Release of Terminal Alkynes via Tandem Photodeprotection and Decarboxylation of o-Nitrobenzyl Arylpropiolates in a Flow Microchannel Reactor
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ABSTRACT: Photocleavable protecting groups (PPGs) offer a complementary protection paradigm compared to traditional protection groups. Herein, an o-nitrobenzyl (NB) PPG was employed to protect a variety of arylpropionic acids. Upon a cascade of light-triggered photodeprotection in a microchannel reactor (residence times of 100–500 s), followed by Cu-catalyzed decarboxylation at 60 °C, the NB-protected arylpropionic acid afforded a terminal alkyne. This terminal alkyne was further reacted in situ with an azide via click chemistry to yield a 1,2,3-triazole in a one-pot reaction. Furthermore, the effect of different substituents (methyl, vinyl, allyl, and phenyl) at the benzylic position on the rate of photodeprotection was studied. The quantum yields of photolysis for the benzylic-substituted esters were determined to be as high as 0.45 compared to the unsubstituted ester with a 0.08 quantum yield of photolysis.

INTRODUCTION

Protecting groups are ubiquitous in modern synthetic organic chemistry as some functional groups are susceptible to undesired side reactions unless they are protected beforehand. A requirement for a good protecting group is the ability to be introduced and removed selectively and quantitatively.1 However, there is a lack of suitable groups that fulfill these requirements in all situations. In many cases, their deprotection requires harsh conditions and rarely proceeds quantitatively, limiting their range of applications. As a result, milder alternative methods for protection and deprotection have been investigated by many researchers. Among these, photocleavable protecting groups (PPGs)2–4 stand out because of their ability to use light as a traceless reagent to trigger the deprotection process. Besides providing orthogonal protection,3 PPGs also allow spatial and temporal control of the process. Hence, they are very attractive for various applications such as controlled drug delivery,5 total synthesis,6 responsive polymers,7 and surface modification processes such as surface patterning and photolithography.8–11

Despite the abundance of various PPGs in the literature,2,12–14 o-nitrobenzyl (NB) has been among the most commonly used. This protecting group was first used by Barltrop et al. to protect various carboxylic acids.15 Kaplan et al. also used it to protect and release biologically relevant molecules such as adenosine triphosphate (ATP).16 The application range has been further extended to allow use under physiological conditions.2

Terminal alkynes have a prime importance in various chemical transformations, such as Glaser coupling,17 the Sonogashira–Hagihara protocol,18 and click chemistry.19–21 In addition, terminal alkynes are widely used as building blocks in various molecular transformations that are pertinent for biological22,23 as well as molecular electronics applications.24 However, some terminal alkynes are prone to oxidation and are inconvenient to handle because of their high volatility.25 In addition, during palladium-catalyzed cross coupling reactions between aryl halides and terminal alkynes, dimerization of alkynes often occurs as a side reaction,17 reducing the yield of the cross coupling reaction. Consequently, an excess of alkyne has to be used. To alleviate this use of an additional alkyne, an elegant method has been reported by Kolarovic et al.,26 using alkylic acids in a stoichiometric amount as a source of terminal alkyne via in situ decarboxylation. The generated terminal alkyne was then further reacted with an azide to yield 1,2,3-triazoles in a one-pot process. However, the carboxylic acid functionality may present compatibility issues and limitations in terms of the reaction conditions used. Hence,
Protecting the carboxylic acid moiety is imperative, for instance, with a PPG. Gschneidtner et al.²⁷ have demonstrated the first example of using PPGs to protect terminal alkynes via PPG-protected propargylic alcohols. A series of tertiary propargylic ethers containing an NB group were prepared. Upon photoirradiation at 365 nm under alkaline reflux conditions, various terminal alkynes were released in satisfactory yields. However, the long reaction times and strong basic conditions employed in this method may limit its application. Kakiuchi and co-workers have also presented a novel thiochromone-based photocleavable group, employing thiochromone S,S-dioxides to protect various types of amines, alcohols, and carboxylic acids.²⁸ They reported an almost quantitative photodeprotection under ultraviolet (UV) light. More recently, the same group also applied their new PPG in the protection of propargylic alcohols in the form of propargylic ethers.²⁹ However, their PPG requires multistep synthetic procedures, and more importantly, the purpose was not for releasing terminal alkynes in this case.

