

Appendix B: Planning Process

Planning Process Documentation included in appendices in PDF version of the plan in the following order:

Notice for Public Comment Period

Affidavits of Publication for Public Comment Period

Invitees List

Meeting notices press release

Meeting letters and emails

Meeting Agendas

Internet Postings of Meeting Dates and Notices

Meeting sign-in sheets

STAPLEE Worksheets

2018-2019 Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Notice of Public Comment

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with the State Emergency Management Association (SEMA) and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The preliminary draft is available for review and comment online at www.smcog.org until Tuesday, January 15, 2019. The public is strongly encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Dade County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a printed copy or have comments on the plan, please contact Megan Clark, Senior Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments at 417-836-6901 or meganclark@missouristate.edu.

(Space above for recording information)

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss.
COUNTY OF DADE)

**2018-2019 Dade County
Multi-Jurisdictional
Natural Hazard Mitigation
Plan Update**

Notice of Public Comment

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with the State Emergency Management Association (SEMA) and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

I, Regina Langston, being duly sworn according to law, state that I am the Editor of THE VEDETTE, a weekly newspaper of general circulation in the County of Dade, State of Missouri, where located; which newspaper has been admitted to the Post Office as periodical class matter in the City of Greenfield, Missouri, the city of publication; which newspaper has been published regularly and consecutively for a period of three years and has a list of bona fide subscribers, voluntarily engaged as such who have paid or agreed to pay a stated price for a subscription for a definite period of time, and that such newspaper has compiled with the provisions of Section 493.050, Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000, and Section 59.310, Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000. The affixed notice appeared in said newspaper in the following consecutive issues:

1st Insertion: Vol. 154 No. 27 , 20 day of December 2018

2nd Insertion: Vol. 154 No. _____ , _____ day of _____

3rd Insertion: Vol. 154 No. _____ , _____ day of _____

4th Insertion: Vol. 154 No. _____ , _____ day of _____

5th Insertion: Vol. 154 No. _____ , _____ day of _____

6th Insertion: Vol. 154 No. _____ , _____ day of _____

Editor's Signature Regin Lgt

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of January , 20 19.

Shari R Benbrook
Notary Public

My commission expires 9-17-2020



**The
Greenfield
Vedette**
Established 1866

***Affidavit
of Publication***

The preliminary draft is available for review and comment online at www.smcog.org until Tuesday, January 15, 2019. The public is strongly encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Dade County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a printed copy or have comments on the plan, please contact Megan Clark, Senior Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments at 417-836-6901 or megan-clark@missouristate.edu.

To be published in The Vedette on December 20, 2018.

First Name	Last Name	Title	Address	City	State	Postal Code	Country
Jim	Toler	Chairman	244 W. MainSt	Dadeville	Missouri	65635	USA
Matt	Bushey	Superintendent	17 Bearcat Trail	Dadeville	Missouri	65635	USA
Norm	Wilson	Mayor	116 Commercial St, PO Box 87	Everton	Missouri	65646	USA
Jamie	Nichols	City Clerk	116 Commercial St, PO Box 87	Everton	Missouri	65646	USA
Karl	Janson	Superintendent	211 School St	Everton	Missouri	65646	USA
Randy	Daniel	Presiding Commissioner	300 W. Water St.	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
David	Rusch	Commissioner	300 W. Water St	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Dallas	Maxwell	Commissioner	300 W. Water St	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Kim	Kinder	EMD	8 S. Main	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Lori	Sneed	Director	400 Broad Street, Suite 911	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Max	Huffman	Sheriff	201 E. Water St.	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Pamela	Allen	Director	413 Water Street	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Dave	Engroff	Mayor	115 S. Main	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Tim	Larkin	Chief of Police	115 S. Main	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Mark	Davis	City Clerk	115 S. Main	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Jeffery	Davis	Superintendent	410 W. College	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Gary	Banta	Fire Chief	PO Box 202	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Amber	Burton		150 N. Main Street	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Jill	Scheidt	Program Director	2 N. Main Street	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Tina	Brownsberger	Office	2 N. Main Street	Greenfield	Missouri	65661	USA
Keith	Stammer	EMD	303 East 3rd	Joplin	Missouri	64801	USA
Tom	Ryan	EMD	P.O.Box 453, 1100 Cherry St.	Lamar	Missouri	64759	USA
Larry	Allen	Mayor	107 E 8th, Box 0	Lockwood	Missouri	65682	USA
Barbara	Routledge	City Clerk	107 E 8th, Box 0	Lockwood	Missouri	65682	USA
Clay	Lasater	Superintendent	400 W. 4th St	Lockwood	Missouri	65682	USA
Bonnie	Witt-Schulte	EMD	1 E. Courthouse Square #101	Mt. Vernon	Missouri	65712	USA
Michelle	Gass	Chairman	398 Park Ave, PO Box 114	South Greenfield	Missouri	65752	USA
Sally	Benedict	Village Clerk	398 Park Ave, PO Box 114	South Greenfield	Missouri	65752	USA
Debi	Meeds	Executive Director	1545 N. West Bypass	Springfield	Missouri	65807	USA
Rich	Chiles	Natrual Resource Mgr	16435 E. Stockton Lake Dr	Stockton	Missouri	65785	USA
Arlo	Rupke	EMD	PO Box 235	Stockton	Missouri	65785	USA
Larry	Wheeler				Missouri		USA



