

The Bungalow Murder

If we hold true that the story is 100% accurate and told exactly how the events unfolded than we know for sure that the husband was the one that found the murdered woman so he did not kill her. The woman was killed by the husbands wife.

How did she do it?

The biggest clue that was given was that the woman was hit with a blunt object.

If you create a syllogism (syllogism is a model for deductive reasoning, sort of a verbal argument model) you should be able to get close to the answer or at least hint at it.

Syllogism:

All people that are hit with a blunt object die. (Major Premise)

A woman was hit with a blunt object (Minor Premise)

Therefore she died. (Conclusion)

The logic is solid for this syllogism but the major premise is false. Not everyone that gets hit with a blunt object dies from the hit. So let's build another syllogism.

Syllogism 2:

All people that are murdered are murdered with some kind of weapon or device.

A woman was murdered.

Therefore she was murdered with a weapon.

This syllogism seems pretty basic and almost silly to state but it really helps to clear up a point in the case; and that is, "there has to be a murder weapon."

So that means that the weapon is somewhere but the police don't see it or recognize it as a weapon. Think about what the husband and wife ate for dinner. A leg of lamb. What does a leg of lamb have in it? A very large bone of course. If you were an investigator would you consider that the leg of lamb, a meal, was a potential murder weapon?

So our answer is clear: The wife killed the woman with the leg of lamb before her husband came home.