

**Focus**

- First Reaction
- Governance Spotlight
- Regulatory Overview
- ✓ **Thematic Research**
- Event Based Research
- General

## Multinationals in India: Breeding corporate royalty

The CNX MNC Index has outperformed the CNX Nifty over the past five years: but does that allow parents of multinational companies (MNCs) in India to dip into the cashbox and take as much royalty as they please?

The top five royalty payouts in 2014-15 (Maruti, HUL, ABB, Nestle and Bosch – in that order) aggregated Rs.47.7 bn (Table 1 on page 2), up from Rs.42.5 bn in 2013-14. Royalty payouts were 24% of aggregated pre-tax pre-royalty profits and 4.4% of aggregated net sales of these five companies for 2014-15.

In a recently published report titled '[Royalty flows in Suzuki's blood](#)', IiAS demonstrated that, over a 15-year period, Maruti's royalty payouts to Suzuki outpaced revenues, per car realizations, profits (pre-tax pre-royalty), and Suzuki's R&D spends. In 2014-15 alone, Maruti's royalty payments aggregated Rs.27.7 bn or 5.7% of net sales and 36% of pre-tax pre-royalty profits. Over the past 15 years, royalty paid to Suzuki, has grown 6.6x, while average sales realization per car has increased only 1.6x. Although Suzuki's consolidated R&D spend per vehicle (including motorcycles) averaged 4% of sales, its royalty payments from Maruti are 6% of net sales.

A contrary view published by a [Financial Express editorial](#) was that shareholders have got a good return on their investments. Maruti's stock price has trebled between March 2010 and September 2015, despite high royalty payouts, and the stock trades at a multiple of 35x which is higher than peers. This is a simplistic argument. A simplistic rebuttal would be: if the MNC stock prices falls, or its trading multiple reduces, do investors expect the company to reduce royalty payouts? And if MNCs make losses, should no royalty be paid? Compare EPS growth with increase in royalty: in Maruti's case, Maruti's basic EPS has grown 4.16x over the past 10 years, while its royalty expenses (in absolute amounts, including annual amortization of lump sum royalty) have increased 13.94x, and royalty spend per car has increased 5.78x.

The question to ask is, what is the royalty being paid for?

For a company like Maruti that lost its supremacy with the entry of other MNCs in the automobile segment, how strong indeed is Suzuki's product portfolio? Maruti may be the largest automaker in India today, but it has been losing market share. From market dominance in the late 1990s, Maruti's market share dropped to 38.3% in 2011-12<sup>1</sup> as the market shifted towards sedans: Maruti has clawed back its market share over the past three years to 45%<sup>2</sup> in 2014-15. Despite this, Maruti pays out the highest amount of royalty (in absolute terms) of all MNCs in India.

Take Nestlé as another example: Nestlé India is one of India's top 5 royalty-paying MNCs. In 2014-15, Nestlé India paid out royalty aggregating Rs. 4.2 bn. Nestlé India pays royalty for Nestle's intellectual property rights including global portfolio of brands, proprietary science and technology including over 1,300 patents, extensive research and development capabilities and expertise on best practices. But where

[Subscribe to IiAS Research](#)

**Related Research**

- [Royalty flows in Suzuki's blood](#)
- [A Framework to Define 'Ordinary Course of Business'](#)
- [Maruti: Why should it be any different now?](#)
- [Maruti launches the razzmatazz](#)
- [Has Maruti timed its announcement?](#)
- [Maruti must invest in the Gujarat plant, not Suzuki](#)
- [Has Suzuki short-changed Maruti?](#)

[Write to us solutions@iias.in](#)

<sup>1</sup> Source: Maruti's 2012-13 Annual Report

<sup>2</sup> Source: Maruti's 2014-15 Annual Report

was the global parent when the Maggi row began? Though the charges were unwarranted, its response was flat-footed – in contrast to how Cadbury dealt with a product quality issue. It was only after the international media picked up the issue that Nestlé’s global management began to react, but by then the issue had snowballed. Did the global parent not bear responsibility in managing the brand in India?