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
July 9, 2018

Megan Clark, Senior Planner

Dade County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update kick-off meeting will be held on July 16th, 2018 at 1 p.m. at the Dade County Emergency Operations Center, 2 N. Main St., Greenfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2014.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
August 10, 2018

Megan Clark, Senior Planner

Dade County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update second meeting will be held on August 27th, 2018 at 1 p.m. at the Dade County Emergency Operations Center, 2 N. Main St., Greenfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2014.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
September 10, 2018

Megan Clark, Senior Planner

Dade County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – Meeting 3

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update third meeting will be held on September 24, 2018 at 1 p.m. at the Dade County Emergency Operations Center, 2 N. Main St., Greenfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2014.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
October 8, 2018

Megan Clark, Senior Planner

Dade County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – Meeting 4

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update fourth meeting will be held on October 15th, 2018 at 1 p.m. at the Dade County Emergency Operations Center, 2 N. Main St., Greenfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2014.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
November 1, 2018

Megan Clark, Senior Planner

Dade County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – Final Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Dade County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update 5th and final meeting will be held on November 26th, 2018 at 1 p.m. at the Dade County Emergency Operations Center, 2 N. Main St., Greenfield, Mo.


Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2014.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###



Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

June 18, 2018

RE: Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Dear Stakeholder,

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Dade County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Dade County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting on the update of the Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Monday, July 16th, 2018 at 1:00 p.m.

Please see the back of this letter for additional information.



Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

Kickoff Meeting:
Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting
Dade County EOC
2 N. Main St
Greenfield, MO
Monday, July 16th, 2018
1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at the kickoff meeting will include greater detail on the update process, necessary participants and their responsibilities, elements of the natural hazard mitigation plan and update items, and a timeline for completion, approval, and adoption of the plan update.

Additional plan update meetings will be held at 1:00 p.m. at the Dade County EOC on the following dates:

August 27th, 2018
September 24th, 2018
October 15, 2018
November 12th, 2018

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process.

If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

Megan Clark
Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

August 9, 2018

RE: Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Dade County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 2nd meeting for the update of the Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

2nd Meeting:

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting

Dade County EOC

2 N. Main St

Greenfield, MO

Monday, August 27th, 2018

1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include a risk assessment of hazards throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Additional plan update meetings will be held September 24, October 15, and November 26.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

Megan Clark
Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

September 7, 2018

RE: Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Dade County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 3rd meeting for the update of the Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

3rd Meeting:
Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting
Dade County EOC
2 N. Main St
Greenfield, MO
Monday, September 24th, 2018
1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include mitigation strategies to address hazards that were identified throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Additional plan update meetings will be held October 15 and November 26.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Megan Clark".

Megan Clark
Senior Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

September 7, 2018

RE: Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Dade County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 4th meeting for the update of the Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

4th Meeting:

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting

Dade County EOC

2 N. Main St

Greenfield, MO

Monday, October 15th, 2018

1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include mitigation actions implementation in order to address hazards that were identified throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

The final plan update meeting will be held November 26, 2018 at 1 p.m.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Megan Clark".

Megan Clark

Senior Planner

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

November 1, 2018

RE: Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Dade County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 5th and final meeting for the update of the Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

Final Meeting:
Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting
Dade County EOC
2 N. Main St
Greenfield, MO
Monday, November 26, 2018
1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include mitigation actions implementation in order to address hazards that were identified throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Again, this is the final plan update meeting.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Megan Clark".

