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Abstract
While the peripheral auditory system of fish has been well studied, less is known about how the fish’s brain and central auditory system process complex social acoustic signals. The plainfin midshipman fish, *Porichthys notatus*, has become a good species for investigating the neural basis of acoustic communication because the production and reception of acoustic signals is paramount for this species’ reproductive success. Nesting males produce long-duration advertisement calls that females detect and localize among the noise in the intertidal zone to successfully find mates and spawn. How female midshipman are able to discriminate male advertisement calls from environmental noise and other acoustic stimuli is unknown. Using the immediate early gene product cFos as a marker for neural activity, we quantified neural activation of the ascending auditory pathway in female midshipman exposed to conspecific advertisement calls, heterospecific white seabass calls, or ambient environment noise. We hypothesized that auditory hindbrain nuclei would be activated by general acoustic stimuli (ambient noise and other biotic acoustic stimuli) whereas auditory neurons in the midbrain and forebrain would be selectively activated by conspecific advertisement calls. We show that neural activation in two regions of the auditory hindbrain, i.e., the rostral intermediate division of the descending octaval nucleus and the ventral division of the secondary octaval nucleus, did not differ via cFos immunoreactive (cFos-ir) activity when exposed to different acoustic stimuli. In contrast, female midshipman exposed to conspecific advertisement calls showed greater cFos-ir in the nucleus centralis of the midbrain torus semicircularis compared to fish exposed only to ambient noise. No difference in cFos-ir was observed in the torus semicircularis of animals exposed to conspecific versus heterospecific calls. However, cFos-ir was greater in two forebrain structures that receive auditory input, i.e., the central posterior nucleus of the thalamus and the anterior tuberal hypothalamus, when exposed to conspecific calls.
versus either ambient noise or heterospecific calls. Our results suggest that higher-order neurons in the female midshipman midbrain torus semicircularis, thalamic central posterior nucleus, and hypothalamic anterior tuberal nucleus may be necessary for the discrimination of complex social acoustic signals. Furthermore, neurons in the central posterior and anterior tuberal nuclei are differentially activated by exposure to conspecific versus other acoustic stimuli.
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Introduction

Acoustic communication is a fundamental component of social behavior across vertebrate taxa. Socially relevant acoustic signals can vary greatly across context-specific behaviors such as during aggression, affiliation, and reproduction. The discrimination of biologically relevant acoustic signals from background environmental noise is paramount for appropriate behavioral decision-making. Among vertebrates, gnathostome fish represent perhaps the most ancestral design of the vertebrate auditory receiver system and it is thought that vocal-acoustic communication evolved first in bony fish [Bass and McKibben, 2003; Bass et al., 2008]. Understanding the underlying neural circuitry responsible for the discrimination of behaviorally relevant acoustic signals can potentially provide important insights into the coevolution of central auditory and vocal-acoustic communication systems that may be conserved across vertebrate taxa.

The production and reception of social acoustic signals is necessary for successful reproduction in the plainfin midshipman fish, *Porichthys notatus* [Bass and McKibben, 2003; Bass and Ladich, 2008]. Furthermore, the central auditory and vocal motor pathways of this species have been extensively studied in terms of their connections and neurochemistry, thus making the plainfin midshipman a valuable system for studying vocal-acoustic communication. Plainfin midshipman are a nocturnal marine teleost fish found on the west coast of North America that make seasonal migrations from deep off shore sites (>100 m) into the shallow intertidal zone to breed. Type I males excavate nests under rocky shelters from which they contract their sonic swim bladder muscles to produce long-duration multiharmonic advertisement calls to attract reproductively receptive females for spawning [Brantley and Bass, 1994; Bass and Ladich, 2008]. Females must be able to detect, discriminate, and localize calling type I males among the background noise of other soniferous fish, invertebrates, and abiotic factors to successfully locate courting males. The auditory encoding of both conspecific and heterospecific vocalizations likely requires neural mechanisms for signal recognition and discrimination at the level of the midbrain torus semicircularis (TS) and/or higher nuclei in the midshipman auditory pathway, as shown in anurans [Hoke et al., 2004, 2010]; however, currently it is unknown how fish discriminate social acoustic signals.

