

Institutional EYE

IiAS Comment | 4 December 2019

Focus

First Reaction
Governance Spotlight

- ✓ Regulatory Overview Thematic Research Event Based Research
- ✓ General Commentary

Subscribe to IiAS Research

Write to us solutions@iias.in

The elephant in the boardroom

India Inc needs to fight the real elephant in the boardroom: the requirement that the chairman and managing director not being related. Regulations, in doing so is forcing families to make choices that they are not quite prepared to make - chairman versus managing director and choosing between different family members.

A few week ago, FICCI, an industry body, wrote to the Finance Minister, asking her to reconsider the decision to separate the role of the chairman and managing director/CEO for companies. This separation was bound to be contentious from when it was first mooted as it demanded not just separation, but that the chairman and MD/CEO not be related. What surprises is that it has taken two years for industry to formally raise this issue, given that this is the one topic that has been on everyone's mind.

What has SEBI said and why

The CG Voluntary Guidelines issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, had mooted this separation between the role of the chairman and the CEO of a company in 2009. This was immediately buried, till it was resuscitated by the Report of the Committee on Corporate Governance aka the Kotak Committee in October 2017.

The Kotak Committee proposed that listed entities with more than 40% public shareholding should separate the roles of Chairperson and MD/CEO (with effect from April 1, 2020). And if this had merit, it was be extended to all listed companies in 2022.

The report saw the role of the chairman as the leader of the board, and that of the CEO a leader of the management. Separating the roles provides for a "more balanced governance structure by enabling better and more effective supervision of the management" since the separation will enable the board to act independently. It eliminates conflicts in performance evaluation thereby paving the way for fairer executive compensation. It allowed the CEO to concentrate on strategy, execution and the day-to-day running of business. This greater role clarity was seen as a way of ensuring that boards tasks are not neglected due to lack of time. Importantly this was also as a way of reducing excessive concentration of authority in a single individual.

1 iiasadvisory.com December 2019

The Kotak Committee report was placed for public comments, and when SEBI's board met on 27 March 2018, they proposed that 'separation may be initially made applicable to the top 100 listed entities (by market capitalization) w.e.f. April 1, 2019. Further, in such entities, Chairperson and MD/CEO should not be related to each other in terms of the definition of "relative" as defined under the Companies Act, 2013.' When this was notified in May 2018, it was extended to the top 500 companies.

The pushback

FICCI in its letter to the finance minister, referred to above, has argued that India is 'different' given the family ownership of business from the largest to the smallest corner grocery store. They highlight the role of the family and family patriarch in the Indian ethos. And finally, that Indian family business has shown stronger top-line growth than non-family business – citing global research to bolster this claim.

Given that such separation takes away from efficiency in decision making (- takes away from a unified chain of command), the letter states that there is no evidence of separation leading to better governance (- true) or better financial performance (- also true).

It goes on to argue, whether to separate the two roles or combine them, whether the chairman should be executive or non-executive are decisions that are best left to the company's and its shareholders. There are, ofcourse, safeguards that are already in place: the provision of having 50% independent directors, when the chair is executive is one example. And finally, there are less disruptive idea's like a lead-independent director if the role of the chairman and CEO are combined.

How many companies are impacted?

FICCI is battling a trend. Addressing media on 20 November, SEBI's chairman said that only about a third of the companies still need to separate the two roles – implying that companies, for the most have begun acting on this. This is in line with data Russell Reynolds/Prime database/Conference Board have, showing that 345 of the 500 companies have this separation. The data is not explicit in whether the separation excludes executive chairman or 'relatives,' even so, it suggests that the issue is not as widespread as it is being made out – atleast not for the top 500, by number.

This data is no different from what we see in other geographies. More than 90% of the Stoxx Europe companies have separated the two roles. In UK this split is for all practical purposes, mandatory. Even in the US, which for the longest have seen no merit in this, is changing. A <u>recent article</u> in WSJ finds that as of October 2019, there were 266 companies, or 53%, in the S&P 500 index that have definitively split the two roles, according to a recent article in WSJ, up from 35% in 2009.

What now

India Inc needs to fight the real elephant in the boardroom: the requirement that the chairman and managing director not being related. The regulation, in doing so is forcing families to make choices that they are not quite prepared to make – choosing a role: chairman versus managing director and choosing between different family members. Families must articulate what is the causing them anxiety. Perhaps then, the regulators can help them arrive at a workable solution. Riling against separation per se, is regurgitating yesterday's debate.