The Maggi brand of noodles has lost its dominance – the off-the-shelves time has allowed other players to capture consumers’ taste buds. The Nestlé brand too has taken a beating in the Indian markets. Will Nestlé continue to increase royalty by 0.2% in 2015-16 as well? According to the 2013 revision in terms, royalty would increase by 0.2% of sales annually for five years, beginning 1 January 2014. If it does, this will squarely establish that royalty payouts don’t need a reason or a rationale – they are merely coercive handouts to exacting global parents.

**Table 1: Top five royalty paying companies**

Company Name	Financial Year	Royalty paid (Rs.bn) (Latest)	Royalty % of Net Sales (Latest)	Royalty as % of PAT (Latest)	Royalty as a % of (Royalty + PAT)
<b>ABB India Ltd.</b>	2014	4.9	6.3%	212.9%	68.0%
<b>Maruti Suzuki India Ltd.</b>	2014-15	27.7	5.7%	74.6%	42.7%
<b>Nestle India Ltd.</b>	2014	4.2	4.2%	35.1%	26.0%
<b>Bosch Ltd.</b>	2014-15 (Annualized)	3.6	3.9%	33.0%	25.3%
<b>Hindustan Unilever Ltd.</b>	2014-15	7.4	2.4%	17.1%	14.6%

Given the past history of royalty payouts, regulations should compel royalty payouts to be approved by shareholders. Requiring shareholder approval will oblige companies to explain the basis of charging royalty and be more considered in its decision-making. Today, companies do not explain the basis of charging royalty. If the terms of royalty are changed, the revised royalty percentages are announced. But how are these arrived at? How did the companies establish that these are being paid at arm’s length? Maruti, for example, increased its royalty payouts to account for the Rupee-Yen exchange rate fluctuations – but, why did Maruti’s board cave into absorbing all the exchange losses?

As in the case with Maruti, a good stock price run tends to right all the wrongs for the company and the investors. But even during the good times, there is an opportunity loss in terms of EPS in companies that payout extortive royalties. Investors must engage with MNCs in India and actively push them to rationalize royalty payouts.

*A modified version of this article was published as a [column by Amit Tandon in the Financial Express dated October 27, 2015](#)*

## Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS). The information contained herein is solely from publicly available data, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied on as such. IiAS shall not be in any way responsible for any loss or damage that may arise to any person from any inadvertent error in the information contained in this report. This document is provided for assistance only and is not intended to be and must not be taken as the basis for any voting or investment decision. The user assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Each recipient of this document should make such investigation as it deems necessary to arrive at an independent evaluation of the individual resolutions referred to in this document (including the merits and risks involved). The discussions or views expressed may not be suitable for all investors. The information given in this document is as of the date of this report and there can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with this information. This information is subject to change without any prior notice. IiAS reserves the right to make modifications and alterations to this statement as may be required from time to time. However, IiAS is under no obligation to update or keep the information current. Nevertheless, IiAS is committed to providing independent and transparent recommendation to its client and would be happy to provide any information in response to specific client queries. Neither IiAS nor any of its affiliates, group companies, directors, employees, agents or representatives shall be liable for any damages whether direct, indirect, special or consequential including lost revenue or lost profits that may arise from or in connection with the use of the information. The disclosures of interest statements incorporated in this document are provided solely to enhance the transparency and should not be treated as endorsement of the views expressed in the report.

## Confidentiality

This information is strictly confidential and is being furnished to you solely for your information. This information should not be reproduced or redistributed or passed on directly or indirectly in any form to any other person or published, copied, in whole or in part, for any purpose. This report is not directed or intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law, regulation or which would subject IiAS to any registration or licensing requirements within such jurisdiction. The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law, and persons in whose possession this document comes, should inform themselves about and observe, any such restrictions. The information provided in these reports remains, unless otherwise stated, the copyright of IiAS. All layout, design, original artwork, concepts and other Intellectual Properties, remains the property and copyright of IiAS and may not be used in any form or for any purpose whatsoever by any party without the express written permission of the copyright holders.

