

In Rouyn-Noranda on the 26th. of March 2014, Fernand Harvey wrote:

To : Legislature Office,
403 Legislature Building,
10800 - 97 Avenue,
Edmonton Alberta,
Canada.
T5K 2B6.

Ph. : 780.427.2339

Fax : 780.422.6621

Attn. : Jonathan Denis, QC.
Minister.

c/c : Clerk of the Court,
Court of Queen's Bench of Calgary AB. Canada.

From : Fernand Harvey,
99 'A' Dallaire ave.
Rouyn-Nornada P.Q.,
J9X 4S8

Ph. : 819.762.3611

Email : fernand_harvey@yahoo.ca

Fax Correspondance

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Dear Minister Jonathan Denis, please do find included with this fax correspondence of mine of today, an **other attempt** by the 'Plaintiff' in this Court Case Action Nu.0201-07950 to have justice served upon himself.

For once more, the 'Plaintiff' wants to believe into the **“Integrity”** of the Honorable Minister of Justice. For such, a sworn **“Affidavit”** is therefore forwarded.

The **“Plaintiff” in this Court Case Action Nu.0201-07950** also wishes that his **“Affidavit”** of today to be one **last of the kind.**

(AFFIDAVIT)

"DISGRACE" "MISREPRESENTATION" and "SHAME" ON

Trent Health Insurance

(Former INGLELIFE AND HEALTH ASSURANCE COMPANY from Toronto Ontario Ca.)

Ref:

Action nu.0201-07950

In the Court of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada.

My name is **Fernand R. Harvey** and I'm trying to put some emphasis on the pertinence to **'EXPOSE'** up to what **extend 'ONE'** who thinks **HE'S GOT A "TRUE" COVERAGE** under an Insurance Policy could be extremely **"DECEIVED"** when he discovers...

A) HOW little coverage or protection he "REALLY" has at the end ...

B) HOW "DECEPTIVE" the JUDICIAL SYSTEM can REALLY be when 'ONE' needs help and protection from IT and BASICALLY SOLELY "RELIES" UPON IT ...

01- I am the 'Plaintiff' in a Civil Action against an Assurance Company,, **INGLELIFE AND HEALTH ASSURANCE COMPANY (Now Trent Health Insurance), SELECT CARE RISK MANAGEMENT, ICMS CORPORATION INC. AND ANGELA GRANT** as of the 'Defendants'. I am also **Self-Represented**. Those Defendants are **represented** by Me. Stuart J. Weatherhill with the Law Firm Emery Jamieson LLP. from Edmonton Alberta Canada.

02- I filed, with the Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada, a 'Statement of Claims', as of the 'Plaintiff', against those same 'Defendants' for Breach of Contract, Defamation, Fraud, Bad Faith and Conspiracy and I sought Compensatory Damages resulting from the Denials of Benefits under a Short Terms Total Disability Insurance Policy which also includes an **"UNINTERRUPTED" TOTAL DISABILITY** clause after the Short Terms Total Disability Payments Coverage Ends. I also sued a number of parties for Bad Faith, Defamation, Conspiracy and sought Compensatory Aggravated and Punitive Damages.

03- As of in response to my Statement of Claims those Defendants, former IngleLife and Health Assurance Company. and al.(Now Trent Health Insurance), also filed a 'Statement of Defense', whereby they just **"DENY" Everything** and as of to **"DEFEND"** against the **"ENTIRETY"** of the Plaintiff's Claim, those Defendants were to **"RELY"** on the Terms and Conditions of the Policy.

04- That Policy was pertaining as of to a **TOTAL DISABILITY Salary Coverage Provision** which was to provide for 90% of your regular income should you not be able to do 60% of your **"OWN" OCCUPATION**. And after the Short Term **COVERAGE ENDS (15 WEEKS), THAT** Short Term Total Disability Salary Benefits Coverage was to **"CONTINUE"** as if Coverage were **"STILL" IN FORCE** as long as the beneficiary's **"TOTAL" DISABILITY** were **"UNINTERRUPTED"**.

