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Changes in medical practice that limit instruction time and patient availability, the expanding options for diagnosis and management, and advances in technology are contributing to greater use of simulation technology in medical education. Four areas of high-technology simulations currently being used are laparoscopic techniques, which provide surgeons with an opportunity to enhance their motor skills without risk to patients; a cardiovascular disease simulator, which can be used to simulate cardiac conditions; multimedia computer systems, which includes patient-centered, case-based programs that constitute a generalist curriculum in cardiology; and anesthesia simulators, which have controlled responses that vary according to numerous possible scenarios. Some benefits of simulation technology include improvements in certain surgical technical skills, in cardiovascular examination skills, and in acquisition and retention of knowledge compared with traditional lectures. These systems help to address the problem of poor skills training and proficiency and may provide a method for physicians to become self-directed lifelong learners.
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defined based on the training level. In competitive fields such as athletics, music, and chess, results from tournaments can be analyzed to rank individuals’ skills on an interval scale. According to Ericsson,\textsuperscript{12} the differences between individuals, from novice to champion, “are among the largest reproducible differences in performance observed for normal adults.” He also suggests that similar large differences would be expected in other domains of expertise (including medicine), in which a long period of education followed by an apprenticeship is required. Medical practice may also have corollaries in sports, where there is evidence that elite athletes use their perceptual-motor expertise in various situations to predict what is coming next and select appropriate actions.\textsuperscript{13}

The most important identifiable factor separating the elite performer from others is the amount of “deliberate practice.” This includes practice undertaken over a long period of time to attain excellence as well as the amount of ongoing effort required to maintain it. Deliberate practice has been defined as the opportunity to tackle “a well-defined task with an appropriate difficulty level for the particular individual, informative feedback, and opportunities for repetition and corrections of errors.”\textsuperscript{14}

The problem in medical education is that the subjects necessary to “deliberately practice on” are human beings with all their diversity and variability. Changes in medical practice that have reduced physician teaching time and decreased the availability of patients as educational resources and the rapidly increasing options for disease diagnosis and management have created a need for new methods of instruction, knowledge acquisition, and assessment. Advances in high-fidelity simulations of human conditions constitute such new methods and could add immeasurably to our teaching armamentarium. Unlike patients, simulators do not become embarrassed or stressed; have predictable behavior; are available at any time to fit curriculum needs; can be programmed to simulate selected findings, conditions, situations, and complications; allow standardized experience for all trainees; can be used repeatedly with fidelity and reproducibility; and can be used to train both for procedures and difficult management situations.

This article focuses on 4 areas in which high technology simulations are being used to teach and test a variety of skills in medicine and discusses the evidence of their effectiveness. The advantages of such simulations are outlined for both the initial training of important skills and as a potential means for lifelong development and reinforcement of these skills.

**LAPAROSCOPIC SIMULATORS**

Laparoscopic surgery is technically different from traditional open surgery, and many practicing surgeons did not learn the skills required for laparoscopic procedures during residency training. These skills include proficiency in ambidextrous maneuvers with new instruments and enhanced hand-eye coordination and depth perception.\textsuperscript{15} Several methods have been developed to assist physicians in acquiring laparoscopic skills. Early examples include training with other certified laparoscopic surgeons and courses involving practice with animal models. Laparoscopic surgical simulators provide an additional method for surgeons to enhance their motor skills in a standardized, controlled environment without risk to patients.

Examples of laparoscopic simulators include the Laparoscopic Surgical Trainer,\textsuperscript{16} Body Form laparoscopic trainer,\textsuperscript{17} and McGill Inanimate System for Training and Evaluation of Laparoscopic Skills (MISTELS) program.\textsuperscript{18} The latter consists of a box covered by an opaque membrane to simulate skin, 2 trocars, a laparoscope, and a video monitor placed in line with the operator. Several exercises have been developed, each emphasizing a specific skill essential for proper laparoscopic technique, including assessment of hand-eye coordination; cutting technique; placement of surgical clips, ligating loops, and mesh materials; and needle transfer, suture placement, and knot-tying skills.

Several reports have described the development and use of training exercises that simulate skills involved in laparoscopic surgery, including knot tying and suturing\textsuperscript{18,19} and the use of saphenous veins to simulate common bile duct exploration.\textsuperscript{20} Recent studies with newer simulators have included several skills for training and assessment of speed and precision. Derossis and colleagues\textsuperscript{21} evaluated surgical residents and practicing surgeons and found that level of training and frequency of skill repetition were significant predictors of overall skill proficiency on the simulator. In a follow-up study by the same group,\textsuperscript{21} surgical residents trained with a simulator showed significantly ($P<.05$) greater improvement on the simulator compared with controls on 4 of the tasks and for overall scores.