All teleost fish are thought to be able to detect the particle motion component of underwater sound using their otolithic end organs, which act as biological accelerometers to sense the direct movement of underwater particles relative to the fish. The saccule is thought to be the primary hearing organ in the midshipman as in most other fish and it is where sound is first transduced and processed before auditory information is sent to hindbrain nuclei in the ascending auditory pathway. Studies in the closely related oyster toadfish, *Opsanus tau*, have shown that neurons in the auditory hindbrain are broadly tuned [Edds-Walton and Fay, 2008; Edds-Walton, 2016] and that tuning sharpens along the ascending auditory pathway into the midbrain TS [Edds-Walton and Fay, 2003, 2005]. Studies in goldfish and anurans have revealed that the auditory thalamus is likely involved in the discrimination of complex social acoustic signals and is selectively responsive to ecologically relevant signals [Fuzessey and Feng, 1983; Hall and Feng, 1987; Mudry and Capranica, 1987; Lu and Fay, 1995].

The purpose of this study was to characterize neural circuits necessary for the discrimination of complex acoustic signals including conspecific vocalizations. We hypothesized that auditory neurons within major nuclei of the midshipman auditory pathway would be differentially activated by exposure to ambient noise, conspecific and heterospecific acoustic stimuli. Specifically, we predicted that reproductive females exposed to conspecific advertisement calls would show a greater activity of cFos, an immediate early gene (IEG) product used as a marker for neural activation, in midbrain and forebrain auditory nuclei compared to fish exposed to heterospecific vocalizations and ambient noise. We analyzed 5 nuclei within the central auditory system, specifically chosen based on their consistent activation during preliminary playback experiments in females and earlier studies in males [Mohr and Forlano, pers. obs.; Petersen et al., 2013] and their known neurochemical input and multisensory connectivity [Bass et al., 2000; Goodson and Bass, 2002; Forlano et al., 2014, 2015a]. Our results support the hypothesis that higher-order auditory nuclei are selectively activated by conspecific vocal signals compared to ambient noise.
in the midbrain TS and to both ambient noise and heterospecific signals in the thalamic CP and hypothalamic AT in female midshipman fish.

**Methods**

**Fish Collection and Housing**

The 39 female plainfin midshipman used in this study were collected by hand during the morning low tides in the intertidal zone at Seal Rock near Brinnon, WA, USA. Fish were housed in aerated 5 gallon buckets with fresh intertidal seawater changed every 2–3 h until experimentation. After dark, fish were transferred to individual buckets with fresh seawater to acclimate for at least 30 min prior to testing.

**Experimental Setup**

An experimental arena was setup in the intertidal zone at Seal Rock (Fig. 1). At low tide, a UW-30 underwater speaker (Telex Communications, Burnsville, MN, USA) was buried in the substrate ~20 m from the shoreline, where it was powered by a TOA amplifier which received acoustic playback files from a laptop computer. Above the speaker, a mesh cage (diameter = 40 cm) was suspended in place where the fish was allowed to swim freely during exposure to auditory playback. Experiments were conducted each night after sunset when the cage was covered at least 50 cm by the tide. The sound pressure level of the acoustic stimuli was calibrated to 130 dB re: 1 μPa at the perimeter of the cage.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the playback paradigm used during auditory exposure. An underwater speaker was buried in the substrate ~20 m from the shoreline, where it was powered by a TOA amplifier which received acoustic playback files from a laptop computer. Above the speaker, a mesh cage (diameter = 40 cm) was suspended in place where the fish was allowed to swim freely during exposure to auditory playback. Experiments were conducted each night after sunset when the cage was covered at least 50 cm by the tide. The sound pressure level of the acoustic stimuli was calibrated to 130 dB re: 1 μPa at the perimeter of the cage.