To the best of our knowledge, photocleavable protection of arylpropiolic acid [1a (Scheme 1a)] for the release of terminal alkynes has not been reported hitherto. Here, we, for the first time, employ NB PPGs for the protection of arylpropiolic acids and demonstrate the light-triggered release of terminal alkynes “on demand” at 60 °C. This makes our approach attractive for future surface modification applications, for which mild conditions are required. Moreover, to investigate the role of benzylic substitution on the rate of deprotection, various substituents, i.e., methyl, vinyl, allyl, and phenyl, were introduced at the benzylic position (Scheme 1b), and the results from these studies are disclosed herein.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis of Starting Materials.** The synthesis of carboxylic acid-protected terminal alkynes 1a–c (Scheme 1a) was achieved in satisfactory yields (65–70%) using a Sonogashira reaction between the corresponding aryl iodides and propiolic acid, using a modified literature method.³⁰ While, o-nitrobenzyl alcohol was obtained from a commercial source, its benzyl-substituted analogues 2b–e (Scheme 1b) were prepared via the reaction of o-nitrobenzaldehyde with the corresponding Grignard reagents.²⁹ However, their PPG requires multistep synthetic procedures, and more importantly, the purpose was not for releasing terminal alkynes in this case.

To the best of our knowledge, photocleavable protection of arylpropiolic acid [1a (Scheme 1a)] for the release of terminal alkynes has not been reported hitherto.

**Scheme 1.** Substituted (a) Arylpropiolic Acids and (b) o-Nitrobenzyl Alcohols Used as Precursors in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scheme 2.** Microwave-Assisted Steglich Esterification Reaction and the Corresponding PPG-Protected Esters (3a–e)

Here, we, for the first time, employ NB PPGs for the protection of arylpropiolic acids and demonstrate the light-triggered release of terminal alkynes “on demand” at 60 °C. This makes our approach attractive for future surface
highlighting the importance of protecting the carboxylic acid moiety if one wishes to use photochemistry in the UV region.\(^{32}\)

The implication of these results is that once the NB group is photoremoved, the decarboxylation process is relatively efficient. Hence, the total photodeprotection–decarboxylation process to generate the terminal alkyne is governed by the first photodeprotection process.

In addition, the thermal stability of 1a and 3a–e was also tested by thermogravimetric studies. At 210 °C, a >90 wt % loss was observed for 1a as compared to a <5 wt % loss for the esters. This result indicates that NB-protected esters (3a–e) are thermally more stable than 1a, showing the robustness of our NB esters (see Figure S4).

It is known that the photoremoval of NBs at high concentrations requires either high-intensity light\(^{33}\) or a large surface area to be efficient.\(^{34,35}\) Henceforth, a quartz microchannel flow reactor (62.5 μL capacity) was utilized to investigate the photodeprotection. The advantage of using microchannel flow reactors is that over time, the whole solution will be under uniform irradiation, leading to efficient photo-reaction. Also, by controlling the flow rate and reactor volume, one can precisely tune the photoirradiation time as desired.\(^{36}\)

