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Abstract

Of the major vertebrate taxa, Class Aves is the most extensively studied in relation to the evolution of social systems and behavior, largely because birds exhibit an incomparable balance of tractability, diversity, and cognitive complexity. In addition, like humans, most bird species are socially monogamous, exhibit biparental care, and conduct most of their social interactions through auditory and visual modalities. These qualities make birds attractive as research subjects, and also make them valuable for comparative studies of neuroendocrine mechanisms. This value has become increasingly apparent as more and more evidence shows that social behavior circuits of the basal forebrain and midbrain are deeply conserved (from an evolutionary perspective), and particularly similar in birds and mammals. Among the strongest similarities are the basic structures and functions of avian and mammalian nonapeptide systems, which include mesotocin (MT) and arginine vasotocin (VT) systems in birds, and the homologous oxytocin (OT) and vasopressin (VP) systems, respectively, in mammals. We here summarize these basic properties, and then describe a research program that has leveraged the social diversity of estrildid finches to gain insights into the nonapeptide mechanisms of grouping, a behavioral dimension that is not experimentally tractable in most other taxa. These studies have used five monogamous, biparental finch species that exhibit group sizes ranging from territorial male–female pairs to large flocks containing hundreds or thousands of birds. The results provide novel insights into the history of nonapeptide functions in amniote vertebrates, and yield remarkable clarity on the nonapeptide biology of dinosaurs and ancient mammals.

This article is part of a Special Issue entitled Oxytocin, Vasopressin, and Social Behavior.
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Introduction

The nine amino acid neuropeptides, or “nonapeptides,” evolved more than 600 million years ago (reviews: Acher, 1972; Donaldson and Young, 2008; Hoyle, 1998), and amazingly, even neurosecretory nonapeptide neurons had evolved by the time that the major bilaterian taxa diverged, as evidenced by the fact that annelid worms possess neurosecretory nonapeptide neurons that express the same micro-RNAs and transcription factors as do the neurosecretory magnocellular neurons of vertebrates (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007). These include the magnocellular oxytocin (OT) and vasopressin (VP) neurons of the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei in mammals (SON and PVN, respectively). Consistent with this finding, both vertebrate and invertebrate nonapeptides regulate fluid balance and egg-laying (Fujino et al., 1999; Oumi et al., 1996), and thus, even the OT regulation of parturition in humans, which is essentially a very delayed form of egg-laying, can be traced back to the nonapeptide mechanisms of egg-laying in the ancient bilaterians that gave rise to the major vertebrate and invertebrate clades.

Although speculative, it seems likely that these basic egg-laying functions are the foundation upon which most other social functions of the nonapeptides have evolved, while the response of nonapeptide neurons to osmotic stressors likely set the stage for the evolution of nonapeptide mechanisms that modulate the pituitary–adrenal axis and the central processes of anxiety and stress response. In fact, virtually all VP cell groups of the hypothalamus are sensitive to both psychological and metabolic stressors (Briske and Brandt, 2000; Ho et al., 2010; Sharp et al., 1995; Wotjak et al., 1996).

But a large question remains—have nonapeptide systems and their socially relevant functions evolved in the same ways across the different vertebrate classes, or even in closely related species? The answer to this question is relevant not only to basic biology, but also to translation, because we need to learn how to predict mechanisms of social regulation in humans from non-human species. But this requires that we come to grips with the extraordinary amount of convergent evolution that is evident in social systems. For instance, even among amniote taxa, mother–offspring interactions and extended maternal care have probably evolved independently in crocodilians, mammals, some lepidosaurs, and neognath birds (the largest of two avian clades that includes fowl and passerines); and in fact, paternal care appears to be the ancestral condition for birds and closely related groups of theropod dinosaurs, such as oviiraptors (Clutton-Brock, 1991; e.g., Ch. 7–8; Variacchio et al., 2008). Have the nonapeptide mechanisms of maternal care evolved in similar ways across these groups? In cases where paternal care is exhibited, have males evolved mechanisms similar to females? And of the greatest relevance here—have the nonapeptide mechanisms of same-sex affiliation and grouping evolved in parallel ways across vertebrates? This dimension of behavior is highly labile, and thus the patterns of sociality that we observe across species have likely been generated through many hundreds or thousands of independent evolutionary events. The same may be said for monogamous pair bonding, which has evolved independently in a small percentage of mammals and in most avian groups (Reichard, 2003). At present, the question of whether nonapeptide systems evolve in predictable ways in relation to affiliation, grouping and monogamy is still very much open to debate, and is a question that likely cannot be answered without capitalizing on the exceptional diversity of birds. Birds alone cannot provide all of the answers, but they are an essential piece of the puzzle.

In the sections that follow, we will first describe the basic nonapeptide circuitries of amniotes, particularly with respect to mammals and birds, and then explore the ways in which nonapeptide systems have evolved in relation to affiliation, grouping and territoriality.