Acoustic Stimuli and Experimental Procedures

Female midshipman fish were exposed to one of three acoustic stimuli: conspecific advertisement calls, heterospecific calls of white seabass, *Atractoscion nobilis*, and ambient environmental noise. The playback of conspecific advertisement calls consisted of a 30-min looped audio file containing acoustic recordings from 7 male midshipman advertisement calls or “hums” [Brantley and Bass, 1994] previously recorded in situ from calling type I male midshipman nests at Seal Rock. The audio files were equalized to the same maximum peak-to-peak sound level in Matlab to account for any differences in amplitude between individual male callers (Fig. 2a). Previous work by Brantley and Bass [1994] showed that the fundamental frequency of male advertisement calls increases with temperature and that female preference is tightly coupled to match the appropriate fundamental frequency across temperatures [McKibben and Bass, 1998]. To account for the daily fluctuations in water temperature, we linearly shifted the fundamental frequency (along with the harmonics) of the advertisement call stimuli in Matlab to compensate for temperature differences at the time of playback. The heterospecific call was a 30-min looped audio file containing recordings from white seabass (*A. nobilis*) (Fig. 2b). The white seabass is a soniferous fish found on the west coast of the USA and it is known to be sympatric with the plainfin midshipman [Aalbers and Drawbridge, 2008]. There is no known predator-prey interaction between these species and theoretically the sounds of the white seabass should represent a familiar and innocuous biotic background sound to plainfin midshipman. The control condition consisted only of the background environment noise present in the intertidal zone during the experiment without the experimental playback of conspecific or heterospecific calls.

After 30 min of continuous exposure to one of the three acoustic stimuli, the subjects were removed from the testing cage and then kept individually in a 5-gallon bucket filled with water from the intertidal for an additional 120 min before being sacrificed. The 120 min posttreatment time before sacrifice was chosen to allow adequate cFos synthesis after sound exposure based on the work by Petersen et al. [2013] and Forlano et al. [2017]. Fish were first deeply anesthetized in a 0.025% aminobenzoate bath after which they were weighed and measured for standard length (SL) before being sacrificed. Brains were harvested and postfixed for 1 h before being rinsed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). Brains were transferred to 30% sucrose in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer and stored at 4 °C until cryo-sectioned. Every other section was analyzed in the current study. In addition, ovaries were dissected and weighed and the gonadosomatic index was calculated ([gonad mass/body mass – gonad mass] ×100).
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Immunohistochemistry

Slides were brought to room temperature and then the perimeter of each slide was traced with a hydrophobic pen and soaked 3× for 10 min in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2), followed by a 1-h soak in a blocking solution made of PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 and 10% normal donkey serum (PBS-DS). Following the blocking procedure, slides were incubated for 16–17 h at room temperature in PBS-DS containing rabbit anti-cFos (1:2,000; lot No. C2510; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and mouse anti-Hu, a specific marker for neuronal somata (1:2,000; Molecular Probes). After incubation, slides were briefly dipped (to remove the majority of the antibody solution) and then rinsed in PBS + 0.5% normal donkey serum 5× for 10 min. The slides were then incubated for 2 h at room temperature with PBS-DS containing donkey anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (1:200; Life Technologies) for cFos and donkey anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200, Life Technologies) for Hu. Finally, slides were dipped and rinsed 4× for 10 min in PBS before being coverslipped using ProLong.
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Gold with DAPI and then allowed to cure for 48–72 h in a dark room. Once dry, the slides were sealed with nail polished and stored at 4°C.

**Image Acquisition**

Micrographs were obtained on an Olympus BX61 epifluorescence compound microscope using MetaMorph imaging and processing software. All auditory nuclei were identified at a low magnification using the GFP and DAPI filters to visualize neurons and their nuclei before being photomicrographed using a 20x objective. Exposure times and light levels were held constant for each channel across all conditions. Each micrograph was imaged consecutively starting with Texas Red and followed by GFP and DAPI filter sets respectively. Images were taken in z-series by setting a top and bottom focal plane in the GFP channel with a stack thickness of 1 μm. The stacked photomicrographs were combined into a single projection image using the Z projection maximum intensity feature in ImageJ. cFos immunoreactive (cFos-ir) neurons were quantified manually using a custom ImageJ macro [see Forlano et al., 2017]. Individual background thresholds were determined for each image and cFos-ir cells were confirmed by comparing across the neuron-specific anti-Hu and nucleus-specific DAPI channels. The average number of cFos-ir neurons per section per nucleus was recorded for each animal across the sound exposure groups. All cFos-ir quantification was done via experimenters who were blind to the exposure conditions.

