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Influence of polymer flexibility on nanoparticle dynamics in semidilute solutions†

Renjie Chen, Ryan Poling-Skutvik, Michael P. Howard, Arash Nikoubashman, Sergei A. Egorov, Jacinta C. Conrad, and Jeremy C. Palmer∗

1 Introduction

Transport of nanoparticles (NPs) through complex heterogeneous fluids underlies the efficacy of targeted drug delivery methods,1–3 the ability of NPs to modify rheological or surface tension properties of fluids,4–7 and the functionalization of nanocomposites.8,9 Traditionally, the Brownian motion of spherical particles is described by the Stokes-Einstein relation (SER), in which the mobility is inversely related to the viscous drag, via \( D = k_B T / (\pi \eta \sigma_{NP}) \), where \( D \) is the particle diffusivity, \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \eta \) is the zero-shear viscosity of the solution, \( \sigma_{NP} \) is the NP diameter, and \( z = 2 \) or 3 for slip or no-slip boundary conditions at the particle surface, respectively.

This relationship can be extended for complex fluids by incorporating a complex (frequency-dependent) solution viscosity \( \tilde{\eta} \) according to the generalized Stokes-Einstein relation (GSER).10,11 Both the SER and GSER assume that the suspended particle is large enough that any heterogeneity of the fluid is negligible over the particle surface. This assumption is broken, however, if the particle size is comparable to or smaller than characteristic length scales of the medium, which is commonly encountered for NPs suspended in polymer solutions or melts. In this limit, dynamics deviate from the prediction of the GSER,12–19 confirming that complex viscosity \( \tilde{\eta} \) alone is insufficient to predict the motion of particles in heterogeneous polymer solutions.

To describe the diffusion of NPs through polymer meshes, early theoretical arguments used obstruction,20,21 hydrodynamic,22–24 and free volume25 approaches. More recently, mode-coupling theory (MCT)26,27 and self-consistent Langevin equations28 have been used to relate the fluctuations in the polymer mesh to the NP dynamics. These methods provide predictions of the NP long-time diffusivity, but do not access their short time dynamics. A recent polymer coupling theory (PCT),29 based on scaling arguments for polymer dynamics,30,31 assumes that the NP dynamics fully couple to segmental relaxations of the polymers on comparable length scales. This model, which predicts that the dynamics are...
controlled by the ratio of $\sigma_{NP}$ to the polymer correlation length $\xi$, correctly captures the change in long-time diffusivity as the particle size or polymer concentration is changed. On short length and time scales, however, experiments and simulations suggest that the particle dynamics are incompletely coupled to the segmental dynamics of flexible polymers and additionally couple to the center-of-mass relaxations of the polymers. For semiflexible polymers, theoretical descriptions based on simple scaling laws are expected to be even less fruitful because stiff macromolecules cannot be described by a self-similar fractal structure. Instead, semiflexible chains are characterized by several crossover length scales, such as the persistence and contour lengths, which introduce a large number of disparate time and length scales that are relevant to describing their dynamics. Accordingly, it has been shown that even modest stiffness affects both static and dynamic properties of polymer liquids. This scenario is relevant for understanding transport through the intracellular space, crowded by actin, microtubules, and other semiflexible biopolymers. On short time scales the motion of microscale particles (larger than the mesh size or correlation length) is subdiffusive, scaling with time with an exponent of $3/4$ as predicted from the microscopic relaxations of semiflexible polymers. Surprisingly, how smaller particles couple to the dynamics of semiflexible chains has not been systematically explored and is the focus of this study.