05- So, as of on July 10th.2001, such Insurance Company was **IN THE PROCESS TO "FINALIZING" A TOTAL DISABILITY CLAIM FOR THE INSURED ("MYSELF")** .

06- Also, such 'Policy' was pertaining as of to an **Agreement** through which the **Insurer was to pay for fifty percent (50%)** of the beneficiary's Salary Total Disability Benefits coverage claim and the **Employer was to pay for the other fifty percent (50%)** for such beneficiary's Salary Total Disability Benefits coverage claim.

07- However, ANY and ALL of those Benefits to which I am rightfully entitle to 'EVER' came even though ... as early as of on July the 10th.2001, ICMS, the Insurance Claims Management Systems (One of the 'Defendants' in this Action Nu. 0201-07950) sent a letter to the beneficiary's family doctor, Dr. Stanich, to the effect that the beneficiary's total disability claim was "accepted". Such letter was also signed by **Angela Grant, an other "defendant" in such **Action nu.0201-07950** in that Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada.**

08- So, since nothing has ever been sent to me yet, I decided, as of on May the 13th. 2002, to file a 'STATEMENT OF CLAIMS' (32) AGAINST those same Defendants and sat and did 'DISCOVERY' upon an application from MySelf.

09-Those Defendants ALSO made an Application for a SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARINGS as per with Civil Practice Rule of the Court Art.159, in that same Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada SEEKING THE 'DISMISSAL' OF THE PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM in its "ENTIRETY" and whose has been heard as of on April 1st.in 2004 in that Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada..

10-Those same Defendants had 3 Major Issues for "REBUTTAL" :

- A) The Medicals Reports,**
- B) The Contract,**
- C) The Allegations for "Defamation".**

11- All of those 3 issues of "REBUTTAL" have been "REJECTED" by that same 'SITTING' Master K.R. Laycock, at that time of such a SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARINGS APPLICATIONS HEARD IN 2004, in Master's Chambers, in that Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary AB. Canada as of per with the followings:

A) FOR THE MEDICAL REPORTS : The Solicitor for those Defendants, Me. Stuart J Weatherhill with the Law Firm Emery Jamieson LLP. from Edmonton Alberta Canada, talked only about that "ONE" and "SAME" doctor "ALL" AFTERNOON ...

So, at that time of the closing arguments, He, That Solicitor for those same Defendants, Me. Stuart J. Weatherhill, kind of 'irratated' that same Sitting Master (The Honorable Master K. R. Laycock from Calgary Alberta Canada) **BY "STILL" TALKING** about that same doctor Dr. England and such irritated sitting Master **then** told to that Solicitor for those same Defendants ...

" I HEARD ABOUT DR. ENGLAND ALL AFTERNOON ... !"

" HAVEN'T YOU GOT ANYTHING ELSE ...?"

B) FOR THE CONTRACT : The sitting Master ruled ... **THE POLICY IS "GOOD" ... !**

C) FOR THE DEFAMATION ALLEGATIONS : The sitting Master ruled : ... **WELL ... THEY JUST "DENY" EVERYTHING ... THAT'S GOOD ENOUGH ...**

Note: In the Court of Justice "DENIAL ONLY" ABOUT DEFAMATION ALLEGATIONS IS "NOT" A DEFENCE ! The truth of the matter has TO BE "ASSERTED" ... !"

Note: Those Defendants in this Action Nu.0201-07950 "LOST" there case right there !

12- And to top it off, a "FINAL" DECISION, going in that same direction, has been "ENTERED" 'THEN' by that Same Sitting Master K.R. Laycock at that time of such a Summary Judgment Hearings Application, as of per with **Civil Practice Rule of the Court 159(3), [AGAINST] that Solicitor for those same Defendants as per with as of to the followings:**

" I FEEL IT IS ONLY FAIR TO MR. HARVEY THAT YOU CONTINUE THE POLICY"... !