**Central Acoustic Circuitry**

The ascending auditory pathway has been well characterized in midshipman fish [see Bass et al., 2000]. The nuclei and respective subdivisions described here represent a subset of the central acoustic pathway, which were analyzed in the present study. The rostral intermediate division of the descending octaval nucleus (DOri) and the ventral division of the secondary octaval nucleus (SOv) (Fig. 3b), the two auditory hindbrain nuclei analyzed, receive direct and indirect connections from the saccule, respectively. While there are several unique subdivisions of DO that receive saccular projections [Bass et al., 2000; Sisneros et al., 2002], previous preliminary studies have revealed the greatest and most consistent cFos activation within DOri and SOv [Mohr and Forlano, pers. obs.]. The caudal extent of DOri was determined by its appearance at the level of the octavolateralis efferent nucleus and sampled rostrally until its disappearance. SOv lies just medial and ventral to DOri throughout most of its extent (slight variations are present due to the angle at which the brains were mounted and sectioned). While SO also has a dorsal division, previous preliminary examination revealed less cFos activation in that division in response to auditory stimuli [Mohr and Forlano, pers. obs.]. All landmarks were determined by previously published neuroanatomical and physiological studies and the sampling techniques replicated from Petersen et al. [2013]. Serial sections of DOri and SOv were sampled unilaterally on the right side throughout their entire extent. No left/right differences in hemispheric brain activation were predicted because fish were allowed to move freely above the underwater playback speaker. On average 8.67 (±2.2 SD) and 7.81 (±1.7 SD) sections were quantified per subject for DOri and SOv, respectively.

The nucleus centralis of the torus semicircularis (TSnc) was the auditory midbrain region imaged for cFos-ir activity (Fig. 3c). Landmark and image acquisition for TSnc was held constant as in Petersen et al. [2013] and we sampled every fourth section with two adjacent images to encompass the entire nucleus. Similar to the hindbrain photomicrographs, TSnc images were taken only on the right side of the brain for all treatment groups. On average, 6.32 (±1.3 SD) sections were analyzed in TSnc per animal. The TSnc sends projections to the central posterior nucleus of the thalamus (CP) in the auditory forebrain (Fig. 3d). The compact division of CP (CPC) is defined by a wing-shaped nucleus adjacent to the midline. While there is a diffuse region of CP (CPd) just lateral and ventral to CPC, only CPC was imaged for analysis due to the lack of clear boundaries in CPd. Photomicrographs of CPC were taken serially on the right side. In CP, 5.1 (±0.9 SD) sections were analyzed on average per animal. Finally, TS also sends projections to the anterior tuberal nucleus of the ventral hypothalamus (AT). Photomicrographs of AT were also taken serially from the right side of the brain. Within AT, cFos-ir activity was quantified in 3.05 (±0.6 SD) sections on average.

**Statistics**

Numbers of cFos-ir cells were averaged within each auditory nucleus and compared between playback condition groups using a one-way ANOVA with an α set at 0.05. Post hoc Tukey tests were used to make pairwise comparisons across groups for each nucleus. Correlations between water temperature and cFos-ir activity were conducted and the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used to correct for multiple comparisons, with a false discovery rate of 0.25 [Butler and Maruska, 2016; Forlano et al., 2017]. All statistics were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 19 and GraphPad Prism 5 software.

**Results**

Of the 39 female midshipman used in this study, 15 animals were used in each of the ambient noise and conspecific playback conditions while 9 animals were used in the heterospecific playback condition. Fish exposed to ambient noise had a SL of 16.54 ± 1.57 cm (mean ± SD), a body mass of 59.48 ± 17.61 g, and a gonadosomatic index of 22.07 ± 10.54. Fish exposed to conspecific advertisement calls had an SL of 16.43 ± 1.45 cm, a body mass of 61.95 ± 15.28 g, and a gonadosomatic index of 26.53 ± 8.26. Fish exposed to heterospecific vocalizations had an SL of 16.50 ± 0.96 cm, a body mass of 60.57 ± 14.14 g, and a gonadosomatic index of 23.77 ± 5.24. There were no differences between groups for any of the morphometric data analyzed (p > 0.38 for all cases).