Shared features of nonapeptide systems in birds and other amniote vertebrates

The vertebrate nonapeptides

The earliest vertebrates likely possessed only a single nonapeptide form, arginine vasotocin (VT), although the VT gene duplicated at about the same time that jaws evolved, and thus all jawed vertebrates now exhibit two nonapeptide forms in the brain—a OT-like form and either VT or a form of VP (either arginine VP or lysine VP in mammals) (review: Hoyle, 1999). The most common OT-like forms are isotocin, which is found in bony fish, and mesotocin (MT), which is found in birds, lungfish, reptiles, amphibians, and some marsupials. Cartilaginous fish have evolved at least six OT-like forms, including OT (reviews: Acher, 1972; Acher and Chauvet, 1995; Donaldson and Young, 2008; Hoyle, 1998, 1999).

Nonapeptide cell groups

Birds produce both MT and VT in magnocellular neurons located within the preoptic area (POA), SON, and PVN, and in other small cell groups that are primarily associated with the hypothalamo-hypophysial tract (Fig. 1) (Aste et al., 1996; Balthazart et al., 1997; Barth et al., 1997; Berk et al., 1982; Bons, 1980; Kiss et al., 1987; Korf et al., 1988; Mikami and Yamada, 1984; Panzica et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 1988; Voorhuis and De Kloet, 1992). Although some magnocellular neurons may have small projections to central targets, they primarily innervate the neurohypophysis (Grossmann et al., 1995; Mikami et al., 1978). VT and MT are also synthesized in parvocellular PVN neurons, which project to the median eminence (Mikami et al., 1978), and likely, as in other vertebrates, give rise to intrahypothalamic projections and descending projections to the midbrain and viscerosensory areas of the hindbrain, such as the nucleus of the solitary tract (De Vries and Buijs, 1983; Goodson et al., 2003; Thompson and Walton, 2009; Thompson et al., 2008). This basic anatomy appears to be shared across all jawed vertebrates, with the exception that the major parvocellular and magnocellular populations reside within the POA in fish and amphibians, not the SON and PVN (Moore and Lowry, 1998).

Early tetrapods expanded upon this basic VT anatomy in two important ways—first, by adding a parvocellular VT cell group in the supra-chiasmatic nucleus (SCN), and second, through the addition of an extrahypothalamic VT cell group in the medial bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BSTm) (review: Moore and Lowry, 1998). Finally, with the arrival of amniotes, the major POA populations migrated into the PVN and SON of the hypothalamus, leaving a relatively smaller magnocellular population in the POA (reviews: Goodson and Bass, 2001; Moore and Lowry, 1998). In addition to these major cell groups, other small populations of VT/VP neurons may be found in any given species (Ho et al., 2010; Lowry et al., 1997; Moore and Lowry, 1998; Rood and De Vries, 2011). MT/OT anatomy has remained relatively less diversified, although low levels of MT–OT are expressed in a variety of extrahypothalamic sites (e.g., in amphibians and mice), perhaps in a species-specific manner (Chung et al., 1991; Gonzalez and Smeets, 1992). Too few data are available on this point to generalize, particularly in birds, for which extrahypothalamic MT mRNA has not yet been observed (and is absent in chickens) (Barth et al., 1997).

Nonapeptide fiber distributions

Both VT- and MT-immunoreactive (-ir) fibers are observed in a variety of basal forebrain and midbrain sites in birds, including the nucleus accumbens (see Husband and Shimizu, 2011, for anatomical characterization of this structure). BSTm, lateral BST (BSTl), medial amygdala (“taenial nucleus of the amygdala”), lateral septum (LS), habenula, periaqueductal gray (PAG) and ventral tegmental area. A dense fiber innervation is also observed for the mediobasal nucleus
and extensive portions of the anterior (AH), lateral and mediobasal hypothalamus. The latter includes the lateral portion of the ventromedial nucleus (VMH) and tuberomammillary areas (see references in preceding two paragraphs). These sites may receive projections from multiple VT cell groups, particularly the parvocellular PVN and the BSTm (Absil et al., 2002; Balthazart et al., 1997).

Regulation by sex steroids

Another conserved feature of vertebrate nonapeptide systems is their regulation by sex steroid hormones (reviews: De Vries and Panzica, 2006; Goodson and Bass, 2001). In the hypothalamus of birds, this regulation is fairly modest, and sex steroids appear to primarily influence VT mRNA and production within cells that are already producing VT (Seth et al., 2004). However, in numerous bird species the production of VT in the BSTm completely disappears following castration or the seasonal transition to a nonreproductive state. This waxing and waning of VT production in the BSTm is associated with similar changes in the VT-ir innervation of numerous brain areas, including the LS, POM, nucleus intercollicularis (homologue of the dorsal PAG of mammals; see Kingsbury et al., 2011), and the BSTm itself (reviews: De Vries and Panzica, 2006; Goodson and Kabelik, 2009). As in mammals, this circuitry is sexually dimorphic (m>f) and primarily regulated by estradiol (Panzica et al., 2001; see also Plumari et al., 2004). However, despite the similarities in the activational effects of estradiol in adults, the developmental effects of estradiol are feminizing in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica), but masculinizing in rodents (review: De Vries and Panzica, 2006). This extreme sensitivity of VT circuitry to sex steroids means that environmental estrogens and other endocrine disruptors can have significant effects on VT production and behavior.
deleterious effects, particularly in development, as shown in a variety of studies in quail (Ottinger et al., 2008; Panzica et al., 2007).