A modified version of this comment appeared in Business Standard on 29 November, under the title "The elephant in the boardroom"



Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS). The information contained herein is solely from publicly available data, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied on as such. IiAS shall not be in any way responsible for any loss or damage that may arise to any person from any inadvertent error in the information contained in this report. This document is provided for assistance only and is not intended to be and must not be taken as the basis for any voting or investment decision. The user assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Each recipient of this document should make such investigation as it deems necessary to arrive at an independent evaluation of the individual resolutions referred to in this document (including the merits and risks involved). The discussions or views expressed may not be suitable for all investors. The information given in this document is as of the date of this report and there can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with this information. This information is subject to change without any prior notice. IiAS reserves the right to make modifications and alterations to this statement as may be required from time to time. However, IiAS is under no obligation to update or keep the information current. Nevertheless, IiAS is committed to providing independent and transparent recommendation to its client and would be happy to provide any information in response to specific client queries. Neither IiAS nor any of its affiliates, group companies, directors, employees, agents or representatives shall be liable for any damages whether direct, indirect, special or consequential including lost revenue or lost profits that may arise from or in connection with the use of the information. The disclosures of interest statements incorporated in this document are provided solely to enhance the transparency and should not be treated as endorsement of the views expres

Confidentiality

This information is strictly confidential and is being furnished to you solely for your information. This information should not be reproduced or redistributed or passed on directly or indirectly in any form to any other person or published, copied, in whole or in part, for any purpose. This report is not directed or intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law, regulation or which would subject IiAS to any registration or licensing requirements within such jurisdiction. The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law, and persons in whose possession this document comes, should inform themselves about and observe, any such restrictions. The information provided in these reports remains, unless otherwise stated, the copyright of IiAS. All layout, design, original artwork, concepts and other Intellectual Properties, remains the property and copyright of IiAS and may not be used in any form or for any purpose whatsoever by any party without the express written permission of the copyright holders.

IiAS Voting Guidelines

IiAS' voting recommendations are based on a set of guiding principles, which incorporate the basic tenets of the legal framework along with the best practices followed by some of the better governed companies. These policies clearly list out the rationale and evaluation parameters which are taken into consideration while finalizing the recommendations. The detailed <u>IiAS Voting Guidelines</u> are available at our website. The draft report prepared by the analyst is referred to an internal Review and Oversight Committee (ROC), which is responsible for ensuring consistency in voting recommendations, alignment of recommendations to the IiAS' voting criteria and setting and maintaining quality standards of IiAS' proxy reports. Details regarding the functioning and composition of the ROC committee are available at https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about. In undertaking its activities, IiAS relies on information available in the public domain i.e. information that is available to public shareholders. However, in order to provide a more meaningful analysis, IiAS, generally seeks clarifications from the subject company. IiAS reserves the right to share the information provided by the subject company in its reports. Further details on IiAS policy on communication with subject companies are available at https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about.

Analyst Certification

The research analyst(s) for this report certify/ies that no part of his/her/their compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly related to specific recommendations or views expressed in this report. IiAS' internal policies and control procedures governing the dealing and trading in securities by employees are available at https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about.

Conflict Management

IiAS and its research analysts may hold a nominal number of shares in the companies that IiAS covers (including the subject company), as on the date of this report. A list of IiAS' shareholding in companies is available at https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about.

However, IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have actual/beneficial ownership of one per cent. or more securities of the subject company, at the end of the month immediately preceding the date of publication of this report. A list of shareholders of IiAS as of the date of this report is available at https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about. However, the preparation of this report is monitored by an internal Review and Oversight Committee (ROC) of IiAS and is not subject to the control of any company to which such report may relate and which may be a shareholder of IiAS.



Other Disclosures

IiAS is a SEBI registered research entity (proxy advisor registration number: INH000000024) dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinions, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions of about 750 listed Indian companies (https://www.iiasadvisory.com/iias-coverage-list). Our products and services include voting advisory reports, standardized services under the Indian Corporate Governance Scorecard, and databases (www.iiasadrian.com and www.iiasadrian.com and www.iiasadrian.com and www.iiasadrian.com and orders passed against the company by any of the Regulators or Courts/Tribunals.

IiAS further confirms that, save as otherwise set out above or disclosed on IiAS' website (www.iias.in):

- IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have any financial interest in the subject company.
- IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have any other material conflict of interest at the time of publication of this report.
- None of IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, have received any compensation from
 the subject company or any third party in the past 12 months in connection with the provision of services of products (including
 investment banking or merchant banking or brokerage services or any other products and services), or managed or co-managed public
 offering of securities of the subject company.
- The research analyst(s) responsible for this report has not served as an officer, director or employee of the subject company in the past twelve months.
- None of IiAS or the research analyst(s) responsible for this report have been engaged in market making activity for the subject company.

Disclosures relating to the subject companies

IiAS as a proxy advisor provides various services including publishing reports on corporate governance and related matters. The subject companies have subscribed to IiAS' services and IiAS has received remuneration from the subject companies in the past twelve months.



markets ∩ governance

About IiAS

Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS) is an advisory firm, dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinion, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions for over 800 companies. IiAS provides bespoke research, valuation advisory services and assists institutions in their engagement with company managements and their boards.

In addition to voting advisory, IiAS offers two cloud based solutions - IiAS ADRIAN, and comPAYre. IiAS ADRIAN captures shareholder meetings and voting data and provides packaged data that can be used to gain insights on how investors view specific issues and gain greater predictability regarding how they might vote. comPAYre provides users access to remuneration data for executive directors across S&P BSE 500 companies over a five-year period.





Office

Institutional Investor Advisory Services Ground Floor, DGP House, 88C Old Prabhadevi Road, Mumbai - 400 025 India

Contact

solutions@iias.in T: +91 22 6123 5509/ +91 22 6123 5555