Brain activation of auditory nuclei was examined at the level of the hindbrain (DOri and SOv), the midbrain (TS), and the diencephalic forebrain (CP and AT) using cFos as a proxy for neural activity. Fluctuations in water temperature during the experiments on different nights ranged from 12 to 16°C, with an average of 14.52°C (±1.3 SD). There was no correlation between water tempera-
Fig. 3. Auditory neuroanatomy. Transverse sections with anti-Hu (green) staining showing neuronal cell bodies. Traced areas in white represent auditory nuclei in which cFos-ir neurons were quantified. 

a Dorsal view drawing of the midshipman brain with the relative positions of B-D indicated. 

b The hindbrain auditory nuclei, rostral intermediate division (DOri), and ventral division of the secondary octaval nucleus (SOv) are shown. 

c Nucleus centralis of the midbrain to semiicircularis (TSnc). 

d Compact division of the central posterior nucleus in the auditory thalamus (CPc) and the anterior tuberal nucleus of the hypothalamus (AT). C, cerebellum; CA, cerebral aqueduct; CPd, diffuse division of the central posterior nucleus; IAF, internal arcuate fiber tract; IV, fourth ventricle; M, midbrain; OB, olfactory bulb; PGm, medial nucleus preglomerulosus; T, telencephalon; TeO, optic tectum; TSnv, torus semicircularis nucleus ventrolateralis.
Fig. 4. Representative photomicrographs of the rostral intermediate division of the descending octaval nucleus (DOri) and the ventral division of the secondary octaval nucleus (SOv). Fish were randomly assigned to exposure to ambient noise (control), white seabass calls (hetero), or conspecific male advertisement calls (hum). The graphs show the mean number of cFos-ir neurons per section across the experimental conditions. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Neural activation of the hindbrain auditory nuclei did not vary with exposure to the tested acoustic stimuli. The average number of cFos-ir cells in the hindbrain DOri did not vary with acoustic playback stimuli: conspecific advertisement calls (mean ± SD: 2.05 ± 1.64), heterospecific vocalizations (2.22 ± 0.85), and ambient noise (1.73 ± 1.26) (one-way ANOVA, $F[2, 34] = 0.37, p = 0.69$; Fig. 4). There was also no difference in average cFos-ir neurons between groups for SOv: conspecific (mean ± SD: 2.73 ± 1.99) and heterospecific (1.92 ± 0.82) vocalizations and ambient noise (2.12 ± 1.40) (one-way ANOVA, $F[2, 34] = 0.84, p = 0.44$; Fig. 4). There were no differences in the number of sections analyzed within each nucleus between any of the experimental groups ($p > 0.05$).

Midbrain neurons were differentially activated by exposure to conspecific advertisement calls versus ambient noise. Specifically, in the midbrain TSnc, there was a significant difference in average cFos number across groups and pairwise comparisons revealed that there were more cFos-ir neurons ($p < 0.05$) with conspecific signal exposure (mean ± SD: 35.18 ± 7.21) compared to the ambient noise exposure (25.89 ± 8.14) (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Tukey test, $F[2, 34] = 4.88, p = 0.013$); however, no differences were found between the ambient noise and heterospecific signal exposure groups ($p = 0.90$; mean ± SD: 27.47 ± 11.02) or between the conspecific and heterospecific exposure groups ($p = 0.10$; Fig. 5).

Forebrain auditory nuclei were differentially activated by exposure to the auditory stimuli presented. There were significant differences in the average number of cFos-ir