Consequently, a 5 mM CDCl\(_3\) solution of 3b was injected through the reactor chip at rates of 2.0, 1.2, and 0.4 mL/h, with residence times of approximately 100, 167, and 500 s, respectively, in the microchannel. The irradiated samples were then studied by \(^1\)H NMR. The experiment led to 41, 74, and 100% photodeprotection for the 100, 167, and 500 s UV irradiations, respectively, as shown in the \(^1\)H NMR spectra in Figure 2. Moreover, the areas of the proton peaks from the proton next to the nitro group (c) and the benzylic proton (b) on the NB as well as the methyl doublet (a) have decreased (Figure 2, spectrum 1), while a new aromatic peak (c’) at 7.0 ppm and a methyl peak (a’) at 2.7 ppm from one of the photoproducts, nitrosoacetophenone, have also emerged (Figure 2, spectrum 2). The second peak at 2.6 ppm labeled d is most likely due to the methyl peaks of the dimer formed from nitrosoacetophenone.\(^{37}\) However, because one of the photoproducts, phenylpropionic acid, is a carboxylic acid,\(^{38}\) its protons were not observed, due to proton exchange with the NMR solvent.

To investigate the effect of substitution at the benzylic position, the absorption values of 3a–e at 325 nm were plotted versus time (Figure 3). The temporal evolution of the absorbance for the unsubstituted ester (3a) is the least pronounced as compared to those of the compounds

---

**Figure 1.** UV–visible absorption spectra of 1a and 3a–e in acetonitrile.

**Figure 2.** \(^1\)H NMR spectra for the photodeprotection of 3b with a 254 nm UV lamp at a different exposure times. Spectrum 1 was recorded prior to UV irradiation, while spectra 2–4 represent photodeprotection at residence times inside the microchannel of 100, 167, and 500 s, respectively, corresponding to flow rates of 2, 1.2, and 0.4 mL/h, respectively. Furthermore, new peaks a’, c’, and d emerge, while peaks a–c disappear gradually.

**Figure 3.** Evolution of the absorption of compounds 1a–e at 325 nm vs time during photolysis.
containing a copper salt [CuI or Cu(acac)2], a high-pressure mercury lamp to trigger the photodeprotection of NB (Figure 4).

The reactor chip (62.5 μL) was then equilibrated with 1% acetic acid, followed by the addition of azidoanisole at 60 °C. The mixture was reacted for 15 min (Scheme 3).

LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture showed the formation of the desired cycloaddition product, [1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (4), in the crude reaction mixture (see the Supporting Information).

The faster rate for the allyl-substituted molecule 3d can also be rationalized in terms of the favorable proximity of the nitroso and allyl moiety for the nitroso-ene reaction. This interaction traps the reactive nitroso moiety from undesired side reactions, as demonstrated in the past by Pirrung et al., using a diene in this case.

Furthermore, assuming clean photoreaction at low photolysis quantum yields (Qₚ) for 3a–e were determined by UV–visible (a) spectroscopy (λₚ = 254 nm; λₚ/obs = 325 nm) to be 0.08, 0.41, 0.41, 0.44, and 0.45, respectively (for details, see Figure S1). This result underscores a significant improvement in Qₚ for the benzylic substituted esters (3b–e) in comparison to that of the unsubstituted ester (3a).

To further demonstrate the release of the terminal alkyne from the NB-protected arylpropiolates, a tandem photodeprotection–decarboxylation reaction followed by a copper-catalyzed alkyne–azide cycloaddition (CuAAC) “click” reaction was performed; 1 mM solutions of NB-protected phenylpropiolic acids 3a–e were injected into a flow microchannel reactor chip (62.5 μL) while being irradiated with a 254 nm UV lamp to trigger the photodeprotection of NB (Figure 4).

The versatility of our substrate has also been tested with a substituted at the benzylic position (Figure 3). Furthermore, the line for 3a is not straight. This may be explained by undesired side reactions of the aldehyde byproduct. In the four remaining molecules, as a result of the benzylic substitution, the byproducts are less reactive ketones.