Surgical techniques that require repeated practice to master specific skills lend themselves to simulator use. Surgical program directors and those responsible for continuing medical education should consider use of simulator training along with current didactic sessions before allowing trainees to perform these invasive techniques on patients. The traditional reliance on an apprenticeship may no longer be the only method to remain technically proficient in an era in which the techniques and the instruments of surgery are continually changing.

**HARVEY, THE CARDIOLOGY PATIENT SIMULATOR**

The Harvey simulator is a life-sized mannequin that provides a comprehensive cardiology curriculum by realistically simulating 27 cardiac conditions. The physical findings programmed in the simulator for each disease include blood pressure; bilateral jugular venous, carotid, and peripheral arterial pulses; precordial impulses in 6 different areas; and auscultatory events in the 4 classic auscultatory areas that are synchronized with the pulses and vary with respiration.

A curriculum of cardiovascular diseases with learning goals, a teaching manual, test instruments, and self-
assessment slide programs for each condition has been developed by a national consortium of physicians and educators. The slide programs include all the elements normally available with a patient, including history, blood chemistry results, and noninvasive and invasive laboratory data. In addition, appropriate medical and surgical therapy is presented, along with a summary of the pathology and epidemiology of each disease.

The Harvey simulator can be used in the education of a variety of trainees. The simulator may be used to teach the beginning student such basic techniques as taking blood pressure and recognizing a heart murmur. For the senior medical student, Harvey correlates heart sounds with respiration, provides normal and abnormal carotid and jugular venous pulsations, and correlates physical findings with historical and laboratory data. The simulator also has potential applications for the postgraduate training of primary care physicians. For example, at 2 annual conventions of the American Academy of Family Physicians, more than 1500 physicians participated in 8 4-hour teaching sessions that emphasized the importance of bedside diagnostic skills in evaluating patients with suspected cardiovascular disease. Despite the large audiences, each physician was able to participate in the evaluation of findings presented on Harvey through the use of individual stethophones for auscultation and closed circuit monitors for visualization of pulses. Responses from a course evaluation indicated that participants were nearly unanimous (1280 of 1333) in thinking that the simulator was a valuable teaching tool with which they would like to have further experience.

Harvey has been rigorously tested to establish its educational efficacy. In a multicenter study involving 208 senior medical students at 5 medical schools, fourth-year medical students who used the cardiology patient simulator (CPS) during their cardiology elective performed significantly better than the non-CPS–trained group, who learned in the traditional manner from patients. This was true not only on the CPS skills posttest (P<.001), but also on the patient skills posttest (P<.03). In addition, there was no statistically significant difference in the way patients perceived the professional behavior of CPS-trained and non-CPS–trained students. This finding addresses the concern that simulators may negatively affect physician behavior. In another study involving 203 second-year medical students at the University of Michigan, incorporation of Harvey into a required physical skills course significantly improved overall cardiac examination skills as measured by pretests and posttests of unknown findings on the simulator (P<.001). An additional observation was that the use of Harvey reduced the time faculty and students would have spent locating enough patients to examine a wide variety of cardiac problems.

These data suggest that simulation technology is a reasonable addition to the medical curriculum, skills learned on a simulator are transferable to patients, and student behavior toward patients is not adversely affected by exposure to a simulator. Combining simulation technology with traditional patient-centered teaching has the potential to prepare medical students to provide medical care with increased confidence at the bedside. This is especially important at a time when the cardiac bedside examination reportedly is being taught less frequently and less effectively and some medical students and residents have difficulty identifying even common cardiac auscultatory findings.

The CPS may also be used in the place of patients for testing bedside cardiovascular examination skills. In contradistinction to testing skills using patients, the CPS provides complete control over the task selected and its complexity. Patient findings can be presented uniformly and the process of skills testing can then be standardized. A multicenter study involving senior medical students called for testing individuals on a full range of 200 CPS simulations. Standardization allowed improved sampling of student performance so that any area (eg, vital signs, nonauscultatory events, and auscultation) could be assessed. Other educators have used Harvey to assess cardiovascular bedside skills of residents. Recently a more portable cardiology patient simulator, Simulator K (M64 New Cardiology Patient Simulator AVP Training System, Kyoto Kagaku Co, Kyoto, Japan), was developed by Japanese cardiologists who recognize the potential use of simulation to facilitate bedside skills testing.
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UMEDIC MULTIMEDIA COMPUTER SYSTEM

The UMedic multimedia computer system has been in development for the last 14 years and contains multimedia features that include computer and video graphics and real-time digitized video and audio. Ten patient-centered, case-based programs constitute a comprehensive generalist curriculum in cardiology. The program structure includes history; bedside findings; diagnosis; laboratory data, including electrocardiograms, radiographs, real-time echocardiograms and angiograms; treatment, including videos of surgery; and summary discussions. Learners can choose to study all of the above sections or only the bedside evaluation, which does not include the laboratory data and treatment sections. The system can be used alone or linked to Harvey and also can be used by an instructor in an auditorium setting.

