

Sean M. Donahue

2018 Candidate For The 11th Congressional District of Pennsylvania in the 116th US Congress

Press Release

January 10, 2018

Response to Media Inquiries Regarding a Statement Released by Rival Candidate Andrew Lewis on July 9, 2018

The comments Andrew Lewis recently made about me are nuts. So are the writings of previous journalists who wrote articles about me in order to get AP syndicated stories under their media belts at my personal expense. This sort of thing has been done to me several times by both members of the media and by public officials groveling for attention at someone else's expense. So today, with this press release, I strike back.

First and foremost, I intend to put Lou Barletta's Hazleton ordinances against illegal immigration into US law. The intent of Barletta's ordinances was to allow local police to enforce federal immigration laws. The ordinances were struck down because according to the US District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania and according to the US Third Circuit Court of Appeals, enforcing Barletta's ordinances would require Congress to modify currently existing US Civil Rights Law. There is no way around this legal fact. It is a lawmaker's job to make law and making law requires a confidence and a willingness to address race based laws, as well as the consequences of having such laws and the consequences of not having them.

I am amazed at how many Pennsylvania GOP political candidates claim to be strong supporters of Lou Barletta but also claim that they do not support repealing or modifying civil rights legislation to allow for race based immigration practices. I find the entirety of all three branches of the federal government and the entirety of big media to be duplicitous on this topic. I question the depth of thought that many public officials have put into their positions on reforming US immigration practices.

If you support Lou Barletta's position on immigration reform, then you support modifying US Civil Rights Law. Numerous federal courts have repeatedly concluded that doing so is going to affect the governing of both race and matters involving race. The Third Circuit said this when they denied Lou Barletta's appeal to allow his ordinances to be enforced. The US Supreme Court affirmed this when they backed the ruling. If voters are opposed to allowing for some modification of US Civil Rights Law on race, then voters will be preventing Lou Barletta's Hazleton ordinances, and anything that sounds like his ordinances, from ever becoming US Law.

Voters of the 11th District sent Barletta to Congress three times in a row because they know that putting Barletta's ordinances into federal law would allow local police to enforce federal laws against illegal immigration. They watched the evening news and read the papers when the courts struck down the Barletta ordinances but they didn't give up their fight. They didn't surrender their position on the matter. Instead, they sent Barletta to Congress so that he could put his ordinances into federal law. The other members of Congress stood in Barletta's way because they didn't want to be called racist by the media. Now, Barletta is going to the US Senate and I am running for office to replace him in the Congress. It is my intent to pass a federal law that does exactly what Barletta's ordinances were intended to do; Allow local police to enforce immigration law!

This is not an easy campaign year and the 116th US Congress is not going to be an easy Congress to be a part of. Our nation is experiencing fundamental changes that will irreversibly define the course of our nation for the decades that follow. Not in a century and a half has our nation experienced such differences in the core values and political beliefs amongst those who reside in our nation and our own legal population. Voters who are paying attention to the problems that are destroying our nation do not have an easy task ahead of them.

We are living in a time where differences in fundamental core political beliefs threaten to split our nation apart and to ultimately stretch the binding ties of the Union. Political "resistance" organizations, such as Black Lives Matter and ANTIFA, have engaged in activities that any Military Intelligence Analyst would have quickly classified as the latent and incipient phases of an armed insurgency. Yet, big media and liberal candidates continue to celebrate and bolster the actions of these organizations, while their conservative counterparts all too often choose to boycott discussing the issues completely because they are too afraid of being called racist. Someone uses the word "racist", and they proceed to crumble. That is precisely why their political adversaries and the liberal media that oppose their election to public office use it.

There is no way for our nation to honestly discuss immigration reform without discussing race based immigration laws. The GOP harkens back to an era when we had such laws every time it touts; "Make America Great Again". If we do not want to control immigration from South and Central America, then we must accept that Whites will ultimately become the racial minority in the US. If we are willing to accept that, then we must put laws into place that will protect us when the first generation of White children become the racial minority in the United States. History should tell us that minorities have traditionally not been treated well by majorities. There is no reason to assume that things will be different when we are in the racial minority and as far as big media seems to be predicting, that day is soon coming.