In this work, we use a combination of simulation and theory to probe NP dynamics in solutions of semiflexible polymers, whose stiffnesses are characterized by the persistence length $l_p$. The colloidal suspensions are simulated using a hybrid molecular dynamics–multi-particle collision dynamics (MD–MPCD) scheme, which accounts for hydrodynamic interactions through the use of an explicit coarse-grained solvent. The simulation results are also compared with predictions from MCT for concentrated polymer solutions. The NP dynamics are subdiffusive on short time scales and diffusive on long time scales. The long-time diffusivities scale with the polymer correlation length at low polymer concentrations in agreement with PCT, but depend on polymer stiffness at higher concentrations. Good agreement is observed also with the long-time diffusivities calculated from MCT at high polymer concentrations, where the theory is expected to be most accurate. The short-time subdiffusive dynamics, by contrast, vary strikingly with the flexibility of the polymers. For fully flexible polymers whose characteristic length scales are comparable to the NP size, we observe that the subdiffusive behavior of the NPs is coupled to the polymer center-of-mass motion, in accord with our previous study. As $l_p$ is increased such that the polymer chains become more rigid, however, the dynamics of the NPs become more subdiffusive and decouple from the dynamics of the polymer chain center-of-mass. These effects likely arise from changes in the segmental relaxations as the chain stiffness is increased.

2 Methods

Molecular dynamics simulations of the NP-polymer systems were performed with LAMMPS. For convenience in describing the model system, we define $\sigma$, $m$, and $\varepsilon$ as the fundamental base units for length, mass, and energy, respectively. The corresponding unit of time is $\tau = \sqrt{m\sigma^2/\varepsilon}$. All physical quantities are reduced using these fundamental base units and reported in dimensionless form.

We adopted similar models to those used in our previous study of NP dynamics in solutions of fully flexible polymer chains. Nanoparticles were modeled as large spheres with diameter $\sigma_{NP} = 5$. Polymers were represented by the Kremer-Grest (KG) model as linear chains composed of $N_m = 32$ smaller beads with diameter $\sigma_p = 1$. Excluded volume interactions were modeled using the shifted Weeks-Chandler-Andersen (sWCA) potential:

$$U_{\text{WCA}}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 4\varepsilon_{ij} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij} - \Delta_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij} - \Delta_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right] + \varepsilon_{ij}, & r_{ij} \leq r_{ij}^0, \\ 0, & r_{ij} > r_{ij}^0, \end{cases}$$

where $r_{ij}$ is the scalar separation distance between particles $i$ and $j$, $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$ is the parameter controlling the strength of the repulsion, and the potential is truncated and shifted at $r_{ij}^0 = 2^{1/6} \sigma_{ij} + \Delta_{ij}$. For NP–NP and monomer–monomer interactions we used $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{NP}$ and $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_p$, respectively, and set $\Delta_{ij} = 0$. For NP–monomer interactions, we chose $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_p$ and $\Delta_{ij} = (\sigma_{NP} - \sigma_p)/2$ to account for their size asymmetry.

Adjacent beads on each polymer chain were connected by spring-like bonds described using the finitely extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) potential:

$$U_{\text{FENE}}(r_{ij}) = -\frac{1}{2} k r_0^2 \ln \left( 1 - \frac{r_{ij}^2}{r_0^2} \right), \quad r_{ij} \leq r_0,$$

$$U_{\text{bond}}(\Theta_{ijk}) = k(1 - \cos \Theta_{ijk})$$

with spring constant $k = 30$ and a maximum bond extension $r_0 = 1.5$. Chain stiffness was incorporated into the KG model using the bending potential where $\Theta_{ijk}$ is the angle between the bonds connecting consecutive beads $i$ to $j$ and $j$ to $k$ (an angle of $\Theta_{ijk} = 0^\circ$ corresponds to three beads in a line). The parameter $\kappa$ modulates the strength of the potential and stiffness of the chains. For sufficiently stiff polymers ($\kappa > 2$), it is related to the chain persistence length via $l_p \approx \kappa b$. Where $b$ is the equilibrium bond length ($b \approx 0.97$ for the standard parameterization of the KG model). The contour length of the chain is given by $L_c = (N_m - 1) b \approx 30$.