Throughout each patient-centered, self-learning program, a physician instructor provides demonstrations of bedside findings using Harvey, narrative explanations, and feedback on important points in video segments. Multiple-choice questions are presented during the program to focus on key teaching points, to encourage problem solving, and to enhance interactive learning. An administrative program tracks 27 categories of learners and records their performance and time spent completing the tests.

A recent multicenter study demonstrated that this system can be inte-
Simulators have been used in anesthesia training for 30 years, beginning with the SIM 1 system. Advances in technology over the next 20 years led to the development of more sophisticated simulation systems, including a computer-only program that provided a highly sophisticated model of human physiology and pharmacology to determine precise responses to drugs and interventions and a hands-on simulator that realistically re-created cognitive tasks of anesthetic administration, patient monitoring, and intervention.

Current anesthesia simulators such as the Eagle (CEA Electronics Inc, Binghamton, NY) and METI (Medical Education Technologies, Sarasota, Fla) use whole-body mannequins that provide more than 40 realistic and sophisticated findings in 7 anatomic areas. In addition, the simulation systems usually include actual hemodynamic monitoring systems, anesthesia machines, and supplies typically used in an operating room. Computer programs control the responses of the simulator, which vary according to 50 possible programmed scenarios. The simulator may be housed in a clinical skills laboratory or, more commonly, placed in an actual or mock-up operating suite. It can be controlled by an experienced trainer from the bedside or from a remote control booth. While these simulators were primarily developed by anesthesiologists to be used for training and testing those in the field, application of these devices has broadened quickly. Simulators have been used to train and assess medical students during anesthesiology and surgery clerkships; residents in surgery, radiology, obstetrics, and emergency medicine; critical care nurses; and respiratory technicians.

An additional feature of these simulators is the ability to program scenarios that allow individuals or teams to train for unforeseen or catastrophic events, eg, cardiac arrest, traumatic injury, or surgical procedures that require the cooperation of individuals with varying expertise and training such as surgeons, anesthesiologists, and critical care nurses. One method that has been used to train and assess multiprofessional performance is called Anesthesia Crisis Resource Management. This system can assess technical performance, such as placement of instruments or administration of medications, as well as behavioral performance, ie, the appropriate use of sound crisis management behaviors including leadership, communication, and distribution of workload to other members of the team. The training sessions can be videotaped and reviewed so that items requiring remediation can be addressed and corrected.

Reports of anesthesia simulators initially focused on development and user acceptance. However, the high cost and requirements for accompanying equipment, space, and personnel have resulted in research to justify the installation of such devices. Reports on the use of simulators by residents and practicing anesthesiologists demonstrate that the simulators are judged to be highly realistic, and increased training is associated with an increased correction of problems, and unplanned incidents during simulation were usually a result of human error. Other studies of anesthesiology residents and practicing anesthesiologists showed that training on a simulator can improve the acquisition and retention of knowledge in comparison with traditional lectures. Although an advanced level of training was associated with fewer unplanned errors and management flaws, mistakes still occurred at a surprising rate.

The METI simulator also has been used at an annual scientific meeting for continuing medical education of anesthesiologists. If these simulators are accepted as a valid measure for performance evaluation, they may become an important component of certifying competence. The advantage of these systems compared with the current method for testing and certification, including written and oral examinations, is that they allow the examinee to demonstrate clinical skills in a controlled clinical environment while still exhibiting cognitive and language skills. As Gaba has pointed out, “no industry in which human lives depend on the skilled performance of responsible operators has waited for unequivocal proof of the benefit of simulation before embracing it.”

Comment
There is a long-standing debate regarding the potentially dehumanizing effects of using technology in the practice and teaching of medicine. As Howell cogently points out, such concerns are not new, but have been voiced since the turn of the last century. He questions whether worrying about machines detracting from the caring aspects of medicine and technologies encroaching on physicians’ abilities to use judgment is justifiable. Simulation training avoids using patients for skills practice and ensures that trainees have had some practice before treating humans. If simulators enhance the clinician’s diagnostic skills, then these devices have the potential to reduce the number of diagnostic tests (some invasive) a physician orders for a given patient. Recent studies support the view that “a well-performed cardiovascular examination, using physical ma-
neuvers and bedside aids . . . remains the most cost-effective tool for the initial evaluation of these patients.\textsuperscript{53}