Relatively fewer studies have focused on the BSTm cell group in species that are not strongly seasonal in their breeding, but recent experiments in the highly opportunistic zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata) show that VT-ir cell number is not influenced by combined aromatase inhibition and androgen receptor blockade. However, hormonal manipulations do regulate constitutive Fos expression in the VT-ir neurons of the BSTm, providing a potential mechanism for the rapid regulation of VT neurons by environmental stimuli (Kabelik et al., 2010b). A lack of seasonal variation in VT immunoreactivity is likewise observed for three other estrildid finch species that are highly opportunistic in their breeding, although the spice finch (Lonchura punctulata) shows strong variation in VT-ir cell number across seasons (Kabelik et al., 2010). This may reflect the fact that, despite being highly flexible in their breeding (Immelmann, 1965), spice finches nonetheless exhibit pronounced endogenous rhythms of reproductive physiology that correlate with monsoon cyclonicity, and unlike zebra finches, they become photorefractory (Chaturvedi and Prasad, 1991; Goodwin, 1982; Hahn et al., 2008; Sikdar et al., 1992).

Nonapeptide receptors

The behavioral and physiological effects of avian nonapeptides are mediated by a suite of four receptor types (VT1–VT4) that show strong sequence similarities to those of mammals and other vertebrates, although most have not been well characterized in terms of ligand sensitivities (Baeyens and Cornett, 2006; Cornett et al., 2007).

A particularly intriguing receptor is the avian VT1, which exhibits substantial sequence identity to the mammalian V1b receptor (Leung et al., 2011). However, unlike the V2s, the VT1 is expressed in the brain (Leung et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2000) and has key amino acid residues that may confer V1b-like ligand sensitivities (Acharjee et al., 2004). This receptor is also expressed in the oviputus uterus (shell gland) (Tan et al., 2000). The different tissue distributions of the avian VT1 and mammalian V2 may relate to the recent discovery that ancestral jawed vertebrates expressed two V2 receptors, V2A and V2B. These are present in all fish genomes examined to date, and whereas the V2A is orthologous to the mammalian V2, the V2B is orthologous to the avian VT1 (Ocampo Daza et al., 2012).

The VT2 is a clear homologue of the V1b receptor and is highly expressed in the pituitary but not the brain. The VT2 also shows osmoregulatory properties (Cornett et al., 2003; Jurkevich et al., 2005, 2008; Sharma and Chaturvedi, 2009; Sharma et al., 2009). The VT3 is an oxytocic receptor that is expressed in the brain and also the oviduct uterus (Gubrij et al., 2005), where it is regulated by estrogens and influences oviposition (Srivastava and Chaturvedi, 2010; Srivastava et al., 2007, 2008, 2010). Like the mammalian OT receptor, VT3 is at least somewhat promiscuous (i.e., binds VT as well as MT), and as described in the next section, it mediates some of the peripheral effects of VT. Finally, the VT4 is a V1a-like receptor that is expressed in the brain (Cornett et al., 2007; Leung et al., 2011). Based on autoradiography studies, it is clear that both V1a and OT-like binding sites are present in the avian brain, and as is typical of mammals, the distributions of these binding sites are highly species-specific (Goodson et al., 2006, 2009c; Leung et al., 2009).

Nonapeptide mechanisms of maternal physiology and offspring care

For many vertebrates, maternal behavior consists of little more than laying an egg in a good place. This is the case for most extant reptiles and amphibians, and appears to have been the case for most dinosaurs, as well. The extensive care that is provided by most avian and mammalian mothers is therefore the product of independent evolutionary processes (see Clutton-Brock, 1991; e.g., Ch. 7–8), although this behavior evolved against the backdrop of several pre-existing nonapeptide functions. These include 1) a deeply conserved involvement of nonapeptides in social communication and social approach behaviors, which is known for teleost fish and all tetrapod vertebrates (cartilaginous fish have not been examined), and 2) the regulation of uterine contractions by nonapeptide receptors, which results in the ejection of either eggs or live offspring (Donaldson and Young, 2008; Goodson, 2008; Goodson and Bass, 2001; Thompson and Walton, 2009; Thompson et al., 2008).

In nonmammals, VT is the primary regulator of egg-laying and associated species-specific behaviors, such as bearing down in birds (Takahashi and Kawashima, 2003) and tree climbing in reptiles (Guillette and Jones, 1982). In birds, these effects are mediated by both promiscuous oxytocic receptors (VT3) in addition to more selective VT receptors, and both receptor types show increased binding capacity at the time of oviposition (Takahashi and Kawashima, 2008). The role, if any, of MT in the egg-laying process has remained obscure until recently, when it was discovered that in White Leghorn hens, MT increases in the plasma just prior to oviposition and just prior to a rise in plasma VT, and that the spike in MT increases the receptor binding capacity for VT (Takahashi and Kawashima, 2008). Thus, egg laying is likely coordinated by complex interactions of both VT and MT.