Table 1. Optimization and Demonstration of the Scope of the One-Pot Photodeprotection–Decarboxylation–CuAAC Reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>residence time (s)</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>Cu(acac)₂</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3e</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>Cu(acac)₂</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3e</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3e</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>no yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ethynylbenzene</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>CuI</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall yields for the three step-reaction shown in Scheme 3 determined by ¹H NMR, using methyl 3,5-dinitrobenzoate as the internal standard. Yields are given as an average from two experiments.

The versatility of our substrate has also been tested with a Cu(acac)₂-catalyzed decarboxylation–CuAAC reaction (entries 7 and 9). The desired triazole click product was obtained with this method, as well, with yields comparable to those of the CuI method at the corresponding flow rates. When acetonitrile was used as a solvent instead of dichloromethane, the click reaction
did not yield the desired product (entry 11). This could be explained by the inefficiency of the CuAAC click reaction in dilute solutions. One reason that the click reaction works in dichloromethane is that the solvent evaporates partially at the temperature employed (60 °C), leading to a more concentrated solution, which facilitates the reaction.

Hence, besides controlling the residence time through the microchannel reactor, we found in our study that choosing the right type of solvent is important for the entire photo-deprotection–decarboxylation–click reaction cascade process to proceed efficiently.

### CONCLUSION

In summary, a series of o-nitrobenzyl phenylpropiolates was synthesized for investigation of light-triggered release of terminal alkynes. The photodeprotection at 254 nm was followed by 1H NMR, indicating the release of phenylpropionic acid, which further underwent copper-catalyzed decarboxylative cleavage in situ to yield a terminal alkyne, phenylacetylene. In addition, the benzylic position of the NB group was modified with methyl, vinyl, allyl, and phenyl moieties. The quantum yields of photolysis for the benzylic substituted esters (3b–e) were determined to be as high as 0.45. As a proof of concept, solutions of the alkynyl esters were pumped via a flow microchannel reactor while being irradiated with a 254 nm UV lamp to increase the efficiency of photodeprotection. At a rate of 0.4 mL/h (500 s residence time), quantitative photodeprotection of the NB group was found. A tandem photodeprotection–decarboxylation reaction, followed by Cu-catalyzed click reaction on the liberated alkyne in situ with azidoanisole, afforded the anticipated 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole product at 60 °C. This way of releasing terminal alkynes could find potential applications in organic synthesis as well as in surface modification by incorporation of thiol-functionalized substrates.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials and Methods.** Starting materials were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless stated otherwise. All dry solvents were obtained using an mBraun MB SPS-800 solvent purification system. Dichloromethane was dried over 3 Å molecular sieves. All reactions were performed under nitrogen media in a fume hood. The progress of the reaction was followed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on precoated aluminum plates, Merck Silica gel 60 F254, and the spots were visualized with the aid of a hand-held UV lamp (254 and 365 nm). Phenylpropionic acid was prepared starting from iodophenol and propiolic acid via a Sonogashira reaction. Purification of the compounds was performed using automated flash chromatography (Biotage Isolera Spektra One). 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded by an automated Agilent (Varian) MR 400 (400 MHz) spectrometer equipped with a “One-probe”. The chemical shifts are given in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane using the residual solvent signal as a reference. IR spectra were acquired on a PerkinElmer Frontier FTIR spectrometer equipped with an ATR device. The data were processed with Spectrum software. LC–MS (ESI) data were acquired on a PerkinElmer PE SCIEX API 150 EX instrument with a Turbolon spray ion source equipped with a Gemini 5 mm RP-C18 110 Å column, using a H2O/MeCN gradient (95:5 to S:95) with 0.1% HCO2H. UV–visible spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Lambda 900 UV/vis/NIR spectrometer.

