## Montana High School Association Official Adjudication Form **WIND INSTRUMENT SOLO**

NAME	DATE	

1. Composer

1. Selection				
Adjudicator				

- Place the number in the circle for each area which most closely matches the descriptors in the corresponding box.

  Total the scores (whole numbers only-no half points) and affix a division rating based upon the scale at the bottom of the form.

  Please add your comments and suggestions in the large space provided (use back if necessary) and sign the form.

	A - 5	B - 4	C - 3	D/F - 2 - 0
TONE	Open, focused, full resonant tone  Consistent tone quality in all ranges and registers  Artistic use of vibrato (if applicable)	Characteristic tone most of the time  Tone quality is affected by range and volume changes  Vibrato developing nicely	Tone color and quality is inconsistent between sections  Tone often fuzzy or pinched  Inconsistent focus and tone quality in various ranges and volume levels	Basic tonal concepts not present - Consistently thin, unfocused, forced sound  Changing volume and registers creates tone quality problems
INTONATION	All ranges and registers in tune  Excellent control and listening skills  Pitch adjustments made instantly	Demonstrates minimal intonation difficulties  Listening skills developing  Pitch adjustments usually made quickly	Mostly accurate, but includes some uncorrected pitches  Listening skills are inconsistent  Pitch adjustments inconsistent	Significant intonation difficulties throughout selection
RHYTHM	Excellent precision at all times  Nearly all note values and rhythms are performed accurately	Errors infrequent & corrected quickly  Most note values & rhythms correct  Some problems in technical passages	Inconsistent performance of note values and rhythmic patterns	Numerous inaccurate note values and rhythmic passages
INTERPRETATION, MUSICIANSHIP	Stylistically accurate, musical and sensitive performance  Expression is natural and highly effective  Excellent use of dynamics  Phrasing is musical and expressive	Some passages lack musical effect  Style and tempo appropriate most of the time  Very good use of dynamics  Most phrasing is natural and uniform	Style becomes rigid and mechanical at times  Style and tempo are not accurate  Dynamics are inconsistent  Lacks musical phrasing	Mechanical and lacking musicality Style underdeveloped Style and tempos are not maintained Little attention to dynamics & phrasing
TECHNIQUE	Technical facility is excellent  Articulations are accurate  Flexibility and dexterity exhibited at all times	Weaknesses shown in some technical sections  Very good understanding of articulations  Flexibility and dexterity are quite good	Technical facility lacks consistency causing errors  Articulations are inconsistent  Complex passages lack clarity	Complex passages are not accurate  Articulations correct only some of the time  Flexibility and dexterity lacking
OTHER FACTORS	Outstanding literature for age and training  Professional approach  Appropriate attire	Above average literature for age and training Inconsistencies in attire and/or formal approach	Average literature for age and training  Inappropriate attire and/or approach lacks polish and professionalism  Common etiquette often overlooked	Below average or unacceptable literature  Soloist does not demonstrate appropriate approach to formal performance setting

Adjudicator comments and suggestions for improvement

TOTAL POINTS

Rating Computation Table

30-26 = DIVISION I (SUPERIOR)

25-21 = DIVISION II (EXCELLENT)

20-15 = DIVISION III (GOOD)

14-10 = DIVISION IV (FAIR)

9-0 = DIVISION V (POOR)

DIVISION RATING

Signature of Festival Manager

ATTACH X3 FORM