In mammals, both VP and OT play important roles in maternal care (Bosch and Neumann, 2008; Pedersen et al., 1982), but the possibility of convergent functions in birds has only recently been addressed, with the findings that 1) brooding of pouls by turkey hens is abolished by intraventricular infusions of an OT receptor antagonist, and 2) this occurs in association with elevated c-fos expression in MT neurons of the PVN and ventral SON (Thayanunuphat et al., 2011). Thus, in birds as in mammals, the basic social and reproductive functions of MT/OT likely have been expanded to include the modulation of direct offspring care.

A comparative approach to avian grouping

Over the last 20 years, a great deal has been learned about the nonapeptide mechanisms of sociality broadly defined, relating to bonding, social contact (“affiliation”), and parental care, including biparental and alloparental care (reviews: Carter et al., 2008; Donaldson and Young, 2008; Insel, 2010; Neumann, 2009; Young and Wang, 2004). In the prairie vole (Microtus ochrogaster), nonapeptide mechanisms that influence these various aspects of sociality appear to be linked (e.g., see Lim and Young, 2006; Ross and Young, 2009). However, the social structures of vertebrate species are highly variable, such that the various components of sociality are mixed and dissociated, indicating that those behavioral dimensions often evolve independently of each other (Alexander, 1974). This suggests that there may be mechanistic trade-offs and constraints that impact the evolution of social systems, and thus without a broad sample of species, we cannot say what mechanisms commonly evolve in relation to a specific aspect of behavior.

Despite the growing literature on most aspects of sociality, mechanisms that influence large-scale variation in grouping remain poorly understood, perhaps because species differences in the grouping behaviors of rodents are often difficult to examine without the confounding influences of species differences in mating system and patterns of parental care, and/or aspects of ecology that may impact relevant neuroendocrine processes (see Goodson and Kingsbury, 2011; also reviews of rodent social structures in King, 1968; Tamarin, 1985). Even so, truly large group sizes are also uncommon in rodents, and for obvious reasons, herds or troops of larger mammals are difficult to accommodate in the lab.

In contrast, birds exhibit a substantial amount of social diversity and at least a couple of avian families offer the opportunity to examine grouping while controlling for other aspects of behavior and ecology (Goodson and Kingsbury, 2011). The family Estrildidae (finches and waxbills) stands out in this regard, because all estrildids are biparental.
and exhibit long-term (typically life-long) pair bonds, but show dramatic variation in grouping (Goodwin, 1982; Immelmann, 1965). Most of the approximately 140 species (Clements, 2007) form small parties when not breeding, and loosely distribute for nesting without defense of an exclusive territory (Goodwin, 1982; Immelmann, 1965). However, a small number of species have evolved an extreme degree of gregariousness and are typically found in groups of 100–300 birds, as in the zebra finch, or even in the thousands, as in the spice finch (Lonchura punctulata). A small number of species have also evolved territoriality, such as the Melba finch (Pytilia melba) and violet-eared waxbill (Uraeginthus granatina). Independent evolution has been identified at both ends of the grouping continuum1 — that is, convergence in territoriality and convergence in extreme gregariousness and coloniality (review: Goodson and Kingsbury, 2011). This is important, because the ability to study both divergence and convergence in grouping allows us to determine whether a given mechanism is reliably targeted by selection during social evolution, which is an essential step toward establishing predictive validity for other taxa.

The estrildid family offers several other advantages, as well, most notably the inclusion of the zebra finch. The zebra finch genome is now well known (Warren et al., 2010); zebra finch behavior is extremely robust in captivity; and virtually the full range of zebra finch social behavior can be observed and quantified in the lab. More than 20 behaviors can be quantified in captive colonies, including the establishment of pair bonds (Goodson et al., 1999; Kabelik et al., 2009), and a detailed comparison of wild-caught and domestic zebra finches revealed no differences in behavior (Morris, 1958). Zebra finches are also interesting from a translational perspective, because like humans, they communicate primarily through acoustic and visual modalities, and live in biparental nuclear families that are embedded within larger social networks.

VT circuits of the BSTm-LS encode social valence and promote flocking

In order to identify brain areas that may be relevant to the species-specific processing of social stimuli in flocking and non-flocking birds, Goodson et al. (2005) exposed male and female finches of a territorial finch species (violet-eared waxbill) and three flocking finch species to a control manipulation or a same-sex conspecific through a wire barrier, and sacrificed subjects 90 min later for quantification of Fos and egr-1 response. This manipulation took place in a quiet room and elicited little overt behavior, thus neural activation should primarily reflect motivational or perceptual processes. The immediate early gene responses of territorial birds differed significantly from those in flocking birds throughout an evolutionarily conserved “social behavior network” that comprises a suite of basal forebrain and midbrain areas (network reviews: Goodson, 2005; Newman, 1999; O’Connell and Hofmann, 2011). These areas include the BSTm and LS, where VT/VP cells (BSTm only) and fibers have been linked to a variety of social behaviors (reviews: Veenema and Neumann, 2008; Goodson and Thompson, 2010). At the time of this study, however, direct functional data on the VT/VP cells of the BSTm were unavailable, beyond a single study in voles showing an increase in VP mRNA in males following overnight cohabitation with a female (Wang et al., 1994).

