protection status to protect me from the District Attorney’s client, Mr. V. Martin Warner. On Friday, October 6, 2017, the Polk County District Attorney sent a Polk County Sheriff to the home of my “legal address” in Monmouth, Oregon, searching for me. The District Attorney’s client is my abuser and rapist. It would compromise my safety for the Polk County District Attorney to know my address and whereabouts.

The District Attorney’s office treatment of this litigant has been anything but professional. In fact, I believe such actions could be construed as retaliatory as are other historical interactions with this office since 1998.  In an effort to cover up whatever error they made and malfeasance on their part they are claiming that the order was improperly served, which I do not agree.

The Polk County District Attorney is using county funds, their salaries at my expense. The Polk County District Attorney’s Office is publicly funded. Is it fiscally responsible to pursue a domestic violence and rape victim while costing the county tax payers and the litigant hundreds of thousands of dollars? At what point should I be demanding damages?

## The Polk County District Attorney’s office fails to recognize that as a protected victim the District Attorney’s office has as much a responsibility to me to ensure that my rights are not violated in the process, as they failed to pursue charges I filed against my ex-husband that gave my ex-husband the vehicle for filing child support in the first place. My ex-husband, Mr. V. Martin Warner, committed crimes against me during a period I was suffering from a breakdown (1993-1994) according to Oregon statutes and laws (Chapter 743, Oregon Laws 1971, 163.375. My ex-husband was embraced and rewarded in our judicial and religious system.

My eight children are now 22 years old to 38 years old. In addition to the $500.00 a month I paid in child support from 1997-2003, **I also supported my son, Aaron Warner, from 1997-2002 by spending nearly $15,000 in out-of-state college tuition, medical, housing, transportation, food and legal expenses**. He lived with me in 1997 and 2000-2001 while I was also paying my ex-husband child support for my son, Aaron Warner, (as well as all eight of my children). My child support was never lowered or adjusted during this time.

In 1998 I was disabled and unemployed with disability waivers from my physician. The District Attorney informed me that they would assist me in lowering the child support and/or dismissing it all together due to me being disabled. Unfortunately, the Polk County District Attorney dropped out of the case and did not represent me in the following court hearings regarding child support.

In 1997 my physicians diagnosed me with Complex Post Traumatic Stress and Post Traumatic Stress due to the marital rapes and separation from my children, including my nursing infant. The ongoing legal and financial abuse has compounded the trauma resulting in physical and emotional pain and distress.

In April 1999 a Polk County judge signed a Court order presented by my ex-husband’s attorney that demanded I pay twice of what I earned as a disabled person. I was not informed about this hearing so I was not in attendance. In the following years, the Polk County District Attorney supported my batterer and rapist, Mr. V. Martin Warner, in court due to the child support judgment.

In 2003 Judge Paula Brownhill signed a Court Order adding $2,000 of child support I had already paid. I spoke in court about this error in court and wrote a letter to Judge Brownhill, but I was dismissed. At this time, I was homeless, disabled and destitute. Twelve years later the state admitted their $2,000 error in this matter. In 2015, the record was changed. The $2,000 removed from the judgment, but I had been charged interest on this erroneous amount in a Polk County court hearing in 2006. Judge Paula Brownhill presided at a hearing I was unable to attend due to disabilities, poverty, and no representation. Judge Paula Brownhill denied me a phone hearing for this court hearing in October 2006 because I was disabled – “her words.” I received a judgment that I had been sued for interest on child support I had already paid.

I am a pro se litigant with disability rights protected by the ADA filing as indigent. I believe I should not be held to the same standard as the Deputy District Attorney. After speaking to several Polk County clerks and law librarians, I proceeded with the best information possible under the circumstances.

My case has been ongoing for 22 years [45 court related hearings] since seeking safety from my batterer and rapist, Mr. V. Martin Warner. Deputy District Attorney John Adams represents my ex-husband, V. Martin Warner of Independence, Oregon. Due to safety issues, I was under the Polk County District Attorney’s Protection Program in 1998. In 1999 I legally changed my name and entered a state address protection program. For more details please refer to my August 15, 2017 “Declaration” that I properly filed with the Court on August, 18, 2017.

Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Under Rule 201(b), a judicially noticed fact “must be one not subject to reasonable dispute” because it is either well known already or it can be easily looked up. In the parlance of the rule, the judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to “reasonable dispute in that [the fact] is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.”…facts which must be clarified, and reasonable dispute. White the court made a fair ruling, other parties look to overturn for reasons not of law or reason but that of retaliation making cruel and unusual the ongoing legal abuse.