If these big media predictions are true, then just as there is a Congressional Black Caucus, there must soon be a Congressional White Caucus and any resistance to creating one is little more than an excuse by White Congressmen and Congresswomen not to acknowledge that race matters and that matters of race are very much so alive in this country and in this world. It is my belief that the fear by White politicians to openly and consciously discuss racial problems and potential solutions to those problems is disingenuously serving to foster an environment that preserves and perpetuates these problems.

Liberals love this because that they can use these problems as springboards to launch a last stand political campaign against all things conservative, against all things Americana and against all things smart. Examples of this include the widespread and well funded campaign to tear down US Civil War monuments throughout our nation and a law being pushed by a Black Philadelphia Councilwoman to require Koreans who own stores in the inner city to take down all bulletproof glass because bulletproof glass is racist. The same is true of privacy trees in California that were planted around a golf course.

It is said by many Americans on social media that Black Lives Matter, ANTIFA and the cultural war against American Civil War monuments is funded by billionaire sources like George Soros. While liberal billionaires such as Soros may or may not be responsible for the vandalism and destruction of America's Civil War monuments, the fact of the matter is that many of these monuments have been vandalized by these groups. Some monuments have been permanently damaged. These same radical insurgents who have willfully destroyed monuments to America's Civil War veterans are now calling for the removal of monuments to American veterans who fought in WWI and the War of 1812.

For two years, I coordinated many online talk shows on this specific topic. I gave availed an online political platform to these people so that they could voice their political views and so that I could listen to their concerns. The politicians and activists to whom I intentionally and enthusiastically gave an online platform to came from a multitude of genders, races, religions and educational and professional backgrounds. These online shows were published and distributed via Facebook and Youtube. Some guests were interviewed by big media but then boycotted by the same big media outlets because the anchors in New York City did not like the idea of a Black women, a Jewish women or a homosexual harboring conservative values and protecting America's monuments to Civil War veterans.

I interacted with the same monument preservation activists that you have seen on Brian Kilmeade's Fox News special about Andrew Jackson, as well as activists you may have seen on other major networks but you didn't always hear everything that they had to say. I did hear everything that they had to say and the reason that I did was because the people with whom I worked to coordinate these online shows agreed to give our guests as much time as necessary for them to speak their whole mind, not just a short video bite or sound bite.

On the matter of David Duke, for approximately two years I was involved in an entrepreneurial endeavor to build an online talk show that would interview people who have extreme political views but who cannot gain access to regular media, as well as people with moderate political views who have been boycotted from coverage just because they weren't big enough to be covered. When I encountered these cases throughout our nation, it was my conclusion that big city journalists in New York City were abusing their control of the mic and camera in wrongful attempts to drive and manipulate elections and, like so many other patriots, I decided to use social media to do something about it.

The first online talk show that I coordinated involved a debate between David Duke and a Black attorney from California who changed his name to Barack Obama Mandela. Barack is a Black Nationalist who wants to segregate part of America to create a nation only for Black people. He is also a author, US Army veteran, Israeli Defense Force veteran, a long-time contributor to the Huffington Post and an aspiring playwright. I once suggested that he apply for a job at the Luzerne County Public Defender's office because I thought there were a lot of Black inmates in Luzerne County who would be inspired by him and his story. He graduated at the top of his high school class, served in two allied militaries, believes in God, graduated from law school and is a liberal.

Many universities in the United State already believe what Barack believes and have already created “safe spaces” and segregated dormitories just for Black people who claim that they just need some time to get away from White people. The universities and colleges willfully create these “safe spaces”, in which no Whites are allowed. Yet, Congress never cuts their federal funding. In great contrast, if a college or university created White only spaces, the government would immediately shut them down, maybe even send in the National Guard or the 101st Airborne/Air Assault Division to escort black students into White “safe spaces”.

If White students actually pushed their way into Black and other non-White “safe spaces”, they would be pushed out at gunpoint and arrested for trespassing on their own college and university campuses. Not one US Congressman has initiated a US Congressional inquiry to address the fact that Whites are actively being discriminated against at educational institutions throughout the US. These institutions receive hundreds of million of US tax dollars every year and cutting their funding would be a good way to save money.