To study the influence of chain flexibility on NP dynamics, we performed simulations using different values of $\kappa$ ranging from 0 (fully flexible) to 32 ($l_p/L_c \approx 1$) (Table 1; Fig. 1). The edge length of the box ($L = 64$) was chosen to be approximately eight times the radius of gyration $R_g$ of the stiffest polymer ($\kappa = 32$) at infinite dilution to minimize finite-size effects. All simulations were conducted in a cubic box with periodic boundary conditions in each direction. For highly flexible polymers ($\kappa \leq 2$), the number of polymer chains $N_c$ was varied from 200 to 4900, to achieve monomer concentrations $c = N_c N_m L^{-3}$ ranging from 0.025 to 0.5. At higher values of $\kappa$, however, the maximum value of $c$ was further limited to avoid the well-characterized isotropic-nematic
transition in this system\textsuperscript{37} and stay within the isotropic phase (Table 1). The number of NPs was fixed at 20 in each simulation (volume fraction $\approx 0.005$) to improve sampling while keeping NP-NP interactions negligible.

Table 1 Properties of the polymer systems investigated in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>$l_b/L_0$</th>
<th>$R_{g,0}$</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{min}}$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.025 (0.16 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
<td>0.50 (3.13 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.025 (0.27 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
<td>0.40 (4.37 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.025 (0.58 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
<td>0.40 (9.29 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.025 (1.04 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
<td>0.40 (16.61 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.025 (1.51 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
<td>0.25 (15.12 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.025 (1.77 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
<td>0.25 (17.72 \text{ c$^*$})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $c_{\text{min}}$ and $c_{\text{max}}$ are the minimum and maximum monomer concentrations investigated in this study, and $c^* = 3N_\text{m}(4\pi R_{g,0}^3)$ is the overlap concentration.

Fig. 1 Nanoparticles (red) in solutions of (a) fully flexible, (b,c) semiflexible, and (d) stiff polymer chains with monomer concentration $c = 0.05$. Polymers simulated using a given $\kappa$ are identical, but have been colored by chain index to enhance visual clarity. Snapshots rendered using Visual Molecular Dynamics 1.9.3.\textsuperscript{55}

Molecular dynamics trajectories were propagated using a velocity-Verlet integrator with time step 0.005. Simulations for $\kappa \leq 10$ were equilibrated for at least $10^5 \tau$, whereas longer periods of $\approx 10^6 \tau$ were used for $\kappa \geq 20$ to account for slower relaxation of these systems. Equilibration was followed by a production period of $\approx 10^5 \tau$ during which trajectories were saved for subsequent analysis. Ensemble averages were computed from three independent simulations (60 NP trajectories), and statistical uncertainties were estimated from the standard error. Hydrodynamic interactions (HI) were incorporated by coupling the MD particles to a coarse-grained solvent modeled using the multi-particle collisions dynamics (MPCD) method.\textsuperscript{56–59} Implementation details of the hybrid MD–MPCD algorithm are identical to those reported in our previous study.\textsuperscript{34} Briefly, the MD–MPCD simulations were performed using a collision cell edge length $a = 1$, a solvent particle mass $m_s = 1$, an average solvent density $\rho_s = 5$ (5 solvent particles per collision cell), and a collision time step 0.09. Solvent collisions were handled using a momentum-conserving version of the Andersen thermostat\textsuperscript{60,61} with a set temperature $T = 1$. Further, the reference positions of the cells were randomly shifted before each collision step to ensure Galilean invariance.\textsuperscript{62} These choices give an MPCD solvent with Schmidt number $Sc \approx 12.0$ and dynamic viscosity $\eta_s \approx 4.0$. Solvent–polymer collisions were handled using the scheme discussed in ref. 63, whereas momentum transfer between the solvent and NPs was treated using the stochastic boundary algorithm described in ref. 64 with slip conditions. To achieve neutral buoyancy in the background solvent, the masses of the polymer beads and NPs were set to $m_p = \rho \sigma_p^3$ and $m_{\text{NP}} = \rho \pi \sigma_{\text{NP}}^3/6$, respectively.

To compare the simulated NP diffusivities to the SER, the shear viscosities of the polymer solutions were determined through reverse nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (RNEMD) simulations\textsuperscript{41,65} using HOOMD-blue with MD\textsuperscript{66–68} and MPCD\textsuperscript{69} accelerated on graphics processing units. Stress was imposed on the solutions by generating a momentum flux, and the shear rate was extracted from the emerging flow profile. For the fully flexible chains we were able to directly access the linear response regime and measure the zero-shear viscosity $\eta_0$. As the stiffness was increased, however, the polymer relaxation slowed down significantly, making a direct measurement of $\eta_0$ computationally infeasible. In these cases, we extracted the zero-shear viscosity by fitting our data to the Cross model (ESI).\textsuperscript{41,70,71} Uncertainties in $\eta_0$ from this fitting procedure were estimated and propagated using standard relationships to calculate errors in other quantities derived from these values.