---

**Fig. 5.** Representative photomicrographs of the periventricular nucleus centralis of the torus semicircularis (TSnc) in the midbrain. Images are representative of the three experimental exposure conditions: ambient noise (control), white seabass calls (hetero), and conspecific advertisement calls (hum). Neurons are identified by the presence of both the neuron-specific anti-Hu (green) and the nuclear-specific counterstain DAPI (blue), with red (arrowheads) indicating cFos-ir activation. Data are represented in the graph as the mean number of cFos-ir neurons per section in each of the experimental groups. * $p < 0.05$. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Fig. 6. Representative photomicrographs of the forebrain central posterior nucleus of the thalamus (CP) and the anterior tuberal nucleus of the ventral hypothalamus (AT). Neurons are identified by the presence of both the neuron-specific anti-Hu (green) and the nuclear-specific counterstain DAPI (blue), with red indicating cFos-ir activation. Fish were assigned to one of three experimental exposure conditions: ambient noise (control), white seabass calls (hetero), or conspecific advertisement calls (hum). Graphical data are presented as the mean number of cFos-ir neurons per section for each of the experimental conditions. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$. Scale bar, 100 μm.
neurons between playback conditions within CPc, and pairwise comparisons revealed there were significantly more cFos-ir neurons in the conspecific signal exposure group (mean ± SD: 36.63 ± 8.90) compared to both the ambient noise (27.08 ± 9.29, p < 0.05) and the heterospecific signal exposure group (41.80 ± 12.50, p < 0.05) groups (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Tukey test, F[2, 35] = 4.80, p = 0.014). There was no difference between the average number of cFos-ir neurons between playback conditions, and pairwise comparisons revealed that females exposed to the conspecific advertisement call (mean ± SD: 61.09 ± 19.23) had a significantly higher average number of cFos-ir neurons compared to both the conspecific signal exposure group (mean ± SD: 17.89 ± 5.47, p < 0.001) exposure groups and the ambient noise group (mean ± SD: 27.08 ± 9.29, p < 0.001; Fig. 6). In AT, there was a significant difference in average cFos-ir neurons between playback conditions, and pairwise comparisons revealed that males exposed to the conspecific advertisement call (mean ± SD: 13.90, p < 0.001; Fig. 6). At, there was a significant difference in average cFos-ir neurons between playback conditions, and pairwise comparisons revealed that females exposed to the conspecific advertisement call (mean ± SD: 61.09 ± 19.23) had a significantly higher average number of cFos-ir neurons compared to both the ambient noise (45.80 ± 16.21, p < 0.05) and the heterospecific signal exposure group (one-way ANOVA, post hoc Tukey test, F[2, 36] = 4.80, p = 0.014). There was no difference between the average number of cFos-ir cells between the ambient noise and heterospecific signal exposure groups (p = 0.84; Fig. 6).

Discussion

The goal of this study was to identify neural circuits involved in the recognition and discrimination of conspecific social acoustic signals in female midshipman. Using the IEG protein product cFos as a marker or proxy for neuronal activation, we mapped the cFos activity of auditory neurons in specific components of the ascending auditory pathway of females held in a seminaturalistic arena while being exposed to either conspecific or heterospecific vocalizations or ambient noise. The use of IEG responses, including cFos, has become a powerful tool for mapping neuronal activation patterns as they can be used to assess the entire brain and the IEG response begins within minutes [Luckman et al., 1994; Clayton, 2000; Kovacs, 2008]. Consistent with our hypothesis that exposure to complex acoustic signals would yield differential neuronal activation across auditory nuclei, our results revealed greater activation in response to conspecific vocalizations compared to ambient noise at the level of the midbrain and greater activation in response to conspecific vocalizations compared to both heterospecific calls and ambient noise in the forebrain. Our results suggest that higher-order processing is likely necessary for the processing and discrimination of complex social signals in teleosts, consistent with studies in tetrapods [Syla et al., 1997; Klug et al., 2002, Suta et al., 2003; Hoke et al., 2004].


cFos-ir Response in the Hindbrain

The rostral intermediate division of the descending octaval nucleus (DOri) and the ventral division of the secondary octaval nucleus (SOv) are auditory areas in the hindbrain that receive direct and indirect innervation from the auditory end organs via the VIIIth nerve, respectively [Bass et al., 2000; Sisneros et al., 2002]. Neuroanatomical evidence from Bass et al. [2000] suggests a connection between DOri and SOV, and that a DOri-SOV complex is the major hindbrain site for auditory and vocal integration. Neurons within DOri and SOV revealed similar activation levels across all three experimental conditions. While the average numbers of cFos-ir neurons in DOri and SOV were relatively low, the percentage of neurons activated may be similar to those for other higher-order brain areas analyzed given the intrinsically low number of neurons within these small nuclei. These results are consistent with previous findings in the oyster toadfish, O. tau, which revealed that auditory hindbrain neurons in DO are typically “silent” or have a low spontaneous activity (1–10 spikes/s) [Edds-Walton and Fay, 2008]. Furthermore, hindbrain neurons in O. tau are broadly tuned and respond to a wide array of acoustic stimuli, including general acoustic stimuli and vocal signals alike [Edds-Walton, 2016], which is consistent with results from the African clawed frog, Xenopus laevis, where first-order hindbrain auditory nuclei have been shown to respond broadly to auditory stimuli [Elliott et al., 2007] which may help to explain the similarities in cFos-ir activation across our experimental conditions. It is also important to note that the reduced cFos activity in DOri and SOV does not exclude the possibility of higher activation of these circuits than what is revealed with cFos-ir, which may be due to subthreshold neuronal activity levels for cFos induction or activation of inhibitory inputs on auditory neurons in this hindbrain circuit. Other activity measures including the use of different IEG (i.e., egr-1 or arc) or electrophysiology may also yield different results [Kawashima et al., 2014].