Throughout the two years that I participated in recruiting guests for the online talk show, I invited guests from all political persuasions to appear on the show. I even hosted two online political debates in 2016 for the US Senate seat representing Louisiana and did so because I was disappointed with the games being played by the media and with the ability of candidates with money behind them to influence television stations and media outlets to boycott other candidates and not allow them to participate in democracy. KNOE NEWS in Louisiana even ran a news spot informing the Louisiana public about the online debates I coordinated and hosted.

All candidates in that US Senate race were invited to participate in the online debates that I hosted via youtube. They were from different races, different genders and of all political persuasions. They were also offered individual interviews with an online panel that I also coordinated. David Duke was one of the candidates in that US Senate race. He was on the Ballot and he opted only to participate in the online panel. Other candidates opted to participate in both the debates and panel interviews. Some opted for no participation at all. Hundreds of television, print and radio journalists from Louisiana, from Pennsylvania and from the rest of the nation were invited to participate as panel members but only one journalist in Louisiana ever got back to me. That journalist was the one who ultimately did a story about the online debates and about my efforts across social media to coordinate them.

Most recently, I assisted a US Navy veteran who served on the USS Liberty in telling his story. It was a heart wrenching story about Israel attacking US service members in what he has come to conclude was a covert attempt at a false flag attack on the US. He explained his belief that the Jewish state intended to dupe the US into a shooting land war in the Middle East so that US soldiers would do Israel's bidding in the realm of US Foreign Policy. This issue has long been a temptation that has plagued our nation to the point that Lou Barletta recently joined numerous establishment Republicans in pledging an allegiance to Israeli foreign policy.

The US Navy veteran told us how he was serving aboard OUR US Navy ship when it was viciously and wrongfully attacked by the Israeli military. According to Wikipedia, 171 US sailors were wounded in the attack and 34 US service members were killed. Because they were attacked by an ally of the US, rather than an enemy, they and their families didn't get the same veterans benefits as do veterans and families of veterans who are wounded or killed by enemies. This particular veteran also served in Vietnam. As we probed him with questions, he recounted the fears he had that day. He especially feared that the ship he so dutifully manned was going to sink before he and his comrades could be rescued and before the world would ever find out that it was Israel that attacked OUR US ship.

Having been an Intelligence Analyst in TF-160 and having provided intelligence during a 1991 special operation to locate the SCUD missile launchers that were being used to strike Israel, I felt obligated to learn more about the personal experiences that a veteran who served before me had when interacting with the Jewish state. The sadness and shock that I felt when hearing his sailor's story is eclipsed only by my sadness and shock over the fact that the details of such historical events are not aggressively taught in our education system but are instead just glossed over because we don't like discussing anything that paints Israel in a negative light. Perhaps that is because Israeli lobbyist and US bankers who share Israel's political interests donate large amounts of money to Congress and Congressmen like big donations.

There is just no way to openly discuss racial and religious based political differences in our society without being falsely accused of being racist, homophobic or Islamophobic. Likewise, there is no way to openly discuss the undue level of influence that the Israeli government has on both US foreign policy decisions and on domestic policy decisions, right down to the state agency level, without being falsely accused of being anti-semitic. If you don't agree with everything Black Lives Matter says, you are a racist. If you don't agree with everything that the Jewish lobby says, you are an anti-semite.

I disagree with Tarah Toohil and many other seemingly intelligent and well educated Pennsylvania Legislators who knew exactly what they were doing when they traveled to Israel for political professional development training to learn what Israel wanted out of Pennsylvania lawmaking. It was the voters in Pennsylvania who voted them into office, not the voters in Israel. Instead of going to Israel, they should have asked the voters in Pennsylvania what they wanted out of Pennsylvania lawmaking. If instead they had traveled to Europe to find out what Europeans wanted out of Pennsylvania lawmakers, the PA Department of State and PA Attorney General would likely have intervened.