Megan Clark

Senior Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2018

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the kick-off meeting on the Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, July 16.

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting

Dade County EOC

2 N. Main St

Greenfield, MO

Monday, July 16th, 2018

1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Dade County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Dade County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Introduction to Hazard Mitigation
 - Disaster Mitigation Act, Grants, Mitigation Plan funding
- The Planning Process
 - Organization, Risk Assessment, Develop and Review Mitigation Strategies, Adoption Resolutions
- Participation Requirements
 - Capabilities Survey, Meeting attendance, Time Sheets
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Dade County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org. For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2018

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 2nd meeting on the Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, August 27.

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting

Dade County EOC

2 N. Main St

Greenfield, MO

Monday, August 27, 2018

1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Dade County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Dade County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- The Planning Process
- County risk assessment of natural hazards
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Dade County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org. For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2018

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 3rd meeting on the Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, September 24.

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting

Dade County EOC

2 N. Main St

Greenfield, MO

Monday, September 24, 2018

1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Dade County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Dade County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Mitigation strategies to address identified hazards
- Planning process recap
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Dade County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org. For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2018

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 4th meeting on the Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, October 15.

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting
Dade County EOC
2 N. Main St
Greenfield, MO
Monday, October 15, 2018
1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Dade County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Dade County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Mitigation action implementation
- Planning process recap
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Dade County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org. For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2018

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 5th and final meeting on the Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, November 26.

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting

Dade County EOC

2 N. Main St

Greenfield, MO

Monday, November 26, 2018

1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Dade County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Dade County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Mitigation action implementation
- Planning process recap

The current Dade County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org. For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #2

Monday, August 27, 1 p.m.
Dade County Emergency Management
2 N. Main St.
Greenfield, MO
[Meeting #2 Presentation](#)

Archive

July 2018 (7)

Follow Us



Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-off Meeting

Monday, July 16, 1 p.m.
Dade County Emergency Management
2 N. Main St.
Greenfield, MO
[Agenda](#)
[Kick-off presentation](#)

[Agenda](#)

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #4

Monday, October 15, 1 p.m.
Dade County Emergency Management
2 N. Main St.
Greenfield, MO
[Meeting #4 Presentation](#)

Spotlight



March 24, 2017

Search By Tags

Annual Meeting Barry County
Board Meeting CEDS Christian
Civil Rights Comment
CompPlan DNR Dade County
Dallas County
Executive Committee Meeting
LWCF Lawrence County NHMP
Polk County Publication RHSOC
RTP SMOG Stone County
TAC Taney County Transit Plan
Transportation Webster County
Workshop image

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #3

Monday, September 24, 1 p.m.
Dade County Emergency Management
2 N. Main St.
Greenfield, MO
[Meeting #3 Presentation](#)

News + Updates

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #5

Monday, November 26, 1 p.m.
Dade County Emergency Management
2 N. Main St.
Greenfield, MO
[Agenda](#)

Upcoming Meetings

Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting

Wednesday, January 16, 2019,
9:00 a.m.

Library Station
2535 N. Kansas Expy.
Springfield, MO

[Agenda](#)

Spotlight

Featured News

Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan - DRAFT 1

An initial draft of the 2018-2019 Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for review and comment. Please provide comments and feedback to MaganClark@MissouriState.edu by January 15, 2019.
[Draft 1](#)

Cultivating Leadership Within Your Community Workshop

Workshop Details

Main Street leaders are the conductors of the Main Street "orchestra" and its many players, including staff, board, volunteers, business owners, residents, property owners, elected officials, funders, and partnering agencies. Main Street leaders can't do the work of revitalization alone. In order to have adequate capacity and to build the engagement and buy-in that are critical for successful revitalization, additional leadership must be developed from within the community itself. The [Cultivating Leadership Within Your Community](#) workshop will provide at...

SMCOG Meetings

Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting

Wednesday, January 16, 2019,
9:00 a.m.

Library Station
2535 N. Kansas Expy.
Springfield, MO

[Agenda Pending](#)

Spotlight



March 24, 2017

Featured News

Hazard Mitigation

Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan - DRAFT

An initial draft of the 2018-2019 Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for review and comment. Please provide comments and feedback to MeganClark@MissouriState.edu by January 15, 2019.