## IiAS Voting Policy

IiAS' voting recommendations are based on a set of guiding principles, which incorporate the basic tenets of the legal framework along with the best practices followed by some of the better governed companies. These policies clearly list out the rationale and evaluation parameters which are taken into consideration while finalizing the recommendations. The detailed IiAS Voting Guidelines are available at [www.iias.in/IiAS-voting-guidelines.aspx](http://www.iias.in/IiAS-voting-guidelines.aspx). The draft report prepared by the analyst is referred to an internal Review and Oversight Committee (ROC), which is responsible for ensuring consistency in voting recommendations, alignment of recommendations to the IiAS' voting criteria and setting and maintaining quality standards of IiAS' proxy reports. Details regarding the functioning and composition of the ROC committee are available at [www.iias.in](http://www.iias.in). In undertaking its activities, IiAS relies on information available in the public domain i.e. information that is available to public shareholders. However, in order to provide a more meaningful analysis, IiAS, generally seeks clarifications from the subject company. IiAS reserves the right to share the information provided by the subject company in its reports. Further details on IiAS policy on communication with subject companies are available at [www.iias.in](http://www.iias.in).

## Analyst Certification

The research analyst(s) for this report certify/ies that no part of his/her/their compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly related to specific recommendations or views expressed in this report. IiAS' internal policies and control procedures governing the dealing and trading in securities by employees are available at [www.iias.in](http://www.iias.in).

## Conflict Management

IiAS and its research analysts may hold a nominal number of shares in companies IiAS covers (including the subject company), as on the date of this report. A list of IiAS' shareholding in companies is available at [www.iias.in](http://www.iias.in).

However, IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have actual/beneficial ownership of one per cent or more securities of the subject company, at the end of the month immediately preceding the date of publication of this report. A list of shareholders of IiAS as of the date of this report is available at [www.iias.in](http://www.iias.in). However, the preparation of this report is monitored by an internal Review and Oversight Committee (ROC) of IiAS and is not subject to the control of any company to which such report may relate and which may be a shareholder of IiAS.

## Other Disclosures

IiAS further confirms that, save as otherwise set out above or disclosed on IiAS' website ([www.iias.in](http://www.iias.in)):

- IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have any financial interest in the subject company.
- IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have any other material conflict of interest at the time of publication of this report.
- As a proxy advisory firm, IiAS provides subscription, databased and other related services to various Indian and international customers (which could include the subject company). IiAS generally receives between INR 10,000 and INR 25,00,000 for such services from its customers. Other than compensation that it may have received for providing such services to the subject company in the ordinary course, none of IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, has received any compensation from the subject company or any third party for this report.
- None of IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, has received any compensation from the subject company or any third party in the past 12 months in connection with the provision of services of products (including investment banking or merchant banking or brokerage services or any other products and services), or managed or co-managed public offering of securities of the subject company.
- The research analyst(s) responsible for this report has not served as an officer, director or employee of the subject company.
- None of IiAS or the research analyst(s) responsible for this report has been engaged in market making activity for the subject company.



markets ∩ governance

Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS) is an advisory firm, dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinion, research and data on corporate governance issues. IiAS also provides voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions for over 550 companies.

To know more about IiAS visit [www.ias.in](http://www.ias.in)

**Subscriptions help IiAS stay fiercely independent.** To subscribe to IiAS research write to us at [solutions@ias.in](mailto:solutions@ias.in)



#### **Office**

Institutional Investor Advisory Services  
15th Floor, West Wing,  
P J Tower, Dalal Street,  
Fort, Mumbai - 400 001  
India

#### **Contact**

[solutions@ias.in](mailto:solutions@ias.in)  
T: +91 22 2272 1570-3  
F: +91 22 2272 1574