13- The Memorandum of Decision and the Court Order have been sent "FIRST" to that Solicitor for those same Defendants, Me. Stuart J. Weatherill, with the Law firm Emery Jamieson LLP from Edmonton Alberta Canada, since He were the 'APPLICANT'. Such Applicant had "7 Days" to provide the Respondent (Myself) with a **TRUE COPY OF THAT "ORIGINAL" TRUE COURT ORDER, THEREOF UNDER THE "SEAL OF**

THE COURT", as of per with Civil Practice Rule of the Court Art.326(1), **AND ALSO "SIGNED" BY THE 'CLERK DEPUTY CLERK' OF THE COURT ... !!!**

14- So after 10 days, instead as of to seven (7) days, **since "NOTHING" HAD BEEN RECEIVED 'YET'**, I went to Queen's Bench Court Counter and asked to this young clerk of the Court if the Judgment was in. She went to check it out and came back cheerfully telling the followings:

CONGRADULATIONS MR. HARVEY, YOU HAVE "WON" ! And at that same time came this Senior Clerk of the Court whom took over the **"WHOLE"** matter under her control and whom handed me out a copy of an **"UNREPORTED"** MEMORANDUM OF DECISION . SUCH **"UNREPORTED"** MEMORANDUM OF DECISION WAS ENTIRELY THE **"OPPOSIT"** AS OF TO THAT **"TRUE"** DECISION ENTERED THEN AT THAT TIME OF SUCH SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARINGS *APPLICATION IN THAT SAME COURT HOUSE OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF CALGARY ALBERTA CANADA ... ?*

15- After "7 WEEKS", (**Instead AS OF TO 7 Days**) AN **"APPARANT"** TRUE COPY OF THE **"ORIGINAL"** MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ALONG WITH AN **"APPARANT"** TRUE COPY OF THE **"ORIGINAL"** COURT ORDER finally came in.

16- Such "Apparant" True Copy of such Apparant **"Original"** **'TRUE'** Court Order **did not bear the signature of the "CLERK DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT"** nor had it the **'SEAL' OF THE COURT AS OF PER WITH CIVIL PRACTICE RULE OF THE COURT ART.326(1) OF THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH ...**

17- So, I filed with the Court of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada a **Notice of Motion for a "Master Special Appeal" Hearing for an Order**, as of per with Civil Practice Rule of the Court Art.511, to **"Dismiss "**, as a **"Whole"**, that **"APPARANT"** TRUE MEMORANDUM OF DECISION along with that **"APPARANT"** TRUE COURT ORDER served upon ME, the APPELLANT, by the RESPONDENT, that Solicitor for those Defendants, pertaining as of to **THAT 'TRUE' DECISION "ENTERED THEN"**, as of on **April 1st.2004**, by the honorable Sitting Master K. R. Laycock, **'AGAINST'** THOSE SAME DEFENDANTS, as of per with Rule of the Court of Queen's Bench Art.159 (3), at that time of such Summary Judgment Hearing Application heard AS OF ON APRIL 1ST. in 2004.

18-The Respondent (That lawyer for the opposite party) also filed AT THAT SAME TIME, a **"CROSS APPLICATION"**, seeking a **DECLARATION FOR COSTS, AN ORDER STAYING THE PLAINTIFF'S ACTION, AND AN ORDER DIRECTING A PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE HEARINGS.**

19- 'INCREDIBLY' ... SUCH **"CROSS APPLICATION"** HAS ALSO BEEN **"RECOGNIZED"** any ways IN THAT SAME COURT HOUSE OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF CALGARY ALBERTA CANADA BY THAT SAME SITTING JUSTICE MALHONEY **"INSTEAD"** OF MINE AND WHOM THEN **"ERRED"** ...

20- AND THAT EVEN "AFTER" ...

A) THOSE "SAME" DEFENDANTS HAD ALREADY "PREVIOUSLY" APPLIED FOR A "SUMMARY" JUDGMENT HEARINGS WHEREBY THE DECISION 'ENTERED' THEN AT THAT TIME FOR SUCH HEARINGS IS ...

1 --"FINAL" ... !

2 – WITH "NO RIGHT" ... TO APPEAL FOR THE "APPLICANT" ... !

-AND THAT EVEN "AFTER" ...