Several practical questions should be addressed when assessing the value of simulators. These include the cost of purchasing the simulator, amount of use once purchased, the time saved by teachers, the cost of maintenance, the frequency and cost of technical and software upgrading, and the cost of training faculty to use the system and integrate the simulator into the curriculum. Costs of simulation systems range from less than $5000 for most laparoscopic simulators\textsuperscript{49} to $75 000 for Harvey (D. A. Lawson, written communication, June 25, 1999), to well over $100 000 (range, $125 000 to $200 000 with price depending on the number of features and accessories) for highly sophisticated anesthesia simulators.\textsuperscript{54} Thus, it is important that the perceived benefits of this type of training be evaluated and proven if resources are to be allocated to purchase these systems.

A recent survey of 5 medical schools that use Harvey in their curriculum and a review of their technical records resulted in the following data for the 1998-1999 academic year (J. W. Mayer, MD, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, Fla, written communication, June 18, 1999). The average class size was 150 students. Harvey was used during the second, third, and fourth years: in the second and third years to teach the entire class and during the senior year in a cardiology elective (20%-80% of the class). When all 3 class years were in session, the simulator was used an average of 22 hours per week to teach medical students. The majority of training (17 h/wk) was carried out in small groups in a self-learning mode while the remaining time (5 h/wk) involved instructors teaching with Harvey. At other times nurses, physician assistants, residents, and fellows used the device. The simulator was also used at several postgraduate conferences, which reflected its potential role as a component of lifelong professional development.

Most of the hands-on, self-learning hours on the simulator represent what in the past was possible only through teacher-student time at the bedside and can be interpreted as time saved by the instructor. For both faculty teaching and self-learning, time also is saved by not having to identify patients with a variety of bedside findings and locate appropriate examples of diagnostic studies (eg, electrocardiograms) that are presented in the software programs.

The only maintenance is cleaning the simulator's skin with alcohol every 1 to 2 weeks. The only "required" upgrades have been updates of the teaching slide programs every 3 to 4 years (at a cost of a textbook, approximately $200) so that the CPS curriculum stays current with diagnostic and therapeutic advances. Schools that obtained the simulator when tape cassettes generated the heart sounds had an optional digital-audio upgrade 5 years ago at less than 10% of the purchase price. There was no cost for training faculty other than 1 hour of orientation, and the average time spent to integrate the simulator into the curriculum was 3 hours. These data were obtained from medical schools that have active Harvey programs and may not reflect usage at all institutions that purchased the simulator.

As with many new technologies, the high price of simulators reflects the cost of initial research and development. Advances in technology should enable improvements and refinements to these devices, and as their use continues to increase, the price for many of these systems should decline. For example, according to its technical engineer (D. A. Lawson, oral communication, July 6, 1999), advances in digital sound technology and solid-state circuitry have reduced the current cost of Harvey to approximately one half of what it was more than a decade ago.

The key element in the successful use of simulators is that they become integrated throughout the entire curriculum so that deliberate practice to acquire expertise over time is possible. By analogy, the number of years someone plays a sport or practices a profession bears limited relation to how well they perform. What does correlate with quality of performance is the amount of ongoing deliberate practice that includes "informative feedback and opportunities for repetition and correction of errors."\textsuperscript{12}

Unfortunately, most medical students and practitioners have little regular access to professional feedback with opportunities for repetition and correction. The regular use of simulators incorporated into structured continuing medical education programs as well as in self-assessment and self-directed remediation programs offers great promise for lifelong professional development. Several organizations have recognized the role of simulation technology in continuing education and recently have implemented guidelines or programs to foster its development. For example, the Association of American Medical Colleges’ Medical School Objectives Project, in its Medical Informatics Objectives, states "... the successful medical school graduate should be able to ... effectively utilize various computer-based instructional (and self-assessment) tools, including electronic tutorials and patient simulations."\textsuperscript{55} The American Board of Internal Medicine shares this view, as reflected in its decision to form a Physical Examination Self-Evaluation Process committee.\textsuperscript{56} The committee is now developing a multimedia computer-based, self-assessment program focusing on physical examination and physical diagnosis skills.\textsuperscript{56} The American College of Cardiology Task Force on Teaching endorses innovations in teaching methods and evaluation techniques, including the use of Harvey and interactive computer software.\textsuperscript{57}

New technology and the changing medical education environment are likely to ensure that the use of simulators will continue to increase. Simulation techniques are moving rapidly from the game and military fields into medical education, skills training, and the daily practice of medicine. The task for medical educators will be to embrace and harness this potential and use it to enhance the self-directed acquisition of skills throughout the lifelong medical education continuum.
SIMULATION TECHNOLOGY
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