3 Results and Discussion

We first characterized the structure of the polymer chains as the stiffness $\kappa$ was varied. Polymer chains are fractal, and their radius of gyration in dilute solution $R_{g,0}$ scales with the number of monomer beads $N_m$ as $R_{g,0} \sim N_m^\nu$, where $\nu$ is the excluded volume exponent. For fully flexible chains (i.e. $\kappa = 0$), we found $\nu \approx 0.61$ (Fig. 2; Table 1), which is in good agreement with theoretical predictions and previous simulation results of self-avoiding chains in a good solvent.\textsuperscript{34,72} As the chain stiffness increased, the polymer chains became more rod-like, which is reflected by the increasing excluded volume exponent $\nu$. However, $\nu$ remained below the physical limit for hard rods ($\nu = 1$) and reached a value of $\nu \approx 0.81$ for the largest investigated stiffness of $\kappa = 32$ (Fig. 2; Table 1).

After confirming that the simulated polymer structure agrees with theoretical predictions, we analyzed the dynamics of the constituents of the suspensions. From the MPCD simulations, we calculated the mean-squared displacement ($\Delta r^2$) for the monomers in the reference frame of the polymer centers-of-mass (COM), for the polymer COM, and for the dispersed NPs as functions of chain stiffness $\kappa$ (Fig. 3). For fully flexible chains (i.e. $\kappa = 0$), monomers are hydrodynamically coupled and move ac-
according to Zimm dynamics on short time scales with $\langle \Delta r^2 \rangle \sim t^{2/3}$, as expected.\textsuperscript{72} As $\kappa$ increases, the monomers remain hydrodynamically coupled, but the chains become stiffer and their segmental mobility decreases (Fig. 3(a)).

The chain stiffness also affects the motion of the polymer COM (Fig. 3(b)). On short time scales, the mean-squared displacement of the polymer COM develops a subdiffusive region as $\kappa$ increases, in which $\langle \Delta r^2 \rangle \sim t^\nu$ and $\nu < 1$ is the subdiffusive exponent. On long time scales, the MSD of the polymer COM recovers diffusive scaling $\nu = 1$ with the diffusivity decreasing with $\kappa$ due to lower segmental mobility and larger size of the stiffer chains. The motion of the NPs (Fig. 3(c)) is qualitatively similar to that of the polymer COM with a pronounced subdiffusive region when dispersed in solutions of stiff chains.

### 3.1 Long-time nanoparticle diffusivity

The effect of polymer stiffness on the dynamics of NPs was further characterized by calculating their long-time diffusivity $D$ and short-time subdiffusive exponent $\alpha_{NP}$. To facilitate quantitative comparisons with theory, the NP diffusivities measured in the simulations, $D(L)$, were corrected for finite-size effects using\textsuperscript{73}

$$D = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{NP}}{3L}\right)^{-1} \times D(L) \approx 1.08 \times D(L)$$

(4)

where $\zeta = 2.837297$. Equation 4 corrects for finite-size effects associated with long-range hydrodynamic interactions between periodic images of the simulation box, which vanish as $L \rightarrow \infty$ and are thus not present in infinite systems. This expression is valid for NPs with a slip boundary condition at their surface, but analogous expressions have also been derived for no-slip boundary conditions.\textsuperscript{73} For the system considered here ($L = 64, \sigma_{NP} = 5$), the correction is relatively small and increases the diffusivity by $\approx 8\%$.