B) A "FINAL" DECISION HAD ALREADY BEEN 'PREVIOUSLY' ENTERED THEN "AGAINST" THOSE "SAME" DEFENDANTS AS OF PER WITH CIVIL PRACTICE RULE OF THE COURT ART. 159(3) IN THAT SAME COURT HOUSE OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF CALGARY ALBERTA CANADA, AT THAT TIME OF SUCH A SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING APPLICATION FROM THOSE

"SAME" DEFENDANTS THEMSELVES and, SUCH DECISION "ENTERED" THEN, SHALL HAVE HAD BEEN TAKING EFFECT "IMMEDIATELY" (That is as of on April 1st.2004.) AND BEEN "FINAL" ... !

-AND THAT EVEN "AFTER" ...

C) THOSE "SAME DEFENDANTS DID **"NOT"** PROVE AT THE **"OFFSET"** THAT THEIR CASE WAS **"GOOD"** , AS **"REQUIRED"** FOR BY LAW AS OF IN ORDER TO **"PREVAIL"** AT SUCH A "SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING APPLICATION" ... !... !... !...

21-'THEN', HOW COME SUCH 'CROSS APPLICATION' HAS BEEN **"RECOGNIZED"** by that same sitting justice Malhony AND BEEN **"ALLOWED"** TO BE HEARD ANY WAYS IN THAT "SAME" COURT HOUSE OF QUEEN'S BENCH IN THE 1ST.PLACE ... ? ... ? ... ? ...

22- Then, WHEN DO THE RULES OF THE COURT **"APPLY"** ANY WAYS ... ? ... ? ... ?...

THEN ...WHAT IS GOING ON ... ?... ?... ?...

23- NOTE: THE DECISION "ENTERED" THEN AT THAT TIME OF SUCH SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING APPLICATION SHALL TAKE EFFECT "IMMEDIATELY", IS "FINAL", AND THERE IS "NO" RIGHT TO APPEAL FOR THE APPLICANT AND THIS IS WHY THE BURDEN OF PROOF IS "BIG" ON THE APPLICANT ... !!!

24-THEN ... WHAT IS GOING ON ...?...?...?...

I could go on and on and on with issues like that. And whatever I put forward or allege, I can support it, **"GUARANTEED"** !

25- I can not work due to chronic lower back pain caused by Degenerative Discs Decease at Three Levels, 3 Slip Discs, Sciatica, and the worst of all : "SPINAL STENOSIS". I took VIOXX 25 MG. for almost three years. And of course I saw all kinds of Specialists. If I am telling you ALL OF those matters is to let you know up to what extend my claim for Total Disability IS **"JUSTIFIED"**. And I also suffered Severe Cardio-Pulmonary Injuries due to the intake of Vioxx for Almost 3 years, which of course has no bearing with this Action.

26-The goal of this message is to **"EXPOSE"** such **"ILLEGAL"** and **"IMMORAL"** tactics use by some Insurance Companies to **"DEPRIEVE"** their clients from their rightful benefits. We often hear about people who would **OTHERWISE** qualify for benefits and yet, for one reason or an other, do not receive any of their rightful benefits they are entitled to what so ever ! And as one of the many lawyers I saw once said in a **"Worried"** **some Attitude** "It's going to shake the **"whole"** Industry ... !!! ..."

27- And remember : What is happening to Me, as well as of to thousand of other Victims, **could also be happening to You as well ... !**

28-There little **"GIMMICK"** is **"ALMOST"** a Bullet Proof Tactic and **YET IS RATHER SIMPLE ONCE YOU HAVE FIGURED IT OUT HOW IT WORKS, GUARANTEED !** And as in for with my case, even a **"JUSTICE"**, justice Malhony , in that particular Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada, **IS** also getting involved in some kind of conspiracy against Me ... ! And as one LEGAL **'CRIMINAL'** ADVISOR once told Me (At least 3 times) ... **"UNBELIEVABLE"** ... !

29- ALSO, I believe that **"EXPOSING"** such **"ILLEGAL" PROCEDURES** to deprive the victims from their "RIGHTFULL" benefits could be "BENIFICIAL" to "EVERYBODY" !