[Draft 2](#)

Cultivating Leadership Within Your Community Workshop

Workshop Details

Main Street leaders are the conductors of the Main Street "orchestra" and its many players, including staff, board, volunteers, business owners, residents, property owners, elected officials, funders, and partnering agencies. Main Street leaders can't do the work of revitalization alone. In order to have adequate capacity and to build the engagement and buy-in that are critical for

SMCOG Meetings

Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting

Wednesday, January 16, 2019,
9:00 a.m.

Library Station
2535 N. Kansas Expy.
Springfield, MO
[Agenda](#)

Spotlight



Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Dade County EOC
 July 16, 2018 1:00 p.m.
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Dolores Maxwell	Commissioner	
Erin	Mayor Greenfield	417-988-0941 ^{Personal} 417-955-3110 ^{Mayor Phone}
Andy Miller	City of Greenfield Supervisor	417-366-3140
Lori Jones	Dade Co 911	
Barb Fortledge	Lockwood - City Clerk	
Larry Allen	Lockwood Mayor	
Annela Allen	Commissioner DPHD	417-437-2345
Dany Busch	Commissioner	
Diana Kinkler	Dade GMD	417-840-2332
STANON RAINS	US Army Corps of Engineers ^{STANON LAKE}	417 276 3113
Tim Larkin	Greenfield Police	

Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting

Dade County EOC
August 27th, 2018 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Carl 201, Holston	Horsely	880 0423
Wamen Beerley	Arcata	808 0263
David Ruck	commissioner	417-366-2217
Kim Linker	EMD	417-840-2336
Randy David	Commissioner	417-327-4633
Tom Shedd	Dadeville Fire	officemanager@dadecounty911.com
Robert Maxwell	Commissioner	417-872-5194
Cecil Gass	Supervisor Road & Bridges	417 633 0134
Bernie Sawyer	So Hazardous City Council	417 637 2570
Jill Scheidt	MUE	417 682 3579
Pamela Oliver	DEHAD	417-637-2395

Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting

Dade County EOC
August 27th, 2018 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Kathy Hayes	Village of Deerfield	417-637-0040
Stanley R. Rhoads	USACE, STOCKTON LAKE	417-276-3113
Tim Larkin	City of Greenfield	

call about amount?

Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Dade County EOC
 September 24, 2018 1:00 p.m.
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Lori Suter	Dade Co Fire Dept	officemanager@dadecounty911.com
GARY BAUTA	Dade Co R-4-Fire Dept	chrisf.bauta@hotmail.com
Kim Kinder	Dade Co EMD	kimkinder@dade.com
Doug Helman	Cockeod Council	doug.helman@yahoo.com
Kenny Snider	Lockwood Fire	lockwoodfire67@gmail.com
Kornie Brewer	Everton, MO	korniebrewer@gmail.com
Cecil GASS	Dade Co Road + Bridges	CecilGASS144@gmail.com
Chris Kell	Greenfield R-10 School	ckell@greenfieldcty.org
Jill Schmidt	MU expansion	schmidtjk@missouri.edu
Stanton Rains	USACE	Stanton.R.Rains@usace.army.mil

go everton@netzero.net
 ahh kornie michals

Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Dade County EOC
 October 15, 2018 1:00 p.m.
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Kim Kinder	Dade Co Emergency	417-846-2336 / kim.kinder@dadeco.org
Pamela Allen	DCHHD	417-637-2345 / pamela.allen@dadecountyhealth.org
Wanwan Beasley	Village of Ancaster	417-808-0263
Chris Kell	Greenfield R-14	417-637-5321 / ckell@greenfieldr14.org
Carolyn Robinson	Village of Bradley	417-424-3365 417-880-0433
Cecil G-AS5	DADE CO Road + Bridges	417-633-0134 Cecil G-AS5 149 @ gmail
Kathy Ayres	South GREENFIELD	417-637-0040 / 417-808-0651
Bernice Joyner	So Greenfield	417-637-2570
Kenne Brewer	Evanston	870-754-4196
Jill Schmidt	MW Expansion	schmidtjk@mizzouri.edu
Chad Boehme	City of Lockwood	417-333-4201 / boehme@cityoflockwood.net

Dade County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Dade County EOC
October 15, 2018 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Matt Budy	Dadeville R-II	mbudy@dadeville.k12.fl.us
STANLEY R. RIMMS	US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	417 276 3113
Isaac Dobb	Lockwood, MD	(417) 955-0559
Kenny Snider	Lockwood Beach Fire	417-925-0345
Clay Lasak	Lockwood Schools	

Action Title: 1.1.1 Maintain & replace low water crossing		Jurisdiction: Dade Co.
Action ID: Markers as needed		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score	26	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 41

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Tom Kinder BEMD 840.2336

Action Title: Promote the location of crit. zonation Jurisdiction: Dade Co
1.1.2 of NOAA ...

