IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 16-cv-00834-RBJ

Christopher	Mechaca,	individually	and as	Personal	Represe	ntative of	the Es	state of	Irma
Menchaca,									
plaintiff,									

D ~ 004444

v.

DaVita, Inc.

defendant

consolidated with,

Civil Action No. 16-ev-00834-RBJ

Sherri Saldana, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gary Gene Saldana, plaintiff,

v.

DaVita, Inc.,

defendant.

consolidated with,

Civil Action No. 16-cv-02586-RBJ

Frankquin Hardin, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Deborah Hardin, plaintiff,

v.

DaVita, Inc.,

defendant.

VERDICT

We, the jury, unanimously answer the questions of the Court as follows:

1. Did one or more plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence the negligence claim according to the elements listed in Instruction No. 8 as to
a. Plaintiff Menchaca:
b. Plaintiff Saldana: yes no
c. Plaintiff Hardin: yes no
2. Did one or more plaintiffs prove by the applicable standard of proof the fraud by concealment claim according to the elements listed in Instruction No. 10 as to
a. Plaintiff Menchaca: yes no
b. Plaintiff Saldana: yes no
c. Plaintiff Hardin: no
If you answered "no" to all these questions, then your verdict is for the defendant, and you should proceed to date and sign the Verdict without answering additional questions. But if you answered "yes" to any of these questions, please proceed as appropriate to questions 3 through 5.
3. What amount of compensatory damages, if any, as described in Instruction No. 12 do you award to
a. Plaintiff Menchaca: \$ \(\frac{7}{2}\), 000,000
b. Plaintiff Saldana: \$ 1,500,000
c. Plaintiff Hardin: \$ 5,000,000
4. What amount of punitive damages, if any, as described in Instruction No. 13, do you award to
a. Plaintiff Menchaca: \$\125,000,000
b. Plaintiff Saldana: \$ 175,000,000
c. Plaintiff Hardin: \$ 175,000,000

Dated June 27, 2018

JUROR NAMES REDACTED