30- ALSO, a Rule of the Court of Queen's Bench says that **WHEN** a Pre-Trial Conference Hearings has been PROCEEDED WITH THAT ANY OF THE PARTIES **CAN APPLY FOR "FULL" TRIAL**

31- SO I DID APPLY FOR **"FULL" TRIAL**. On that morning for the hearings, I went to Queen's Bench Court Counter to check the board on the wall ON WHICH are posted the listings for the cases,

the chambers and the time for the hearings, **'INCLUDING MINE'** ... to be heard on that day. TO MY SURPRISE, THERE WAS **'NO'** SUCH A BOARD ON THE WALL ON THAT DAY ...?...?...?... So I asked to a Clerk of the Court where the board was ... ? The first thing that such Clerk of the Court asked me was: What is your Name ... ? THEN, she went to talk with an other Clerk of the Court and came back asking me: Have You executed the **'Order for Cost'** against You ... ?

32- 'No' did I answer. I also told her that one Rule of the Court says that any Order Entered at a Pre-trial Conference Hearing CAN ALSO be 'Appealed' in a Higher Court and so it was the reason I was there for ... !!! Here is what she answered back to me : **"WE JUST FOLLOW ORDERS"** ... (Note: BUT **'Not'** the Rules of the Court ... !) They never showed me that listing for the Hearings on that day ! Then ... I just went back home, as puzzled as can be ...

33- SO, I decided then to proceed thru other venues. I appeared then **"TWICE"** in A Provincial Criminal Court House in Calgary Alberta Canada, following an APPLICATION from Myself "against" that lawyer for the 'Defense', Me. Stuart J. Weatherill with the Law Firm Emery Jamieson LLP. From Edmonton Alberta Canada.. You just will **"NOT BELIEVE"** WHAT HAPPENED THERE TOO ... WITH A CRIMINAL CROWN **"PROSECUTOR"** IN THAT PROVINCIAL CRIMINAL COURT HOUSE OF CALGARY ALBERTA AND ... WITH A **"JUSTICE"** IN THAT SAME PARTICULAR PROVINCIAL CRIMINAL COURT HOUSE OF CALGARY ALBERTA CANADA ... !

34- At the first Hearing, the sitting SUCH **"CHIEF ADJOINT"** OF THE PROVINCIAL CRIMINAL COURT OF ALBERTA, after reading my **"INFORMATION"**, ruled out that ... **"THERE WERE SUFFICIENT GROUNDS TO "PROCEED"** WITH A **CRIMINAL TRIAL** and THEN asked to THAT Criminal Crown Prosecutor of the Provincial Criminal Court of Alberta to PREPARE THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO **'PROCEED'** and to meet the **"THREE"** of us in THAT SAME COURT ROOM, in 2 weeks, SO that He were to SIGN THE DOCUMENTS then at that time AS OF in order to allow such PROVINCIAL CRIMINAL CROWN PROSECUTOR TO **PROCEED** TO TRIAL !.

Two weeks later, that same Criminal Crown Prosecutor of the Provincial Criminal Court of Alberta was present as well as Myself, but it was **"NOT"** that **"SAME"** Alberta Chief Adjoint of the Provincial Criminal Court of Alberta who was sitting **THEN** !

35- SO, that Day, THEY MADE SURE TO HEAR ME **"LAST"** SO THAT **"NOBODY"** WOULD BE LEFT IN THAT SAME COURT ROOM TO **"WITNESS"** WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN BUT ME, THAT SAME CRIMINAL CROWN PROSECUTOR AND A **"NEW JUSTICE"** ... ! THAT **"NEW"** JUSTICE heard what I had to say and then asked to that **"SAME"** Criminal Crown Prosecutor IF He **HAD FOUND** whether or not there were sufficient grounds to proceed. That **"SAME"** Criminal Crown Prosecutor answered that HE **DID "NOT"** FIND THAT THERE WERE **"SUFFICIENT GROUNDS"** TO PROCEED ... Imagine that ... !

AND THAT ...