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	19	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		13

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 32

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kinter Dade Co EMD 417 810 2331

Action Title: <u>Install additional radio</u> <u>Controlled storm warning sirens in areas</u> <u>of population concentration</u>		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: <u>1.13</u>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>2</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>0</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>1</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>2</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>2</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>0</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>2</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>0</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>1</u>	
STAPLEE Score	<u>10</u>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>7</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<u>12</u>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 22

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Hin Kinda Dade (O EMD) 417-810-2336

Action Title: <u>Provide Educational Materials on natural hazards & ways to reduce risks</u>		Jurisdiction: <u>Dade Co</u>
Action ID: <u>1.2.1</u>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>2</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>3</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>3</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>2</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>2</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>1</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>3</u>	
STAPLEE Score	<u>22</u>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>7</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<u>12</u>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 34

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Kim Hinda Dade Co EMD 840-2331

Action Title: *Establish an Emergency Management Website for the County that includes Hazard mitigation educational information* Jurisdiction: *Dade CO*
 Action ID: *1.2.2*

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>1</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>1</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>2</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>19</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>6</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>11</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *30*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kim Kinde DadeCOEMD 810-2336*

Action Title: <i>Window facade, retrofit doors & windows in existing critical/vulnerable facilities serving concentrated populations</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Dade Co</i>
Action ID: <i>1.3-1</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>1</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>1</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>1</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>17</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>7</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>12</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 29

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kim Hinder Dade Co EMD 417-816-2336*

Action Title: <i>Encourage Construction of tornado/ severe wind safe rooms in areas of Population Concentration</i>		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: <i>1.3.2</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>0</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>2</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>3</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>1</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>0</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>16</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>10</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>15</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *31*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kim Kinder Dade BEMP 810-2331*

Action Title: <i>Encourage Hazard Mitigation Construction standards to be incorporated into the design & construction of new public facilities</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Dade CO</i>
Action ID: <i>1.3.3</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>0</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>1</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>1</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>0</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>11</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>9</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>14</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *25*

Priority Level: High (30+ points)

Medium (25-29 points)

Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Kim Hinder Dade Co EMD 417-890-2336

Action Title: <i>Encourage community organizations to continue programs to provide food & other donations for vulnerable populations during weather extremes</i>		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: <i>1.3.2</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>1</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>0</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>2</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>14</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>4</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>11</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *25*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Jim Hinder Dade EMD 417.840.2336*

Action Title: <i>Encourage the installation of generator backup generators where needed for critical & vulnerable facilities in infrastructure</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Dade CO</i>
Action ID: <i>2.1.1</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>3</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>1</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>2</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>3</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>3</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>1</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>1</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>20</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>7</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>12</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *32*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Jim Kinder Dade EMD 417862336*

Action Title: *Improve low water crossings that frequently flood* Jurisdiction: *Dade Co*

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>3</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>3</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>1</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>0</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>20</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>6</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>8</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>14</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *34*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kim Kinder Dade Co EMD 47846-2331*

Action Title: <i>Identify water drainage obstructions & clear out debris from drainage channels under bridges to lessen flooding potential</i>		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: <i>2-1-3</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>2</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>3</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>3</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>2</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>0</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>20</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>6</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>8</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>14</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 34

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Jim Kinder DadeCo EMD 47840-2332

Action Title: <i>Wave feasible, install and/or improve culverts to eliminate water flow restrictions</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>...</i>
Action ID: <i>2.1.4</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>3</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>2</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>3</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>3</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>2</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>1</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>21</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>6</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>8</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>14</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *35*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Jim Kunda Dade (EMD) 417.816.2336*

Action Title: <i>Encourage best practices for drought-resistant farming</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Dade Co</i>
Action ID: <i>2.1.5</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>0</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>0</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>1</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>11</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>10</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *21*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Aimee Hinder DadeCoEUD 840.23316*