Several of my friends, i.e, Judy Bennett and Glen Schmauder, have contacted my ex-husband, Mr. V. Martin Warner these past years asking him to sign a Satisfaction of Support Judgment, to withdraw his child support judgment against me of $3,815.74 and relieve me from further garnishment. I am disabled and live in poverty. A garnishment due to this past judgment from 1999 will cause great hardship for me. They were not successful. My ex-husband, Mr. V. Martin Warner, said he would never sign a Satisfaction Support Judgment, so I filed a Motion for Relief of Judgment in Polk County court August 2017.

I have included a letter of support from my counselor and mentor of twenty years, Barbara A. May, PhD, RN PMHP. Dr. May has filed numerous affidavits to the Court and the District Attorney regarding this case and my frail health since 2003. In the past, the court and the District Attorney have dismissed her concerns and my health was seriously compromised.

Many people are appalled, outraged and disgusted about this case, (**rape victim loses custody of her child(ren) to rapist and then is ordered to pay her rapist child support**), but are afraid to confront my abusers because of fear of reprisals. Law makers, attorneys, district attorneys, police and society suffers from “rape illiteracy.” There is manipulative rape committed by dates and husbands and intimate partners, not to mention fathers and uncles, babysitters and teachers. There is rape that is quietly coerced under threat, there is rape that is cooperated with in order to survive, there is culturally proscribed rape, and there is rape without physical force. It is all still rape. Many people, believe rape is justifiable, if the husband commits rape.

Even though our laws say differently, many prosecutors refuse to “enforce” them. Police informed me that a crime had been committed against me and that the laws are written to protect people from criminal acts being committed against them. Police informed me that a crime had been committed against me and that the laws are

It is confusing for victims when they are informed about written laws, but then see the criminals who committed the crimes suffer no consequences.

**Rape Count I** as described in Chapter 743, Oregon Laws 1971, 163.375:  Rape in the first degree. (1) A person who has sexual intercourse with another person commits the crime of rape in the first degree if: .... (d) The victim is incapable of consent by reason of mental defect, mental incapacitation or physical helplessness. (2) Rape in the first degree is a Class A felony. (1971 c.743 s.111; 1989 c.359 s.2; 1991 c.628 s.3) (3) "Mentally defective" means that a person suffers from a mental disease or defect that renders the person incapable of appraising the nature of the conduct of the person. (4) "Mentally incapacitated" means that a person is rendered incapable of appraising or controlling the conduct of the person at the time of the alleged offense because of the influence of a controlled or other intoxicating substance administered to the person without the consent of the person or because of any other act committed upon the person without the consent of the person. (5) "Physically helpless" means that a person is unconscious or for any other reason is physically unable to communicate unwillingness to an act. While the legal definition varies within the United States, marital rape can be defined as any unwanted intercourse or penetration (vaginal, anal or oral) obtained by force, threat of force, or when the wife is unable to consent (Bergen, 1996; Pagelow, 1984; Russell, 1990).

On July 5, 1993, marital rape became a crime in all 50 states, under at least one section of the sexual offense codes. In 17 states and the District of Columbia, there are no exemptions from rape prosecution granted to husbands. Oregon is one of the 17 states.

Dr. Raquel Bergen, in her document, “Marital Rape,” writes, “Despite the fact that marital rape has not been criminalized for long in the United States, it is clearly a serious form of violence against women and worthy of public attention. The research to date indicates that women who are raped by their husbands are likely to experience multiple assaults and often suffer long-term physical and emotional consequences.

Marital rape may be even more traumatic than rape by a stranger because a wife lives with her assailant and she may live in constant terror of another assault whether she is awake or asleep. Given the serious effects, there is clearly a need for those who come into contact with marital rape survivors to provide assistance and challenge the prevailing myth that rape by one’s spouse is inconsequential.

Dr. Bergen also writes, “In a study of battered women, Bowker (1983) found that they ranked clergy members as the least helpful of those to whom they had turned for assistance. The emphasis of some religious institutions on wives’ responsibility “to obey their husbands” and the sinfulness of women’s refusal to have sexual intercourse with their husbands, perpetuate the problem of marital rape.

Most researchers of marital rape agree that rape in marriage is an act of violence - an abuse of power by which a husband attempts to establish dominance and control over this wife. While the research thus far reveals no composite picture of a husband-rapist, these men are often portrayed as jealous, domineering individuals who feel a sense of entitlement to have sex with their “property.”