**Synthetic Procedures.** General Procedure for the Preparation of Various Arylpropiolic Acids via Sonogashira Reaction. To a two-neck round-bottom flask provided with a stirring bar containing a mixture of aryl iodide (10 mmol), Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (2 mol %), CuI (4 mol %), and DMF (15 mL) was added propiolic acid (12 mmol) followed by the dropwise addition of diisopropylamine under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 5 h. At this point, the content was diluted with ethyl acetate and passed through a short plug of silica gel. The filtrate was washed with a cold aqueous KOH solution (1 M, 100 mL) to extract the product, which was subsequently acidified with a cold HCl solution (1 M) kept in an ice bath. Then, the organic phase was extracted with dichloromethane and washed with water and brine consecutively in a separator funnel; the organic phase was separated and dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and filtered, and the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to yield the desired product.

**Phenylpropiolic Acid (1a).** Phenyl iodide (2.04 g, 10 mmol) was reacted with propiolic acid (0.79 mL, 12 mmol), Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (2 mol %), CuI (4 mol %), and diisopropylamine (15 mL) as described in the general procedure to afford the product as an off-white solid (0.95 g, 65%). NMR data were consistent with literature data: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.35 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 156.2, 133.1, 130.6, 128.6, 120.0, 86.3, 81.3. p-Bromophenylpropiolic Acid (1b). p-Bromophenyl iodide (2.83 g, 10 mmol) was reacted with propiolic acid (0.79 mL, 12 mmol), Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (2 mol %), CuI (4 mol %), and diisopropylamine (15 mL) as described in the general procedure to afford the product as a gray solid (1.53 g, 68%). NMR data were consistent with literature data: 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.44 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 155.9, 134.5, 132.1, 125.3, 118.8, 85.4, 82.0.

**p-Aminophenylpropiolic Acid (1c).** Boc-p-aminophenyl iodide (1.5 g, 4.9 mmol) was reacted with propiolic acid (0.4 mL, 6 mmol), Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (2 mol %), CuI (4 mol %), and diisopropylamine (10 mL) as described in the general procedure to afford the product as an off-white solid (0.9 g, 70%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.48 (s, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ 164.4, 163.1, 152.9, 144.4, 128.5, 123.1, 96.5, 90.9, 90.04.

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of o-Nitrobenzyl Alcohols (2e–h).** To a stirring solution of o-nitrobenzaldehyde (33 mmol) in THF (70 mL) at −78 °C in an acetone/liquid nitrogen bath was added dropwise a Grignard reagent (35 mmol). The color of the solution changed from yellow to brown, and the mixture was allowed to react for 1 h. Then, the solution was warmed to room temperature and left to stir overnight. To this solution was added diethyl ether (50 mL), and the reaction was quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution. Any precipitated solids were filtered off, and the solvents were removed using rotary evaporation. The crude product was re-dissolved in dichloromethane (30 mL) and washed with distilled water and brine in a separate funnel. The organic phase was then collected, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, and filtered. The solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to afford a crude product, which was subsequently purified by automated flash chromatography with a gradient of 0 to 10% ethyl acetate in hexane.
1-(2-Nitrophenyl)ethan-1-ol (2b). Methylmagnesium bromide (3 M in diethyl ether, 11.7 mL, 35 mmol) was used, affording 2e as a yellowish oil (1.52 g, 28%). NMR data were consistent with literature data:42 $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.84 (dd, 1H), 7.65 (tdd, $J = 7.9, 1.4, 0.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, $J = 8.1, 7.3, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.41 (q, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 148.0, 141.0, 133.7, 128.2, 127.7, 124.4, 65.7, 24.3.

1-(2-Nitrophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (2c). Vinylmagnesium bromide (1 M in THF, 35 mL, 35 mmol) was used, affording 2f as a yellow oil (3.86 g, 65%). NMR data were consistent with literature data.43 $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.91 (m, 1H), 7.76 (m, 1H), 6.74 (tdd, $J = 7.4, 4.3, 0.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (m, 1H), 6.07 (ddd, $J = 17.2, 10.5, 5.2, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.79 (dt, $J = 5.4, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.41 (ddt, $J = 17.2, 1.4, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.26 (ddt, $J = 10.5, 1.3, 1.3, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.63 (bs, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 148.1, 137.8, 137.3, 133.4, 128.7, 128.3, 124.9, 116.0, 69.8.