A subsequent experiment was therefore conducted to determine how the BSTm VT cells responded to same-sex stimuli in five estrildid finch species — two territorial species that live in male–female pairs year-round (Melba finch and violet-eared waxbill), the modestly gregarious Angolan blue waxbill, Uraeginthus angolensis; a species that is sympatric with the two territorial species, and two highly gregarious, colonially breeding finch species (zebra finch and spice finch) (Goodson and Wang, 2006). Birds were exposed to a same-sex conspecific as just described, and were sacrificed 90 min later for quantification of VT-Fos colocalization (Fig. 2A). The 90 min time point represents two half-lives of the Fos protein (Herdegen and Leah, 1998), and both induction and suppression of Fos protein production are detectable at this time. The results of this experiment followed a striking pattern: whereas exposure to a same-sex conspecific tended to decrease VT-Fos colocalization in the territorial species, the converse was found for the flocking species (Figs. 2B–C). This produced a significant interaction effect, and a separate analysis of the two sympatric Uraeginthus species likewise

---

1 Convergent and divergent social evolution in the Estrildidae has been recently described at length (Goodson and Kingsbury, 2011). Briefly, available data show that only four of the ~140 estrildid species are territorial. The first territorial species under study, Pytilia melba, is the only territorial member of the Pytilia genus (which contains five species), and the two closest outgroup genera are composed of typical estrildids that are modestly gregarious when not breeding and that loosely distribute for nesting without territoriality. The second territorial species, Uraeginthus granatina, is virtually identical: five species in the genus, only two are territorial and the two closest outgroup genera are typical estrildids. Another Uraeginthus species, U. angolensis, is much more social than the outgroup species. Thus U. angolensis and U. granatina have evolved in divergent ways. Although numerous estrildid species travel in small parties and breed in small colonies of 5–10 pairs, only five species breed in larger groups, and these species greatly exceed the group sizes of the other estrildids, forming colonies of 100 or more birds and flocking in even larger groups. The two Taeniopygia species are among these (including the zebra finch). The other three instances occur in Lonchura, a genus distantly related to Taeniopygia that contains 26 species, including the study species L. punctulata.
yielded a significant interaction between Species and Condition. No sex differences were observed (Goodson and Wang, 2006).

These results suggested the hypothesis that the BSTm VT cells are sensitive to the valence of social stimuli, such that they increase their Fos activity in response to positive, affiliation-related stimuli, but not to stimuli that normally elicit aggression or avoidance. This hypothesis received strong support from two additional experiments. In the first, territorial violet-eared waxbills were exposed to a control manipulation, a same-sex conspecific, or their pair bond partner (after 2 days of separation). Whereas the same-sex stimulus produced a significant decrease in VT-Fos colocalization, the partner stimulus produced an extremely robust increase (Fig. 2C). In the second experiment, zebra finches were moved to same-sex housing, which serves to increase their motivation to court, and they were subsequently exposed to a control manipulation or a mate competition interaction, in which two individuals of one sex compete for access to a single individual of the opposite sex. Aggression in this context is typically mild, and all birds have at least some opportunity to court. However, by identifying bullies in the housing cages that could be used as competitors, it was possible to pair some subjects with individuals who subjugated them intensely during the mate competition test. Non-subjugated subjects showed the expected increase in VT-Fos colocalization, but the subjugated birds exhibited a non-significant decrease (Fig. 2D). Importantly, the subjugated animals were aggressively displaced or attacked 71–205 times during a 10-min interaction, and thus it is clear that social arousal alone does not induce Fos activity within BSTm VT neurons (Goodson and Wang, 2006). A later experiment further indicates that the VT neurons of the BSTm respond only to social stimuli, because providing a water bath to bath-deprived male zebra finches generated a strong behavioral response but no increase in VT-Fos colocalization, whereas exposure to a female produced a significant elevation in colocalization (Goodson et al., 2009b).

Other aspects of the BSTm-LS VT circuitry are likewise biased toward the more gregarious species; 1) constitutive VT-Fos colocalization is significantly greater in the three flocking species than in the two territorial species (Goodson and Wang, 2006); 2) the two highly gregarious species exhibit approximately 10 times the number of VT-immunoreactive (-ir) cells in the BSTm than do the territorial and modestly gregarious species (Goodson and Wang, 2006); and 3) V1a-like binding sites in the LS are significantly more abundant in the three flocking species compared to the territorial species (Goodson et al., 2006).

The findings described above strongly suggest the hypothesis that VT circuitry of the BSTm-LS promotes gregariousness. This hypothesis has been tested in two ways in male zebra finches — first by knocking down VT production in the BSTm bilaterally using antisense oligonucleotides, and second by infusing a V1a antagonist into the LS (Kelly et al., 2011). Subjects were placed in a meter-wide cage containing seven perches, with the perches on the left and right sides placed only a few centimeters from the cage wall. Smaller cages containing 2 and 10 same-sex individuals were placed on the sides in a counterbalanced fashion across subjects (Fig. 3A). Behavior in this apparatus yielded two primary measures — “contact time,” which is the percent of test time that the subject spent on the two side perches combined, and “gregariousness,” which is the percent of contact time that the subject spent next to the larger group.