36- EVEN AFTER ... WHAT HAD "JUST BEEN PREVIOUSLY RULED OUT", 2 WEEKS BEFORE, BY THAT SITTING "CHIEF ADJOINT" OF THE PROVINCIAL CRIMINAL COURT OF ALBERTA CANADA , THAT IS ... FOR THAT CRIMINAL CROWN PROSECUTOR TO ... **PREPARE THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO PROCEED** ... **"UNBELIEVABLE"** ... ! **SIMPLY "UNBELIEVABLE ... !!!"**

37- I ALSO wrote to the "ATTORNEY GENERAL" OF ALBERTA about that SUMMARY JUDGEMENT HEARINGS APPLICATION previously mentioned. You just WOULD **"NOT BELIEVE"** WHAT HIS ANSWER WAS THERE TOO ... !!!

38- ALSO, as one Civil Clerk of the Court ONCE TOLD ME ... : THAT CASE HAS NEVER BEEN **"FILED"** with the Court ... !!! ... HUM ...? ? ? ... (Just as if it NEVER **"EXISTED"** ... ! ... ! ... ! ...) And yet, ALL of my documents filed with the Court ALL bear such stamp ... **"FILED"**... Simply **"UNBELIEVABLE"** ... !

39- I ALSO provided an **"OFFICIAL"** TRANSCRIPT OF SUCH A "Summary Judgment" Hearings Application AS **"EVIDENCE"** AT A "MASTER SPECIAL APPEAL" HEARINGS APPLICATION from Myself in "SPECIAL CHAMBERS", shortly After that "Summary Judgment Hearings Application" heard in 2004. Such **"OFFICIAL"** TRANSCRIPT HAS SIMPLY BEEN TOTALLY **"IGNORED"** BY THAT same

sitting **JUSTICE MALHONY**, and whom **“ERRED”** THEN AT THAT TIME OF SUCH A SUMMARY HEARINGS APPLICATION... !!! SIMPLY "UNBELIEVABLE" ... !
How can anyone **"RELY"** ON THE COURTS OF JUSTICE FOR '**JUSTICE**' TO BE SERVED UPON SELF ...?

40- ALSO, "WHAT EVER" I PUT FORWARD OR ALLEGE, I CAN SUPPORT IT, GUARANTEED !!

41- ALSO, such proceedings would definitely '**EXPLAIN**' AND '**EXPOSE**' how come so many Insurance Companies have '**NO FEAR**' ,what so ever, '**NOT TO PAY**' any rightful benefits to their beneficiaries **AND** specially when it comes to **A 'LONG TERM' TOTAL DISABILITY BENEFITS COVERAGE CLAIM** like mine ...

42- ALSO... the agreement between my Employer and such Assurance Company, former IngleLife and Health Assurance Company, was that for the benefits to be paid to the 'Insured' (**Myself**) to be shared fifty/fifty (50/50) **between** my Employer and such Assurance Company, now **“Trent Health Insurance”** ...

43- ALSO... such former Assurance Company, IngleLife and Health Assurance Company, now 'Trend Health Insurance', **“agreed”** as of on July 10th.2001, thru Select Care Risk Management, to pay for **HIS** share of the benefits to the 'Insured'... (**Myself**) ...

44- ALSO... to this day, **“nothing”** has been provided to the 'Insured'...('Mysef')...

45- ALSO... to this day, it would seem to be apparant that ... **THE OTHER PAYING PARTY**, that is the Employer to be the one whom is **“not agreeing”** to pay for **HIS** share of the benefits to the 'insured'... (**Myself**) and whom, the “Employer”, **is not even a “party”** to this Court Case Action ... Hum ...

46- ALSO... to this day, such Court Case Action of mine is not even **“Filed”** with the Court yet, even tough, all of my documents bear such stamp **“FILED”** with the Court through the Clerk of the Court ... ??? ...

47- ALSO ... to this day, the amount of money involved in this Court Case Action of Mine Nu. 0201-07950 is **rather** significant. It runs into a several millions dollars figure ... !