The values of $D$ from simulation are significantly larger than the diffusivities predicted by the SER (Fig. 4), indicating that the motions of the NPs are incompletely coupled to the bulk viscosity of the background polymer solutions. Deviations from SER predictions have also been observed in experimental studies performed on NPs in solutions of similarly sized polymers,\textsuperscript{16,18} ranging from $D/D_{SER} \approx 2$ when $\sigma_{NP}/2R_g \approx 0.9$ to $D/D_{SER} \approx 30$ when $\sigma_{NP}/2R_g \approx 0.6$. They arise because the NPs are comparably sized to the polymer chains, which violates the homogeneity assumption underlying the SER.\textsuperscript{14} The deviations from SER predictions become more pronounced as the chains become stiffer, indicating that the NPs become increasingly decoupled from the bulk solution viscosity. For simulations of NPs in solutions of infinitely rigid rods, these deviations were posited to develop because of constraint release mechanisms in the rod matrix.\textsuperscript{74}

We also compared our simulation results to calculations performed using a variant of MCT developed for dense polymer solutions.\textsuperscript{26,27} Details of the MCT calculations follow those in ref. 26 and are thus not repeated here. Within MCT, the overall NP diffusion coefficient $D_{MCT}$ is written as a sum of hydrodynamic and non-hydrodynamic (microscopic) terms.\textsuperscript{26,27} The former is given by $D_{SER}$, whereas the latter contribution, $D_{micro}$, arises due
to the coupling of the NP motion to polymer collective density modes.\textsuperscript{26,27} Calculation of $D_{\text{micro}}$ requires several structural (NP–monomer and monomer–monomer radial distribution functions and $R_g$) and dynamical (monomer diffusion coefficient) quantities as input.\textsuperscript{26} These quantities can be calculated directly from theory,\textsuperscript{26} but here we use input from the MD–MPCD simulation, as our main interest is to test the approximations inherent in MCT. In computing $D_{\text{micro}}$, we also include the contribution arising from the coupling to the self-transverse current mode given in ref. 75, which affects the dynamic shear viscosity and has been shown to play an important role in treating diffusion in low-density fluids.\textsuperscript{75} Although this additional contribution is negligible in dense systems, we nonetheless include it in all MCT calculations and evaluate it using input from the MD–MPCD simulation.

The relative contributions of $D_{\text{SER}}$ and $D_{\text{micro}}$ to $D_{\text{MCT}}$ depend on polymer concentration for the small particles examined here; $D_{\text{SER}}$ is comparable to $D_{\text{micro}}$ at low polymer concentrations ($c = 0.05$, Fig. 5(a)) but much smaller than $D_{\text{micro}}$ at high polymer concentrations ($c = 0.20$, Fig. 5(b)), as also seen in an earlier MCT study.\textsuperscript{26} The overall diffusivities $D_{\text{MCT}}$ predicted by MCT are in excellent agreement with those calculated from the MD–MPCD simulations (Fig. 4) at polymer concentration $c = 0.20$ for all values of $\kappa$ examined.

For lower concentration $c = 0.05$, however, deviations between MCT and simulation are observed. Even with incorporation of the contribution from the coupling to the self-transverse current mode, MCT systematically underestimates the NP diffusivity at $c = 0.05$. This discrepancy is presumably due to the fact that additional collective modes, which have not been taken into account, are important at these conditions. Nevertheless, the overall agreement with simulation is reasonable, and MCT correctly captures the increasingly significant deviations from SER as the polymers become stiffer.

Lastly, we compared the behavior of the NP diffusivity with predictions from the PCT developed in ref. 29 for fully flexible polymers to explain deviations from the SER. This theory assumes that the NPs are locally caged by polymers until the surrounding chains relax over the particle surface. Accordingly, the long-time NP diffusivity is predicted to depend on the length-scale ratio of NP diameter to polymer correlation length $\sigma_{\text{NP}}/\xi$ and to scale as $D/D_0 \sim (\sigma_{\text{NP}}/\xi)^{-2}$, where $D_0$ is the nanoparticle diffusivity in pure solvent. For $c/c^* > 1$, we use $\xi = R_{g0}(c/c^*)^{-\nu/(3\nu-1)}$ from scaling theory,\textsuperscript{72} where $c^* = 3N_{\text{m}}(4\pi R_{g0}^2)^{-1}$ is the overlap concentration (Table 1). For $c/c^* \leq 1$, we calculated $\xi$ according to the mean geometric separation distance $R_{g0}(c/c^*)^{-1/3}$. We verified this PCT prediction experimentally\textsuperscript{18} and with simulations\textsuperscript{34} in previous work for fully flexible chains. By contrast, tests of PCT in computational studies of nanoparticle-polymer composites modeled using flexible chains have yielded inconclusive results.\textsuperscript{76} Here, we extend tests of this scaling relation to semiflexible polymer systems (Fig. 6).