Action Title: <i>Encourage dam owners to maintain their dams with the National Dam Safety Hazard Reduction Initiative</i>	Jurisdiction: <i>Dade CO</i>
Action ID: <i>2016</i>	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>0</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>1</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>1</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>1</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>11</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>10</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *21*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kim Hinder Dade Co EMD 810.2336*

Action Title: Integrate the goals, objectives & mitigation actions from the Dade Co. Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan into existing & new plans, programs & regulations where appropriate

Jurisdiction: Dade CO

Action ID: 2-2.1

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	16	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		12

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kinder Dade Co EMD 4786.2336

Action Title: The NFIP Communities of Dade Co will enforce flood plain management requirements, including regulating all new & substantial improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas

Jurisdiction: Dade Co

Action ID: 2022

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	3	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	16	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		12

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kinder Dade (BEMD) 417.846.2336

Action Title: Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire

Action ID: 2.2.4

Jurisdiction: Dade Co

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score	16	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		13

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 29

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jim Hinder Dade Co EMD 407.840.2336

Action Title: Promote the use of fire resistant construction & landscaping materials Jurisdiction: Dade Co

Action ID: 2-2-5

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	0	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	8	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		14

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 22

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Hunter Dade Co EMD 417-840-2334

* **Action Title:** Share information between all jurisdictions in County responsible for critical/vulnerable facilities & services

Jurisdiction: Dade (C)

Action ID: 301-1

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		15

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 38

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kinder Dade (BEMD) 417840-2334

Action Title: <i>Encourage all Communities to have emergency response access to all portions of their jurisdictions</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Dade CO</i>
Action ID: <i>3.1.2</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>3</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>2</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>2</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>2</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>2</i>	
STAPLEE Score	<i>20</i>	


Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>8</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>8</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		<i>16</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *36*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Kim Hinder Dade CO EMD 417 896 2336



Action Title: Review & upgrade equipment as identified & budget for additional emergency equipment to enhance protection & responses during disaster events
Jurisdiction: Dade

Action ID: 3e103

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	15	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		10

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 25

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kimber Dade Co EMD 4178402334

Action Title: <i>Annually review the Dade Co Emergency Operations Plan & Hazard Mit Plan</i>		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: <i>30104</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>3</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>2</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>2</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>2</i>	
STAPLEE Score	18 <i>18</i>	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5 <i>10</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *28*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (27-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Jim Kinder Dade (BEMD) 417-840-2330*

Action Title: Review Emergency Access Routes & Evaluation Routes & work w/ the responsible entities to minimize or reduce identified problems		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: 3-1-5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	19	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		14

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 33

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Kim Hinder *Dade County* *41786-2330*

Action Title: Cut Ditches in Dade Co. Ditches in areas of hills and curves on gravel roads to keep water from rain in ditch and not wash out roads

Jurisdiction: Dade Co.

Action ID:

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	3	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		14

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 37

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kinder DadeCo EMD 417-840-2334

Action Title: <u>Replace Hulston Bridge and approaches at the end of EE Hwy S1 T31N R26W</u>	Jurisdiction: <u>Dade Co.</u>
Action ID:	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	21	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		13

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 33

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kim Kunder Dade CO EMD 417-840-2334

Action Title: ENCOURAGE CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS		Jurisdiction: ARCOLA
Action ID: 1.3.3		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 17

Priority Level: High (30+ points)

Medium (25-29 points)

Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Wanren Beasley

Action Title: COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS		Jurisdiction: ARCOLA
Action ID: 1,3,4		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	0	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	0	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 12

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Wanen Beasley

Action Title: Install Backup Generator FOR CITY WELL	Jurisdiction: Arcola
Action ID: 2.1.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	0	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	5

disaster damages?	disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Wanen Beasley

Action Title: <u>INTEGRATE GOALS</u>	Jurisdiction: <u>ARCOLA</u>
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	0	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 14

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Wanen Beasley

Action Title: <i>NFIP COMMUNITIES OF DADE CO.</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>ARCOLA</i>
Action ID: <i>2.2.2.</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>0</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0 <i>3</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0 <i>3</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>0</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0 <i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0 <i>3</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0 <i>3</i>	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *27*

Priority Level: High (30+ points)

Medium (25-29 points)

Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Warren Beasley

Action Title: FIRE RESISTANT CONSTRUCTION		Jurisdiction: ARCOLA
Action ID: 2.2.5		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	0	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	0	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 12

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Warren Beasley

Action Title: <u>CONTINUE INFORMATION SHARING</u>		Jurisdiction: <u>ARCOLA</u>
Action ID: <u>3.1.1</u>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>3</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>0</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>1</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>0</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>2</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>2</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>0</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>0</u>	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>5</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 21

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Wanen Beasley

Action Title: <u>REVIEW AND UPGRADE</u>		Jurisdiction: <u>ARCOLA</u>
Action ID: <u>3.1.3</u>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>2</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>0</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>0</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>0</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>2</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>2</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>0</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>0</u>	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>5</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 19

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Warren Beasley

Action Title: <i>ANNUALLY REVIEW</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>ARCOLA</i>
Action ID: <i>3, 1, 4</i>		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>0</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>0</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>0</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>0</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>0</i>	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	<i>5</i>

disaster damages?	disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 17

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Warren Beasley

Action Title: <i>REVIEW EMERGENCY ACCESS ROUTES</i>	Jurisdiction: <i>ARCOLA</i>
Action ID: <i>3.1.5</i>	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>3</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>0</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>0</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>3</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>0</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>0</i>	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	<i>5</i>

disaster damages?	disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 24

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Warren Beasley

no low water crossings not applicable disregard

Action Title: 1.1.1 low water crossing signs		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 1.1.1 1.1.1		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	0	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 20

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kenneth Bauer 870-754-4196

new

Action Title: <i>Obtain 1.1.2 Hazard Radios</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Everton</i>
Action ID: <i>1.1.2</i>		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>2</i>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>2</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>3</i>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>3</i>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>3</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>2</i>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>2</i>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>1</i>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>2</i>	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>5</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<i>5</i>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *30*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Konnia Brewer 870-754-4196*

✓

Not Applicable disregard

Action Title: 1.1.3 Additional Radio Storm Warning Sirens		Jurisdiction: Eucalyptus
Action ID: 1.1.3		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	0	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 14

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kennie Brewer 870-254-4196

Action Title: <u>wt. 1.122</u> <u>1.1.4 Automated</u> <u>messaging for</u>		Jurisdiction: <u>Everton</u> ✓
Action ID: <u>1.1.4 Storm Warning</u>		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>3</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>2</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>3</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>2</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>0</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>0</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>3</u>	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>10</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 34

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Konrad Bremer 870-754-4196

Action Title: 1.2.1 Work @ Schools		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 1.2.1		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 38

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kenneth Brewer 870-754-4196

Action Title: Provide Schools & Educational materials on ways to reduce hazard risk		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 1.3.1		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	



Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 39

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Konnie Brewer 870.754.4196

Not feasible / disregard not applicable

Action Title: <i>1.3.1 Retrofit doors</i>		Jurisdiction:
Action ID: <i>1.3.1</i>		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): _____

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Bonnie Brewer 870-754-4196*

Action Title: <i>Construction of</i> 1.3.2 Integrate Tornado/Severe Wind Safe room (Structural Project)		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 1.3.2		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 36

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kennia Brown 870-754-4196

Action Title: 1.3.3 Construction Standards		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 1.3.3		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kenneth Brown 870-754-4196

Action Title: 13.4 Seek Com. organizations programs to provide fans with weatherization to vulnerable populations		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 1-3-4		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 31

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kenneth Brewer 870-754-4196

not Applicable Disregard

Action Title: <i>2.1.1 Emergency Generators</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Evanton</i>
Action ID: <i>2-1-1</i>		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): _____

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Konnie Brewer 876-754-4196*

Not Applicable disregard

Action Title: <i>2.1.3 water drainage</i>		Jurisdiction: <i>Everton</i>
Action ID: <i>2.1.3</i>		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>X</i>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): _____

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kunnie B. [unclear] 870-754-4196*

2.1.7

Action Title: Eliminate water flow restrictions by installing culverts where feasible		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 2.1.7		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 45

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Konnie Brewer 870-754-4196

Action Title: # 2.2.1 Design, Enhance and Integrate the 2018 Mitigation plan		Jurisdiction: Evertan
Action ID:		
STAPLEE Score		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 36

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kenneth Brewer 870.754.4196

Action Title: NFIP Enforcement and floodplain management		Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: 2-2-2		
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 5 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score):