Relative to scrambled oligonucleotide treatments, infusions of VT antisense oligonucleotides into the BSTm reduced gregariousness by 80% (Fig. 3B), although unexpectedly, a slight increase in contact time (approximately 25%) was also observed. Remarkably, intrastral infusions of the V1a antagonist likewise produced an 80% reduction in gregariousness relative to vehicle infusions, but there was a clear lack of an effect on contact time. Both antisense and antagonist administrations also produce potent anxiogenic effects, particularly in the novelty-suppressed feeding test (Kelly et al., 2011). These results demonstrate that VT circuitry of the BSTm-LS strongly promotes preferences for larger group sizes (although the BSTm VT cells may modulate social contact elsewhere in the brain), and that this effect is associated with anxiolysis. Given that Fos induction in the BSTm VT neurons is apparently specific to positive social stimuli, the modulation of general anxiety-like processes by these cells (observed outside of a social context) may rely upon tonic VT release, an idea that is consistent with the high level of constitutive Fos activity in these neurons (Goodson and Wang, 2006; Goodson et al., 2009b).

The finding that septal VT is anxiolytic in finches is intriguing, because in rodents, septal VP tends to be anxiogenic (Bielsky et al.,

![Fig. 3. Antisense knockdown of VT production in the BSTm and intrastral infusions of a VP V1a antagonist reduce gregariousness and increase anxiety-like behavior. (A) Choice apparatus design. A 1 m wide testing cage was subdivided into zones by seven perches (thin lines). Subjects were considered to be within close proximity when they were within 6 cm of a stimulus cage (i.e., on the perches closest to the sides of the testing cage). The stimulus cages contained either two or ten same-sex conspecifics. The percent of test time spent in close proximity to conspecifics yields a measure of “contact,” and the percent of contact time that is spent next to the larger group yields a measure of “gregariousness.” (B) Relative to scrambled oligonucleotide control subjects, male zebra finches infused with VT antisense oligonucleotides exhibit a median reduction in gregariousness of approximately 80%. (C) Gregariousness is likewise reduced by V1a antagonist infusions into the LS, relative to vehicle. (D–E) Latency to feed in the presence of a novel object is strongly increased by both VT antisense infusions into the BSTm (D) and V1a antagonist infusions into the LS (E). Tests were 30 min in D and 40 min in E. Box plots show the median (red line), 75th and 25th percentile (box) and 95% confidence interval (whiskers). Modified from Kelly et al. (2011).]
et al. (2009c) showed that both peripheral and intraventricular administrations of an OT antagonist decrease the percent of test time that subjects spend in close proximity to the larger group, with a concomitant increase in the percent of time spent in close proximity to the smaller group (Fig. 4). No effect on total contact time was observed, and the effects on group size preference were reversed by central administrations of MT. Using a modification of this testing paradigm that offers a choice between novel and familiar same-sex conspecifics, it was also shown that peripheral and central administrations of the OT antagonist reduced the preference of subjects for familiar individuals. Several effects were female-specific (e.g., Fig. 4A).

In order to determine whether the distributions of oxytocic receptors may reflect species differences in grouping, autoradiography was used to examine the oxytocin receptor densities in the brains of the five estrildid finch species introduced in the previous section. Although a variety of species differences were observed, only within the LS did the species differences in binding match the species differences in grouping (Fig. 5). Interestingly, whereas the three flocking species exhibited significantly higher binding densities in the dorsal (pallial) LS (Fig. 5D), this pattern tended to reverse in the subpallial LS (Fig. 5E), and the relative density across these divisions most strongly differentiated the territorial and flocking species (Fig. 5F). Finally, infusions of an oxytocin antagonist directly into the LS reduced preferences for the larger group in female zebra finches (Goodson et al., 2009c).

**Do avian nonapeptides influence monogamous pair bonding?**

Perhaps no function of the nonapeptides has garnered more attention than the promotion of pair bonding. To date, however, nonapeptides have been shown to promote pair bonding only in the monogamous prairie vole, in which V1a receptors of the ventral pallidum and LS mediate VP effects on pair bonding in males, whereas OT receptors of the nucleus accumbens mediate pair bonding in females (reviews: Donaldson and Young, 2008; Lim and Young, 2006; Young and Wang, 2004). Similar nonapeptide effects have not been reported for other monogamous mammals, although to our knowledge, the necessary experimental manipulations have not been conducted (Goodson and Thompson, 2010). Outside of the Mammalia, comparable experiments have been conducted only in zebra finches. Central nonapeptide manipulations do not alter partner preferences in male or female zebra finches following a single night of cohabitation (Goodson et al., 2004), and chronic infusions of a V1a–V1a antagonist cocktail do not impair natural pair bonding in males that are introduced into colony nesting cages (Kabelik et al., 2009). However, recent experiments show that chronic antagonism of central OT-like receptors severely impairs natural pair bonding of females in a colony environment, with less effect in males (J. D. Klatt and J.L.Goodson, unpublished observations). Pair bond formation is relatively easy to observe in colony-housed zebra finches, based on the presence of distinctive behaviors such as side-by-side “clumping,” alopreening, following, and occupation of a nest cup (Adkins-Regan, 2011; Zann, 1977).