At very low polymer concentrations, the NP diffusivities remain mostly unaffected by the polymers so that $D/D_0 \approx 1$. The diffusivities decrease with increasing polymer concentration solely as a function of length-scale ratio $\sigma_{\text{NP}}/\xi$. In solutions of flexible chains ($\kappa \approx 0$), the NP diffusivities eventually cross over and...
scale according to $D/D_0 \sim (\sigma_{NP}/\xi)^{-2}$ at large $\sigma_{NP}/\xi$, in agreement with the PCT predictions of ref. 29. Similar behavior for flexible chains was also observed in our previous study,34 where the agreement with PCT was even more clear due to the use of longer polymer chains ($N_m = 50$), which provided access to larger $\sigma_{NP}/\xi$.34 Slightly shorter chains ($N_m = 32$) were used in this study to avoid approaching the isotropic-nematic transition in systems with stiff chains.37 Agreement with PCT was also observed experimentally for flexible chains,18 although vertically offset from the simulation results due to differences in solution viscosity.34 For stiffer chains (large $\kappa$), however, the NP diffusivities decrease more rapidly and deviate from the predicted scaling. According to PCT, the long-time dynamics depend on the segmental relaxations of the polymer chains. Thus, the different diffusivity dependences likely arise from the slower monomer dynamics of the stiffer chains (Fig. 3(a)). As the monomer dynamics slow down with increasing $\kappa$, the time required for the polymer mesh to relax over the particle surface increases. This longer relaxation thereby slows the long-time NP motion.

**Fig. 6** Normalized NP diffusivity $D/D_0$ as a function of size ratio $\sigma_{NP}/\xi$ for polymers with varying stiffness $\kappa$. Open symbols are experimental data from ref. 18 with $\sigma_{NP}/2\xi_0 = 0.56$ (Δ), 0.74 ( ). Solid lines are scaling predictions $29 D/D_0 \sim (\sigma_{NP}/\xi)^{-2}$.

### 3.2 Short-time subdiffusion

Beyond segmental mobility, the dynamics of the polymer COM also play an important role in controlling the subdiffusive motion of dispersed NPs.34 For flexible chains, both Rouse and Zimm theories assume that the COM of polymer chains move diffusively on all time scales.72 Experimentally, however, the COM dynamics of polymers deviate from this diffusive assumption and move subdiffusively on short time scales.77 Our simulations reveal that the subdiffusive dynamics of the polymer COM depend on both polymer concentration and chain stiffness (Fig. 7). For both concentrations, the subdiffusive exponent $\alpha_p$ for the polymer COM initially decays with increasing $\kappa$ before reaching a plateau. Higher polymer concentrations lead to lower values of $\alpha_p$ for all $\kappa$. The NP subdiffusive exponent $\alpha_{NP}$ also decreases as the polymer concentration and $\kappa$ are increased, similar to $\alpha_p$. It does not, however, reach a plateau at high $\kappa$ for the higher polymer concentration ($c = 0.20$), unlike $\alpha_p$.

When particles are much larger than characteristic length scales in the polymer, ($\sigma_{NP} \gg 2\xi_0$), their short-time dynamics directly follow the segmental relaxations of the free polymer. This coupling results in subdiffusive particle dynamics in the microrheological limit, with $\alpha_{NP} = 0.5$ in solutions of flexible polymer18,29,78 and $\alpha_{NP} = 3/4$ in solutions of semiflexible chains.44,45 The PCT developed for smaller (nano)particles in ref. 29 still assumes direct coupling of the NP to segmental relaxations of the surrounding polymer chains on short time scales, so that $\sigma_{NP}$ exhibits a step change and abruptly decreases from 1 to 0.5 at $\sigma_{NP}/\xi = 1$ (Fig. 8). For flexible chains, such a step change is not observed in experiments18 or simulations.34 Instead, $\sigma_{NP}$ smoothly decreases as the size ratio $\sigma_{NP}/\xi$ increases. For stiff chains, the shape of this decay changes (Fig. 8). At low polymer concentrations, $\sigma_{NP}$ decreases with increasing polymer concentration independent of $\kappa$. At higher polymer concentrations (i.e. larger $\sigma_{NP}/\xi$), the NP dynamics become increasingly subdiffusive with increasing polymer stiffness. The steeper decays suggest that the NP dynamics couple differently to the segmental mobility of stiffer chains.