A Florida legislator who opposed criminalizing rape in marriage stated, "The state has absolutely no business intervening into the sexual relationship between a husband and a wife." In other words, the state has legally created that relationship and has protected the husband's forced access to the wife. It is this conception of privacy–keeping the wife sexually subjugated to the husband as a matter of law–that cloaks the abuse of wives in legitimacy and a secrecy that stops interference. The right of a man to use his wife the way he wants has been the essential meaning of sexual privacy in law." Andrea Dworkin, *Intercourse*  **This is where domestic violence originates.**

Conforming to this ideology contributed to my mental/nervous breakdown in 1993.

In 1979, Bob Wilson, a state senator from California, while talking to women lobbyists eloquently stated, "But if you can't rape your wife," the lawmaker asked, "who can you rape?" The answer, of course, is “no one.” In these cases, intercourse remains the fundamental expression of male rule over women, a legal right protected by the state especially in marriage. My case speaks loudly of the insidious crimes that are legally permitted and condoned under the guise of state-sanctioned domination of males in marriage. Laws must be changed to protect vulnerable people who cannot protect themselves.

During the period of my breakdown/depression in the spring of 1994, my husband, [Mr. V. Martin (Marty) Warner](http://www.ci.monmouth.or.us/pView.aspx?id=4748&catid=552), and his pastors left me at the "Wing's of Love" half-way house on Killingsworth in Portland, Oregon, to punish and "break me" (their words) to the will of God. The house was a shelter for ex-cons, street people and prostitutes. It was filthy and infested with rats and lice. (Photo of half-way house was filed August 18, 2017 with my Motion for Relief from Judgment and Declaration)

My husband’s debt-free estate, at this time, was over a quarter- of- a million dollars. It was a frightening experience during the period of my illness/breakdown for my “abuser” [ex-husband], his Christian cult leaders and religious supporters to be in charge of my “recovery program.” Three months earlier, I had a D & C due to my 3rd miscarriage from being raped by my husband. I was helpless and physically and mentally incapacitated during this time due to my breakdown and partial stroke.

After surviving 20 years of multiple pregnancies, sleep deprivation, ritual, emotional, and mental abuse, coercive control, torture, rapes and physical assaults within my marriage, I had finally suffered a severe physical/emotional breakdown due to the constant ongoing violence. In 1994, while in this near catatonic state, I was again physically assaulted and [raped by my husband, causing my eighth pregnancy despite the warnings of my doctors](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/#!How-I-Became-a-Brood-Mare-Egg-Donor-for-the-Church-State-Judge-Albin-Norblad-Laughs-about-Rape/c1q8z/56e75cdf0cf2bdd8ba4e3bb3).

Despite all this, I was able to recover, birth my baby and cherish bonding and breastfeeding. 1995, my OB/GYN physician, Dr. Charles D. South, recommended I divorce my abusive husband so that I did not end up a “statistic.” After undergoing several tests and psychiatric evaluations, my physicians stated I was completely recovered.  In January 1996, when I sought safety, I passed numerous psychological tests by some of Oregon’s most respected physicians, i.e, Dr. Michael May and Dr. Roger Jacobson, Corvallis, Oregon.

Even though Judge Albin Norblad stated in court that he was leaving my younger children and nursing baby in my care per the recommendations of my physicians, he awarded my husband custody all my eight children, including my nursing infant, on March 10, 1996 and ordered me to pay my husband child support at the time of our divorce in 1997. Judge Norblad was aware of the battering, abuse, torture and rapes I had suffered in my twenty-year marriage and the battering and rapes of my children due to affidavits and court hearings prior to the temporary child custody hearings in March 1996.

When I sought safety for my children and myself in January 1996, the Court allowed me to live in hiding with my young children prior to the court hearings, due to the testimony and affidavits of numerous witnesses.  I retained an attorney and reported the crimes that had been committed against my children and me. Belts, fists, logs, fraternity boards, threats, [coercive control](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/single-post/2016/02/25/From-Domestic-Violence-to-Coercive-Control-How-Men-Entrap-Women), [gaslighting](http://www.elephantjournal.com/2015/08/gaslighting-the-mind-game-everyone-should-know-about/), cults and bullying were my *ex-husband's favorite weapons of choice*. [My ex-husband also abused numerous women in the workplace](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/single-post), including Debbie Custis. Her affidavit is filed in this case.

My abusive husband used coercive control, isolation and intimidation tactics to strip me of my personhood, safety and freedoms as a United States citizen.

