P.L.	Which unclassified employees are *not* Prohibited Hires	Which Independent Contractors are *not* Prohibited Hires
28-150	V:8	V:14
29-02 (Amendments to P.L. 28-150, but do not affect relevant sections)	I:1	I:1
29-19	VI:19(h)	VI:20
29-113	VI:5(h)	VI:6
30-55	XII:17(h)	VII:19
30-196	XII:18(h)	XII:20
31-77	XII:14(h)	XII:16
31-233	X11:13(h)	X11:15
32-068	XII:11(h)	X11:13

Accomplices

Accomplices caused GG-1 indicating JPM was an unclassified employee NOT to circulate to the Retirement Fund

By entering into, and approving, the independent contract, General Fund monies which--- had JPM been paid as an unclassified employee-- would have gone to the Retirement Fund to pay off the Unfunded Liability pursuant to 4 GCA 8137(b), were diverted into JPM's pocket. To the tune of approximately $25,000 a year.

JPM's actual performance under this contract was not the performance required by the contract, but rather performance of other duties-duties which could only legally be performed by an employee.

The contract itself was ***knowingly*** procured in violation of the Guam Procurement Law. For the Fiscal Years __, __, ___, , AB

and cited the Contracts Section of the Miscellaneous Article of the annual budget law in certifying that the personal services contract met the requirements of statute. Section 14(b) of ____ ____ _____

of those budget laws, cited in turn by AB, stated that the Procurement Law must be followed in those procurements. Thus TS. LR, PT ***knowingly*** violated the procurements.?

Insert language here citing statute stating that former employees who return to employee status are no longer eligible for retirement status inasmuch as the pensions are suspended of those who return to employment from retirement.

Evidence of Intent to Deceive-Failure of AG to route BBMR Carlos Bordallo memo to Governor