35

Priority Level: High (30+ points)

Medium (25-29 points)

Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #):

Kennie Brewer 870-754-4196

Disregard Not Applicable

Action Title: # 2.2.4 Burn Restrictions	Jurisdiction: Everton
Action ID: # 2.2.4	

S: Is it Socially acceptable?	X	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE Score		

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): _____

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): _____

Disregard not applicable

Action Title: # 2.2.5 Fire resistant construction		Jurisdiction: Eunton
Action ID: # 2.2.5		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	X	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 2

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Korinna Brewer 870-754-4196

Action Title: <u>Information sharing & Collaboration.</u> # <u>3.1.1</u>		Jurisdiction: <u>Everton</u>
Action ID: # <u>3.1.1</u>		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>3</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>3</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>3</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>3</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>2</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>2</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>3</u>	

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>10</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 40

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kennie Brewer 870-754-4196

Disregard Not Applicable

Action Title: # 3-1-2 Emergency Response Coordination		Jurisdiction: Evanton
Action ID: # 3-1-2		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 0

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Konnie Brown 870-754-4196

Disregard Already included in other Areas

Action Title: # 3.1.3 <i>Review & Upgrade Equipment</i>		Jurisdiction: Euston	
Action ID:			
STAPLEE Score			
S: Is it Socially acceptable?			
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?			
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	X		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?			
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?			
E: Is it Economically beneficial?			
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)			
Will historic structures be saved or protected?			
Could it be implemented quickly?			
STAPLEE Score			

Mitigation Effectiveness Score	REPAIRABILITY GRADE	Points
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 0

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Konnie Brown 870-754-4196

Action Title: <u>Review Annually</u> # 3.1.4 <u>Operation of Hazard Mitigation Plans</u>		Jurisdiction: <u>Everton</u>
Action ID: # <u>3.1.4</u>		
STAPLEE Score		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<u>3</u>	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<u>3</u>	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<u>3</u>	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<u>2</u>	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<u>2</u>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<u>6</u>	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<u>2</u>	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<u>2</u>	
Could it be implemented quickly?	<u>2</u>	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<u>10</u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	<u>5</u>
Total Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 37

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Kennie Brewer 870-754-4196

Disregard not a problem

Action Title: <i># 3.1.5 Emergency routes & Evacuation problems</i>	Jurisdiction: <i>Everton</i>
Action ID: <i># 3.1.5</i>	

STAPLEE Score		
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>X</i>	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Score		Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		Score

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): *0*

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): *Kennie Brown*

Action Title: <i>NOAA Weather radios</i>	Jurisdiction: <i>CITY of Greenfield</i>
Action ID: <i>1.2</i>	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>2</i>
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>3</i>
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>2</i>
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>2</i>
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>NO</i>	<i>1</i>
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>2</i>
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>Yes</i>	<i>3</i>
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>NO</i>	<i>1</i>
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>2</i>
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>9</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	<i>6</i>

disaster damages?	disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 33

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Tim Larkin Chief of Police

Action Title: <i>Alert Systems</i>	Jurisdiction: <i>CITY OF Greenfield</i>
Action ID: <i>1.4</i>	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>2</i>
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	<i>Maybe</i>	<i>2</i>
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	<i>Probably</i>	<i>1</i>
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>3</i>
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	<i>Probably</i>	<i>1</i>
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	<i>Yes</i>	<i>3</i>
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	<i>Yes</i>	<i>3</i>
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	<i>Maybe</i>	<i>2</i>
Could it be implemented quickly?	<i>NO</i>	<i>0</i>
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	<i>10</i>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	<i>7</i>

disaster damages?	disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 34

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Tim Larkin Chief of Police

Action Title: Public Awareness	Jurisdiction: City of Greenfield
--	--

Action ID: 1-5

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	Yes	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	Yes	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	Maybe	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	Yes	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	Maybe	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	Yes	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	Maybe	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	Maybe	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	Maybe	1
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	7

disaster damages?	disaster damages.	
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 34

Priority Level: High (30+ points) Medium (25-29 points) Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Tim Lackm Chief of Police

Action Title: Information Website	Jurisdiction: City of Greenfield
Action ID: 1-6	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating: Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	Yes	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	Yes	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	Yes	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	Yes	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	NO	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	Yes	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	Yes	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	NO	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	Yes	3
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of	7