"Coercive control shares general elements with other capture or course-of-conduct crimes such as kidnapping, stalking, and harassment, including the facts that it is ongoing and its perpetrators use various means to hurt, humiliate, intimidate, exploit, isolate, and dominate their victims. Like hostages, victims of coercive control are frequently deprived of money, food, access to communication or transportation, and other survival resources even as they are cut off from family, friends, and other supports through the process of “isolation.” But unlike other capture crimes, coercive control is personalized, extends through social space as well as over time, and is gendered in that it relies for its impact on women’s vulnerability as women due to sexual inequality. Another difference is its aim. Men deploy coercive control to secure privileges that involve the use of time, control over material resources, access to sex, and personal service. A main means men use to establish control is the microregulation of everyday behaviors associated with stereotypic female roles, such as how women dress, cook, clean, socialize, care for their children, or perform sexually. These dynamics give coercive control a role in sexual politics that distinguishes it from all other crimes." - Dr. Evan Stark, [Coercive Control: How Men Entrap Women in Personal Life](https://www.amazon.com/Coercive-Control-Personal-Interpersonal-Violence/dp/0195384040)

In 1996 I went to Oregon's judicial system for help and was not prepared for the horrors I experienced within our legal system.  I found a system which treated me as deplorably as my former husband and his religious supporters.   Marital and ritual abuse evolved into legal abuse.

The treatment I received in Oregon’s courts was more abuse and humiliation. Sexual crimes I endured as a child, my breakdown, my fertility and [the ‘rape’ by my husband](http://www.aphroditewounded.org/)all became subjects for ridicule in court.

**In March 1996, Judge Albin Norblad and my ex-husband laughed in court about the rapes I suffered while I could not care for myself, was mentally and physically incapacitated and was nearly catatonic during this time [1993-1994]. (Chapter 743, Oregon Laws 1971, 163.375) My ex-husband repeatedly raped and beat me during the period of my illness. I was pregnant twice during this time.** I have extensive documentation [including affidavits](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/marty-warner-batterers-wife--children) from physicians, witnesses, co-workers and neighbors, court transcripts of 45 hours of depositions, [court audio tapes](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/state-senator-betsy-close--voter-beware) and videos, medical, hospital and mental reports to substantiate my story.

I filed a report to the Oregon State Bar regarding ethics violations – to NO AVAIL. My physician reported abuse to the Judicial Ethics Commission – to NO AVAIL.

Barbara A. May, PhD, RN PMHP, wrote a two-page MEMO to Kingsley Click, Oregon Court Administrator, reporting the abuse I suffered in Judge Paula Brownhill’s courtroom– TO NO AVAIL. We wrote our letters of complaint because Judge Brownhill serves as “chair” of the Oregon State Advisory Board Committee. I was shocked to receive a personal email from Judge Paula Brownhill asking me what was my problem was. I did not respond to Judge Brownhill’s email and found it inappropriate for her to have contacted me.

Many mothers who seek safety from abuse are routinely prohibited from having even the most basic contact with their own children, not because they were unfit parents, but because they were outspent, out represented, and out-maneuvered in a court atmosphere that seems to favor those who inflict domestic violence.

To harass and exhaust a victim through repeated, frivolous court actions aimed at punishing and controlling her, beyond the point where a victim is physically, mentally, or financially able to defend herself, is a form of legal stalking. The family court system, as it is, does not yet recognize this, and the advocacy system is literally not financially able to help these survivors spend thousands and thousands of dollars defending themselves year after year in court. Many individuals have developed a form of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) that Dr. Karin Huffer describes as Legal Abuse Syndrome (LAS). It is a psychic injury, not a mental illness.

[Women trapped in relationships with abusers come to expect horrendous misbehavior and violence from their partners](http://mothersoflostchildren.org/2015/09/vengeful-father-syndrome/).  What they cannot fathom is the maddening reinforcement commonly provided to abusive men by the justice system, the religious community and the public at large.  Tragically, the key abuse collaborator is the custody judge.  Of all the bad actors in a battered woman's life, none wield more power over a mother and her children.  It is beyond infuriating when women discover that their custody judges either lack understanding of domestic violence or[intentionally collude with abusers to take away women's financial resources and, even worse, their children](http://msmagazine.com/blog/2010/05/17/how-family-courts-punish-abused-women/).