In previous work,34 we attributed the deviation from scaling predictions on short time scales to the coupling of the NP dynamics to both the segmental relaxations of the polymer chains and to the dynamics of the polymer COM. To assess the degree to which NP dynamics couple to segmental relaxations and the dynamics of polymer COM in solutions of semiflexible chains, we analyze the correlation between $\alpha_{NP}$ and $\alpha_p$ (Fig. 9). At low polymer concentrations, the dynamics of the NPs and polymer COM are largely diffusive for all $\kappa$ with $\alpha_{NP} = \alpha_p \approx 1$. As the polymer concentration increases, $\alpha_{NP}$ decreases concomitant with $\alpha_p$ for all $\kappa$, indicating that the NP and polymer COM dynamics are correlated in these solutions. At higher polymer concentrations, however, $\alpha_{NP}$ decouples from $\alpha_p$ and decreases more rapidly with increasing chain stiffness. The stronger subdiffusion of NPs in solutions of stiff chains is a marked difference from the predicted microrheological limit.
With increasing polymer stiffness the long-time diffusivities of the NPs more markedly deviate from the SER, consistent with decoupling from the bulk polymer solution viscosity, and from PCT, which was developed for flexible polymers. The long-time diffusivities are adequately predicted by MCT, however, especially at high concentrations of the polymers where the theory is expected to be most accurate. On short time scales, the dynamics of the NPs become progressively more subdiffusive and decouple from the dynamics of the polymer chain center-of-mass as the stiffness of the polymer chains is increased. These changes in dynamics likely arise from differences in the segmental relaxations of the semiflexible chains. We anticipate that these predictions can be tested experimentally using, e.g., nematic elastomers,79,80 dendronized polymers,81 or the well-characterized biopolymers ds-DNA or actin. Finally, the results from our computational study may aid in extending existing theories for describing NP transport in systems of flexible chains (e.g., PCT) to solutions of stiff polymers.

**Conclusions**

Understanding the effects of polymer stiffness on NP transport is critical to improving the efficacy of composite processing and drug delivery. Here, we performed hybrid MD–MPCD simulations of semidilute solutions of polymers with tunable stiffness to investigate the influence of polymer flexibility on the dynamics of NPs of comparable size. The NPs exhibit subdiffusive dynamics on short time scales and diffusive dynamics on long time scales.

**Fig. 8** Subdiffusive exponent of NP $\alpha_{NP}$ as a function of particle diameter $\sigma_{NP}$ to polymer correlation length $\xi$ size ratio for chains with varying stiffness $\kappa$. Open symbols are experimental data from ref. 18 with $\sigma_{NP}/2\sigma_{R_b} = 0.56$ (△), 0.74 (□). Dashed lines are predictions from PCT in solutions of flexible polymer chains.29

Fig. 8 Subdiffusive exponent of NP $\alpha_{NP}$ as a function of particle diameter $\sigma_{NP}$ to polymer correlation length $\xi$ size ratio for chains with varying stiffness $\kappa$. Open symbols are experimental data from ref. 18 with $\sigma_{NP}/2\sigma_{R_b} = 0.56$ (△), 0.74 (□). Dashed lines are predictions from PCT in solutions of flexible polymer chains.29

**Fig. 9** Correlations between subdiffusive exponent of NPs $\alpha_{NP}$ and polymer centers-of-mass $\alpha_p$ for polymers with varying stiffness $\kappa$. Dashed line indicates $\alpha_{NP} = \alpha_p$, and solid lines are guides to the eye.
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