Shortly after Toohil and the others took their trip, the Pennsylvania State Capitol Police decided to replace their US M-16/AR-15 style Colt rifles with the Israeli "bullpup" assault rifle called the Tavor. It is likely that the Israeli Defense Force or other Israeli business interests ultimately profited from the purchase made by the Pennsylvania State Capitol Police. If you tried to stop the purchase, you were likely considered to be an anti-semite.

The only thing that I have done differently than what Lou Barletta has done over the past six years regarding HIS Hazleton ordinances against illegal immigration is to apply the Liberal Arts approach to policy that I learned at Dickinson College, which leans conservative, and the professional administrative policy wonk approach that I learned at Columbia University, which leans liberal. The education at both institutions taught me to recognize the underlying truths of controversial policy matters. Both institutions also taught me to put a careful spin on policy discussions, so as not to upset those with enough governmental decision making power and money to destroy your life.

Rather than embrace the spin lessons of either school, I decided to do what I learned through experience to do on a daily basis during my seven years in the US Army as an Intelligence Analyst. Five of those seven years were spent in TF-160, where I learned the tacit General Order for the Special Operations Intelligence Analyst; Walk into that room, look those combat pilots and commanders in the eye and tell them everything that they don't want to hear but that you know they need to hear. Approach each briefing as if it is your last and put all the truth out there and on the table. Do not let that colonel, that general, that combat pilot or soldier leave that room without knowing that which it is your job to make sure he knows. Hitting the nail on the head is the only method of approaching controversy that I have any experience with.

If you are not willing to address race based immigration, then you are not willing to honestly address America's immigration problems. It is my intention to take the American Dream away from the hoards of Muslims and Central and South Americans who are storming the US borders in the same way that an enemy infiltrates what should be the secure castle walls of our kingdom. Once inside our borders, these immigrants are ending up in every small town, in every big city, in every walk of life and even in US government employment. Meanwhile, government agencies at all levels either ignore or find ways around veterans preference for jobs and social services, such as public housing for homeless veterans.

These same agencies fall all over themselves to avail these very same social services to immigrants and refugees and the reason they do so is because the establishment politicians in both the Democratic and Republican parties keep going on national television and keep saying that immigrants and refugees have dreams. These establishment politicians are stealing from your American grandchildren that which your American grandparents fought to secure and protect for you. There is nothing these politicians love to do more than give speeches thanking veterans for protecting the very same American dreams that our nation's bureaucratic systems will never let veterans live. This is because the well being of immigrants and refugees, not veterans, is the true priority of US funded social service agencies, like HUD and the US Department of Labor.

Politicians, public housing bureaucrats and local newspapers throughout Pennsylvania boast about the number of hispanic immigrants from Central and South America who have been fortunate enough to buy homes through public housing programs. Yet, they never boast about how they sent an immigrant family back home because there was a homeless veteran who needed a home first. That's because it never happens.

Our nation has become so infatuated with the Muslim American Dream, the Central and South American Dream, the Asian American and the Indian American Dream, that it has completely forgotten about those American families whose parents, grandparents and ancestors made those dreams possible. Big media tells working class White Americans every day that they owe something to everyone else on the planet but it fails to see that these people have nothing left to give. It is time to start looking out for their interests too.

If I am elected, I promise to preserve the American Dream for Americans and to deny that dream to foreigners. It saddens me that we cannot afford the cost of intervening in the oppression of White people that is said to be occurring in South Africa and it saddens me even more that big media won't even go there and tell us what exactly is or is not happening in that country. Central and South America, Africa and the Middle East are not the only regions of the world where humanity exists and suffers. There is suffering all around the world and we cannot be pressured by name calling, such as "racist" and "anti-semitic" to open our borders to all of planet earth. It is not our job to give the American Dream to all people from all nations.

If modifying US Civil Rights Law is what we must do so that we can allow local law enforcement agencies to enforce US immigration law, as Lou Barletta proposed in his Hazleton Ordinances against illegal immigration, then that is what we must do. Calling candidates who are willing to do this "racist" and other names only prolongs the number of years it takes to pass meaningful immigration reform.

Respectfully,

Jan 10, 2018
Date

Sean M. Donahue

Sean M. Donahue
Candidate for the 11th Congressional
District of Pennsylvania