Modulation of aggression by VT is context- and phenotype-specific

Although VT and VP influence aggression across a wide range of vertebrates (Goodson and Bass, 2001), the relationship between VT/VP and aggression is highly complex and not yet fully understood. For instance, intraseptal VT infusions in male field sparrows selectively increase the use of a territorial song type during the “dawn song” period, but inhibit overt, resident-intruder aggression (Goodson, 1998a). Intraventricular infusions of VT also promote territorial singing in female white-crowned sparrows (Zonotrichia leucophrys) (Maney et al., 1997), and in rats, central VP release during aggression simultaneously increases and decreases across brain areas (Veeninga et al., 2010). This complexity may reflect the involvement of many different VT/VP cell groups. For instance, at least eight distinct cell groups (mostly hypothalamic) alter their Fos activity following an aggressive encounter in male mice (Ho et al., 2010), but in virtually all cases, VP-Fos colocalization is more pronounced in subordinate animals and/or negatively correlated with aggression. Similarly, in territorial song sparrows, VT-Fos colocalization in the PVN increases in response to simulated territorial intrusion.
and correlates negatively with aggression (Goodson and Evans, 2004; Goodson and Kabelik, 2009).

The negative correlation between aggression and VT-Fos colocalization in the PVN suggests the hypotheses that 1) endogenous VT release from PVN neurons inhibits territorial aggression, and 2) dominant males increase their aggression by reducing VT release. These hypotheses yield the prediction that antagonism of VT receptors should produce phenotype-specific effects on behavior — facilitating aggression in less aggressive males that are usually subordinate (which show higher levels of Fos activity in PVN VT neurons), while having little or no effect in aggressive, dominant males that show low levels of VT neuronal activity. These ideas receive excellent empirical support: Antagonism of V1a-like receptors has no effect on resident-intruder aggression in dominant male violet-eared waxbills (Fig. 6A), but produces a significant increase in aggression in males that are typically subordinate (Fig. 6B) (Goodson et al., 2009b). Importantly, even in very aggressive males, exogenous VT inhibits aggression (Goodson, 1998b), and thus the phenotype-specific effects just described must reflect phenotype differences in VT release, not phenotype differences in V1a-like receptor distributions. VT also reduces aggression in male Japanese quail that are paired in a neutral arena (Riters and Panksepp, 1997) and in European starlings (Sturnus vulgaris) that are subjected to crowding (Nephew et al., 2005).

As described earlier, the BSTm VT/VP neurons do not exhibit Fos responses to resident-intruder encounters in mice (Ho et al., 2010), simulated territorial intrusions in song sparrows (Goodson and Kabelik, 2009), or dominance interactions in roosters (Xie et al., 2011). However, VT-Fos colocalization increases in the BSTm during mate competition (Goodson and Wang, 2006), and endogenous VT/VP actually promotes male aggression during courtship interactions in both violet-eared waxbills (Fig. 6C) and zebra finches (Goodson and Adkins-Regan, 1999; Goodson et al., 2004, 2009b).

These context-specific relationships between endogenous VT and aggression (i.e., in mate competition versus territorial contexts) have also been shown in male zebra finches that were introduced to colony nesting cages. Colonies were established with five females and four male subjects that were chronically administered either a V1 antagonist

Fig. 5. Species-specific distributions of oxytocin-like binding sites reflect evolutionary convergence and divergence in flocking and territoriality. (A–C) Representative autoradiograms of 125I-OT antagonist binding sites in the caudal LS (LSc) in two sympatric, congeneric finches — the territorial violet-eared waxbill (A) and the gregarious Angolan blue waxbill (B), plus the highly gregarious zebra finch (C). (D) Densities of binding sites in the dorsal (pallial) LSc of two territorial species (Melba finch, MF, and violet-eared waxbill, VEW), a moderately gregarious species (Angolan blue waxbill, ABW), and two highly gregarious species (spice finch, SF, and zebra finch, ZF). No sex differences are observed and sexes were pooled. Total n = 23. Different letters above the boxes denote significant species differences (Mann–Whitney P < 0.05) following significant Kruskal–Wallis. (E) Binding densities tend to reverse in the subpallial LSc (P = 0.06), suggesting that species differences in sociality are most closely associated with the relative densities of binding sites along a dorso-ventral gradient, as confirmed in the bottom panel (F) using a dorsal:ventral ratio. Abbreviations: Hp, hippocampus; LSc.d, dorsal zone of the LSc; LSc.v,vl, ventral and ventrolateral zones of the LSc; N, nidopallium; PLH, posterolateral hypothalamus; TeO, optic tectum. Modified from Goodson et al. (2009c).
cocktail or vehicle. High levels of aggression were exhibited at the time of introduction, mostly focused on competition for mates, and in this context aggression was significantly lower in males that were treated with the antagonist. However, the antagonist effect completely reversed over subsequent days as most males paired and began to nest (Fig. 6D) (Kabelik et al., 2009). Notably, aggression in paired zebra finches is largely focused on nest defense (Zann, 1996), a context that is similar in some ways to territorial aggression.