48- ALSO ... to this day, such Court Case Action of mine **Nu.0201-07950** is nothing but a huge '**DISGRACE**', '**COVER- UP**', '**“FRAUDE”**', '**“MISREPRESENTATION”** AND **“SHAME”** on such Assurance Company and al. by the name of **Trent Health Insurance, former IngleLife and Health Assurance Company from Toronto Ontario Canada ...**

49- ALSO ... there is what would appear to be as of to an agreement between those same defendants to commit **an unlawful act and to accomplish a lawful end by unlawful means.**

50- ALSO ... there would be therefore what appers to be a ... **“CONSPARICY”**.

51- ALSO ... there is what would appear to be a **misrepresentation of the facts for the purpose of depriving the 'Plaintiff**, in this Court Case Action Nu.0201-07950, **of a valuable possession and of his legal rights.**

52- ALSO ... there would be therefore what appears to be a ... **“FRAUD”**.

53- ALSO ... such I can **DEMONSTRATE AND PROVE** to anybody at at any time, any where, **guaranteed ... !**

Dear 'Trent' (Former IngleLife and Heath Assurance Company's) “Director”,

such is as stands now this Court Case Action of Mine against your company. Now, as you can also realize, I am left with not too many options to have justice served upon myself even tough, a **decision** has previously been **entered** then into my **“favor”**, at that time of such a 'Summary Judgment' Hearings Application from your law firm. **But, wait a minute ...**

54- ALSO ... Who hired such law firm Emery Jamiesons Llp to **“represent”** you ... ? ... ? ... ? ...

55- ALSO ... As I explained to the Attorney General of Alberta Canada, it **appears** to be that the **other** Total Disability Salary paying party, that is the '**Employer**', whom to be the one who hired such Law Firm to represent you and whom is **not even** a Party to this Court Case Action of mine... **Hum ...? Imagine that ... ! ... Hum ...? Looks pretty bad to me ! ... Hum ... ? ... How about you ... ? ... ? ... ?**

56- ALSO ... “WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT ALL OF THAT ANY WAYS” ... ? Any Idea ... ?... Hum ...

57- ALSO ... To this day, no answer back either from such Attorney General from Alberta Canada about such matter ... **HUM ... ??? ... WHAT'S GOING ON THEN ... HUM ... ??? ...**

58- ALSO ... WHAT A LEGAL “MESS”... REALLY ... !

59- ALSO ... References for “Breaches” to the Rules of the Court of Queen's Bench Art.159(2), Art.317, Art.322(1)(2), Art.326(1), Art.333(1) and Art.323 also apply and that is as of for such to just mentioning a few ... Hum ... THEN, what's going on ... ?

60- ALSO ... NOTE ... Not a “SINGLE” Lawyer, Justice or Master in such Court Case Action **Fernand R. Harvey v. IngleLife and Health Assurance Company and al.** in that same Court House of Queen's Bench of Calgary Alberta Canada saw, noticed or acknowledged any at all of all of those broken Rules of the Court either ... Hum ... Rather “strange” isn't it ... ? Hum ... What's going on then ... ? Hum ... Any idea ...?

61- ALSO ... WHAT A “SHAMEFUL” LEGAL MESS, REALLY ... ISN'T IT ...?

62- ALSO ... Justice for All ! Is that right hey ... ? Hum ... ? “Not so Sure” about that now !

63- ALSO ...What do you think about all of that any ways ... ?

64- ALSO ... It doesn't say much either tough about such **entire justice system** of Alberta Canada does it ... Hum ... “JUSTICE FOR ALL” Is that right hey... ? Hum ... ?

65- ALSO ... Dear Minister of Justice, I would also like to ask to you, with all of your due respect, “Can you walk the talk ... ?”

Signed on this 26th. day of March 2014

By ... **FERNAND R. HARVEY.**
“Plaintiff”

In this Court Case Action Nu.0201-07950.

Ph. : 403.297.7538

Fax : 403.297.3805

Email : fernand_harvey@yahoo.ca

c/c :To be 'Filed' with the Court,
Clerk of the Court,
Court of Queen's Bench of Calgary,
Calgary Courts Center,
601, 5th.Street S.W.,
Calgary Alberta
Canada.
T2P 5P7