1-(2-Nitrophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol (2d). Allylmagnesium bromide (1 M in THF, 35 mL, 35 mmol) was used, affording 2g as a colorless oil (0.97 g, 16%). NMR data were consistent with literature data.44 $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.92 (m, 1H), 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.42 (m, 1H), 5.89 (m, 1H), 3.31 (dd, $J = 8.4, 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.21 (m, 1H), 5.18 (t, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.70 (m, 1H), 2.42 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 147.8, 139.2, 133.9, 133.4, 128.1, 128.1, 124.9, 119.1, 68.4, 42.8.

2-(Nitrophenyl)methanol (2e). Phenylmagnesium bromide [freshly prepared from phenyl bromide (3.5 mL, 35 mmol) and magnesium turnings (0.9 g, 35 mmol) in THF] was used, affording 2e as an orange oil (5.47 g, 72%). NMR data were consistent with literature data.45 $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.91 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, $J = 7.9, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.61 (td, $J = 7.8, 7.7, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (m, 1H), 7.32 (m, 6H), 6.40 ($d$, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.77 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 148.5, 141.6, 138.6, 133.5, 129.5, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 127.0, 71.6.

General Procedure for the Microwave-Assisted Esterification Reaction (1d–h). To a stirring solution of phenylpropiolic acid (2 mmol, 0.32 g) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ cooled in an ice bath were added the alcohol (2 mmol), DCC (2 mmol), and DMAP (10 mol%), in the general procedure to afford 1g as a yellow oil (0.11 g, 51%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.02 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, $J = 7.9, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, $J = 7.6, 7.1, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.39 (m, 2H), 6.53 (dd, $J = 8.1, 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.91 (ddt, $J = 17.2, 10.2, 7.0, 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.16 (m, 2H), 2.77 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 152.92, 147.56, 135.72, 133.68, 133.04, 132.42, 130.81, 128.70, 128.57, 127.72, 124.73, 119.36, 118.92, 87.19, 80.27, 72.52, 40.15, $\nu_{\text{max}}$(neat) $\delta$ 2211, 1706, 1524.

2-(Nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methyl-3-phenylpropiolate (3a). 2e (0.15 g, 0.6 mmol) was reacted with the acid as described in the general procedure to afford 1h as a yellow thick oil (0.53 g, 70%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.03 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.83 (dd, $J = 8.0, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.47 (m, 4H), 7.38 (m, 5H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 152.43, 147.68, 137.12, 134.38, 133.40, 132.83, 130.67, 128.80, 128.52, 128.44, 128.42, 128.39, 127.89, 124.81, 119.06, 87.35, 80.03, 73.78, $\nu_{\text{max}}$(neat) $\delta$ 2214, 1707, 1525.

General Procedure for the One-Pot CuAAC Reaction. Three milliliters of a 1 mM solution of the esters in dichloromethane was injected through a microchannel reactor chip (Syrris, 62.5 µL) while being irradiated at 254 nm using a hand-held UV lamp (UVVP; UVGL-25 Compact UV Lamp, 4 W, 254/365). The photoproduc was collected in a vial containing the click reagents [Cul (3.8 mg, 0.02 eq), DIPEA (5.2 mg, 0.04 eq), and HOAC (2.4 mg, 0.04 eq)]. The vial was heated to 60 °C until the amount of solvent was reduced by half. Then, azido anisole (0.1 mL) was added and the mixture stirred until it was dry (15 min). The crude product was re-dissolved in CDCl$_3$ and passed through a short pipet plug containing anhydrous MgSO$_4$ into an NMR tube. Then methyl-3,5-dinitrobenzoate (30 µL) was added as an internal standard to determine the yield by quantitative $^1$H NMR (32 scans, 10 s ds, 45° pulse angle).47
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