Overall, the pharmacological and VT-Fos data for avian aggression are internally consistent to an impressive extent, particularly since they extend to multiple species of sparrows, multiple species of finches, and even chickens. The findings for VT-Fos colocalization in male birds are also virtually identical to those for VP-Fos colocalization in male mice (Ho et al., 2010). However, a couple of observations in rodents do not conform to the patterns just described, most notably evidence that VP release in the anterior hypothalamus promotes mating-induced territorial aggression in male prairie voles (Gobrogge et al., 2007, 2009) and resident-intruder aggression in male Syrian hamsters, Mesocricetus auratus (Ferris et al., 1997) (although female hamsters show the opposite pattern; Gutzler et al., 2010). Nonetheless, the strong anatomical conservation of VT/VP circuits and the functional similarities between songbirds and mice suggest that there are generalizable frameworks to be derived if we continue to keep looking.

Nonapeptide modulation of female-directed song and sexual behavior

One of the first demonstrations that VT influences bird behavior came from male canaries (Serinus canaria) that were injected three days in a row with a VT analog. Song was measured several weeks later, and was found to increase or decrease depending upon the season, suggesting that VT may mediate seasonal transitions in song behavior (De Kloet et al., 1993; Voorhuis et al., 1991). However, a couple of observations in rodents do not conform to the patterns just described, most notably evidence that VP release in the anterior hypothalamus promotes mating-induced territorial aggression in male prairie voles (Gobrogge et al., 2007, 2009) and resident-intruder aggression in male Syrian hamsters, Mesocricetus auratus (Ferris et al., 1997) (although female hamsters show the opposite pattern; Gutzler et al., 2010). Nonetheless, the strong anatomical conservation of VT/VP circuits and the functional similarities between songbirds and mice suggest that there are generalizable frameworks to be derived if we continue to keep looking.

Conclusions

Based on comparative studies of the extant vertebrate classes, it is clear that for at least 450 million years, nonapeptide systems have influenced reproductive physiology, osmoregulation, social communication, affiliation behaviors, aggression, and multiple aspects of stress response. However, there is at least some variation in these basic functions. For instance, whereas sepal VP is anxiogenic in rodents, sepal VT is strongly anxiolytic in male zebra finches, which may reflect species-specific needs in relation to gregariousness. Nonetheless, there is extensive conservation of function — for instance, in the differential relationships of BSTm and PVN VT/VP neurons to affiliation, aggression, and stress response. In addition, the independent evolution of multiple behavioral characters is associated with evolutionary convergence in the anatomy of nonapeptide systems and their behavioral effects. This is observed in the convergent roles of MT and OT in the extended maternal care of mammals and neognathan birds; in the independently derived effects of MT and OT on pair bonding in female prairie voles and zebra finches; and in the convergent patterns of nonapeptide receptor distributions in estrildid finch species that have independently evolved similar patterns of grouping behavior. As shown experimentally, these receptors are strongly relevant to the expression of grouping and territorial behaviors. Most importantly, the studies reviewed here show the power of broadly comparative approaches to

Fig. 6. Neuromodulation of aggression varies across contexts and phenotypes. For panels A–C, subjects were tested in a within-subjects design following injections of saline control or JNJ-7308616, a novel VTa antagonist that crossed the blood–brain barrier. Tests were 7 min. Total n = 9 for all *P < 0.015. (A) Total numbers of aggressive behaviors (means ± SEM) exhibited by aggressive, dominant male violet-eared waxbills in the context of territorial defense (resident-intruder tests). (B) Aggressive behaviors exhibited in resident-intruder tests (as in panel A) by male violet-eared waxbills that were typically subordinate. Total n = 6; *P = 0.043. (C) Aggressive behaviors exhibited by aggressive, dominant male violet-eared waxbills in the context of mate competition. Panels A–C are modified from Goodson et al. (2009b). (D) Aggressive behavior per minute (when not in a nest; means ± SEM) exhibited by male zebra finches in colony cages that contained 4 males and 5 females. Subjects were administered intraventricular infusions of a VT–VP antagonist cocktail twice daily. Focal 10-min observations were conducted in the morning and afternoon for three days (corresponding to sessions 1–6). Data are shown separately for session 1, when aggression is focused on competition for females, and during sessions 2–6 when most aggression is focused on the defense of nest cups. Data are displayed separately for unpaired and pair-bonded individuals. In session 1, paired males exhibited more aggression than unpaired males (P = 0.0002), and VP antagonist treatment resulted in a decrease in aggression relative to treatment with saline (P = 0.006). Different letters above the error bars denote significant group differences (P = 0.05). In sessions 2 to 6, the antagonist resulted in an increase in aggression levels relative to saline treatment (*P < 0.04). Data for all males are shown for session 1; analyses for sessions 2–6 are restricted to males for which unpaired and paired data are available. Modified from Kabelik et al. (2009).
behavioral biology in general, and nonapeptide biology in particular. Only through broadly comparative studies can we identify common trends that yield translational insights into the most fascinating and evolutionarily labile aspects of human social behavior, such as monogamous pair bonding and grouping, and only through broadly comparative studies can we reconstruct the functional neurobiology of ancient species such as stem mammals and the fascinating theropod dinosaurs, a group that includes velociraptors and Tyrannosaurus, and gave rise to birds.
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