Midshipman fish receive auditory input from their main end organ of hearing, i.e., the saccule, which has been shown to undergo seasonal changes in morphology and auditory sensitivity related to reproductive state and circulating levels of steroid hormones [Sisneros and Bass, 2003; Sisneros et al., 2004; Sisneros, 2009; Rohmann and Bass, 2011; Coffin et al., 2012; Rohmann et al., 2013; Forlano et al., 2015b, 2016]. Specifically, reproductive females, like those used in this study, have increased hearing sensitivity across their entire frequency range to better detect and localize advertising male midshipman fish.
Recent studies have also revealed that females have elongated horn-like structures on the rostral ends of their swim bladders, which come into close proximity to the saccule and lagena, which are thought to increase sensitivity to sound pressure and high-frequency signals [Mohr et al., 2017]. Together these adaptations in female midshipman may lead to a heightened auditory sensitivity across all frequencies, especially during the reproductive season. Abiotic noise factors along with biotic sounds from other species can cause the intertidal zone to be a very loud and noisy environment [up to 120 dB re: 1 μPa, pers. obs.], and such environmental noise levels are well within the hearing range of midshipman fish and are likely a constant source of stimulation to the midshipman auditory system.

Furthermore, DOri is also an important part of the vocal-acoustic circuitry in male midshipman fish, as it has direct descending connections to the prepacemaker nucleus of the vocal pattern generator [Bass et al., 1994, 2000; Bass and Ladich, 2008]. Petersen et al. [2013] found greater cFos-ir activity in DOri in male midshipman fish in response to conspecific playback versus ambient noise, while our results in females revealed no differences across auditory exposure conditions. Female midshipman fish are incapable of producing the long-duration, reproductive advertisement call, and the sex-specific differences in cFos-ir activity may be related to mate call production.

cFos-ir Response in the Midbrain and Forebrain

The TSnc receives direct innervation from the auditory hindbrain and is the primary auditory center within the midbrain of midshipman and other bony fish [McCormick, 1999; Bass et al., 2000, 2005]. Our results revealed greater cFos-ir activation within TSnc in response to conspecific vocalizations compared to ambient noise but no difference when compared to the heterospecific playback condition. The TS is the homologue to the mammalian inferior colliculus, an area that has been implicated in selectivity to species-specific auditory signals [Feng and Lin, 1991; Crawford, 1993, 1997; Syka et al., 1997; Klug et al., 2002; Suta et al., 2003; Hoke et al., 2004]. In mammals, subpopulations of auditory neurons within the inferior colliculus have been theorized to be selectively responsive to a wide array of biologically relevant acoustic stimuli including sounds made by both conspecifics and heterospecifics [Casseday and Covey, 1996]. Our findings are consistent with the hypotheses of Casseday and Covey [1996] along with results of Petersen et al. [2013], which showed greater cFos-ir activation in male midshipman TSnc in response to conspecific advertisement calls compared to ambient noise in an outdoor laboratory tank. Similarly, our findings also parallel the egr-1 activity quantified in response to auditory playback of conspecific vocalizations in the túngara frog that showed greater activation to variations of conspecific advertisement calls (whines, chucks, and whine-chucks) in TS compared to silence [Hoke et al., 2004], but also greater activation to conspecific versus heterospecific vocalizations which were not observed until the level of the forebrain in midshipman [Hoke et al., 2004]. However, unlike the anuran TS which has several distinct toral subdivisions with a unique cytoarchitecture and connectivity related to processing of auditory information, no such subdivisions of the TSnc have been elucidated in the plainfin midshipman [Potter, 1965; Wilczynski, 1981; Bass et al., 2000; Endepols and Walkowiak, 2001; Hoke et al., 2004].