[Two hundred years ago a system of legal slavery allowed for the ownership of human beings as if they were livestock.](http://motherswithoutcustodyworld.com/sometimes_i_feel_like_a_motherless_child)Children were ripped away from their mothers with as little consideration as separating a calf from a cow. In this country today, extreme forms of paternalistic religion promote an institutional form of slavery where a woman must be totally obedient to a husband who has absolute control of her life. [The wife’s lot is to obey and bear children. If she rebels and chooses to save herself by escaping from this life, the father—supported by the church community and often by the court system, can forcibly strip a child away from the mother](https://www.coralanikatheill.com/#!Spiritual-Abuse-Bondage-Marks-of-a-Christian-Cult/c1q8z/571d18eb0cf269c350ee6338).

"Losing Custody of your child is shameful and elicits public condemnation.  It is also the symbol of "patriarchal ownership" that exists still today.  The chattel laws of the past are very much alive and the only women who retain custody after divorce are those whose husbands did not fight. When we divorce in this society, we are divorcing the protection of marriage, like an umbrella, the rights given to men were shared with the wife.  Once divorced, we are not protected under the law and, therefore, our children are not protected either.  Nor do we have a rightful claim to the children we birthed.  We are set adrift in a society still clinging to archaic practices.  The manipulation and retaliation, the denial and complicit behavior of community are foundations in patriarchal society where male superiority is king, and women who fight back against this rule are punished severely.  Mothers desperately, both individually and collectively, need to be vindicated and our good names restored." - Melissa Barnett, ADA Advocate

Batterers frequently use the law and the legal system as a means of enforcing their rights and demands and for continuing to persecute their victims, both mothers and children. “Fathers who battered the mother are twice as likely to seek sole custody of their children as are non-violent fathers.”*—American Psychological Association*

Losing permanent custody and visitation of your children feels like being doused in oil and set on fire. Healing is slow and difficult. The pain never goes away. One doctor describes removing a nursing infant from a mother similar to castrating a man.

Removing a mother’s children from her, when she has committed no crime, is cruel and unusual punishment. The physical, mental, and emotional toll of surviving the negligence, abuse and trauma from the individuals who are part of my story will last forever.

Forcibly taking a mother's children, and then controlling her emotionally by withholding contact must be publicly recognized as one of the greatest forms of 'mis-use' of the American justice system and one of the greatest hidden vehicles for wide-spread socially approved physical and emotional abuse and control.

Sergeant Major Brian K. Jackson, USMC (Ret) wrote a letter on my behalf to Mr. Joel Corcoran, U.S. Senator Jeff Merkley's assistant on May 9, 2013: “As I watch the news today, I see all sorts of other cases pretty similar to Coral Theill's. The thing that I just do not understand about our "system" is why or how can we allow what happened to Coral (and is still happening) to happen. Some are held against their will, raped, battered, abused and then glorified as are the three ladies from Ohio. Guys are considered "heroes" as a result of being the person to make a phone call to the authorities about it.

"Then we have those in the same situation (and maybe even worse) who are blamed, ostracized from society, stripped not only of their children but of their dignity, ridiculed, and even forced into hiding and receive absolutely no support from anyone in the justice system who by the way are supposed to be by the people, of the people and for the people."

I want to be emancipated from my ex-husband and the Oregon court system. I want my passport privileges re-instated. I continue to believe I have the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

I cannot afford to pay my wealthy ex-husband, Mr. V. Martin Warner, any further child support. Mr. Warner swore in Court in 1996 that he was able to care and support all eight children. In light of the disparity of our incomes, health, financial status and properties, I respectfully ask that the remaining child support judgment of April 15, 1999 of $3,815.74 be dismissed.

The Polk County District Attorney’s office failed to pursue criminal charges for marital rape and fraud. They failed to protect me (the rape victim) and instead protected a wealthy man from prosecution and rewarded him financially with the county’s assistance. It is sex discrimination and duplicity – a two-tiered system – one for victims and one for wealthy men.

For “[s]ometimes the grossest discrimination can lie in treating things that are different as though they were exactly alike.” Jenness v. Fortson,

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of October 2017.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Coral Anika Theill, Pro Se aka: Kathryn Y. Hall aka: Kathryn Y. Warner

I certify that:

* I selected this document for myself and completed it without paid assistance.
* I was provided this document by an attorney.
* I completed this document without paid assistance.
* I paid or will pay money to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_for assistance in preparing this document.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted by:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Print Name

c/o Judy Bennett, 11260 Simpson Rd.

Address or Contact Address

Monmouth, OR 97361

City, State, Zip Code

(541) 829-3871

Telephone or Contact Telephone