The central posterior nucleus in the auditory thalamus (CP) is a major area of higher-order processing of auditory information in midshipman and other teleosts [Bass et al., 2000; McCormick, 2011] and receives projections from TS [McCormick, 1999; Bass et al., 2000; Goodson and Bass, 2002]. While there are other ascending targets of TSnc, CP has been well characterized anatomically and neurochemically in midshipman [Bass et al., 2000; Goodson and Bass, 2002; Forlano et al., 2015a] and physiologically only in goldfish [Lu and Fay, 1995]. Our results from the compact division of CP (CPc), a CP region more easily discerned than its diffuse division, revealed the greatest cFos-ir activation in response to conspecific vocalizations compared to both the ambient noise and the heterospecific playback conditions. Interestingly, there was less cFos activity in the heterospecific condition compared to the ambient noise condition. Previous single unit physiological studies in the goldfish, Carassius auratus, have suggested that CP may be involved in the processing of complex, wide-bandwidth ecologically relevant stimuli [Lu and Fay, 1995] and along with TS may be a source for the discrimination of conspecific acoustic signals. Earlier work in anurans has also shown characteristics of species-specific selectivity of neurons in the auditory thalamus [Fuzessey and Feng, 1983; Hall and Feng, 1987; Mudry and Capranica, 1987]. However, as has been suggested more recently in both teleost fish and anurans, it is likely that CP and other forebrain areas are not solely auditory regions but also highly integrative, receiving multisensory (auditory and visual) input with implications for sensorimotor responses [Northcutt, 2006; Hoke et al., 2007; Wilczynski and Endepols, 2007]. Results from Petersen et al. [2013] showed that male midshipman exhibited the greatest differential cFos activity in conspecific...
vocalizations versus ambient sounds in CP compared to TSnc, DOri, and SOv. While the quantitative response properties in CP are similar in both sexes to conspecific advertisement calls, it is possible that the sensorimotor and physiological responses vary greatly between the sexes as they relate to aggression and reproduction. Reproductive female midshipman are shown to exhibit an increased density of tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactive (TH-ir) fibers in CP which likely originates from projections of the dopaminergic periventricular posterior tuberculum (TPp) [Forlano et al., 2014, 2015a, 2016]. TPp also sends TH-ir projections to the saccule, which could act to modulate the sensitivity of the inner ear in response to conspecific vocalizations during the breeding season [Forlano et al., 2014, 2015a; Forlano and Sisneros, 2016; Perelmutter and Forlano, 2017]. Catecholamines, including dopamine, are well-known neuromodulators that can affect attention, motivation, and arousal and enhance the valence of conspecific vocal signals [Hurley et al., 2004; Berridge, 2008; Riters, 2012; Caras, 2013]. Future physiological, behavioral, and pharmacological studies will be needed to further understand the role of CP in the processing of auditory information and how catecholamines may alter central processing and sensorimotor responses to the male advertisement call [see Forlano et al., 2017].

The CP shares reciprocal connections with the anterior tuberal nucleus (AT) of the hypothalamus, which also receives ascending projections from TSnc [McCormick, 1999; Bass et al., 2000; Goodson and Bass, 2002]. Other diencephalic connections with the CP are present, including the lateral preglomerular nucleus; however, there is more known about the role of AT as it relates to vocal-acoustic circuitry than other forebrain regions [Goodson, 2005; Petersen et al., 2013; Forlano et al., 2014]. Similar to CP, our results revealed greater cFos-ir activation in AT in response to conspecific compared to both ambient noise and heterospecific acoustic playback. Our results parallel that of the study of Petersen et al. [2013] in which they showed higher levels of cFos in AT in response to conspecific vocalizations compared to ambient noise. AT is not only a part of the ascending auditory system but also a part of the descending vocal-motor circuitry [Bass et al., 2000; Goodson and Bass, 2000a, b, 2002] and the social behavior network [Newman, 1999; Goodson, 2005]. The social behavior network is a group of reciprocally connected nuclei within the midbrain and basal forebrain that are involved in the processing, assessment, and action of various social behaviors [Newman, 1999; Goodson, 2005; Goodson and Kabelik, 2009]. The AT is thought to be homologous, in part, to the ventral medial hypothalamus (VMH) in mammals and birds [Newman, 1999; Goodson, 2005; O’Connell and Hofmann, 2011]. Lesion and stimulation studies have revealed that VMH is an important area involved in sexual behavior and female receptivity in rodents and birds [Malsbury et al., 1977; Mathews and Edwards, 1977; Pfaff and Sakuma, 1979; Meddle et al., 1999; O’Connell and Hofmann, 2011; Pawlisch et al., 2012]. Future studies will be needed to further understand the role of AT and other forebrain acoustic and multisensory brain areas in social behavior and central auditory processing in fish.
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