

Email 31.5.19 to Justine Rosser

Dear Ms Rosser,

I am confused by your letter. What Sam Allen said at the end of the meeting was that ***she*** would respond to my questions by the end of May. The questions, were at the latter part of what I read out during the meeting. I attach a copy of the whole document for your information, the questions numbered 1-32 are on P6-12 inclusive.

As you will recall, those questions incorporated questions on SPFTs awareness/ignorance of legal requirements on the Trust.

In your letter you state: *"Additionally, I am sure you understand that we would not be able to change the diagnosis on file at SPFT without a full clinical assessment."*

I don't understand this, because I ***had*** a full clinical assessment in 2013 which resulted in a valid and legal diagnosis of Asperger's syndrome. SPFT has had a copy of the diagnostic report on file since February 2013. and was sent multiple copies by fax and email of which I have documentary proof. I offered another copy of this report for you to review at the meeting with you and Sam Allen. These are the fully differential tests conducted in my clinical assessment, which Sam Allen is already aware of and they are of course detailed in my clinical report on SPFTs files:

1. **MMPI-2 Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory** *"The Minnesota Multi-phasic Personality Inventory is a standardised psychometric test of adult personality and psychopathology. Psychologists and other mental health professionals use various versions of the MMPI to help develop treatment plans; assist with differential diagnosis"*
2. **AQ50 Adult Autism Quotient**
3. **EQ Adult Empathy Quotient** *"designed to measure empathy in adults"*
4. **RQ Relatives Questionnaire (CAST)**
5. **(PQ) Asperger Syndrome Lifespan Patients' Questionnaire**
6. **ASEBA Adult Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment** *"a collection of questionnaires used to assess adaptive and maladaptive behaviour and overall functioning in individuals."*
7. **ASEBA Friendship Questionnaire**
8. **IPDE International Personality Disorder Examination**

Regarding the fact that it is a private assessment, I remind you of what I read you from P8 of the attached document:

1. Do you realise that the NHS rules **do not allow** SPFT to ignore a private diagnosis? So SPFT is breaching NHS rules: <http://www.nhs.uk/chq/Pages/2572.aspx?CategoryID=96&SubCategoryID=226>

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh_096576.pdf

"Private consultations

If you've had a private consultation for tests and diagnosis, you can still have treatment on the NHS. Your position on the NHS waiting list should be the same as if your original consultation was on the NHS."

2. **Nowhere** in the laws covering autism does it state that diagnoses have to be NHS ones, (*all clinicians are trained the same way for their type*) and are you aware therefore, in failing to recognise my diagnosis and offer me an autism care pathway SPFT is breaching all of the following laws: **Autism Act 2009** <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/15/contents>, **Autism Strategy 2010** https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130104203954tf_/http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_113369, "**Think Autism**" (*Government update to the Autism Strategy*): <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/think-autism-an-update-to-the-government-adult-autism-strategy>, **Statutory guidance** arising from the Autism Strategy: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/adult-autism-strategy-statutory-guidance>, **Equality Act 2010, Human Rights Act 1998** duties?

You also say: *"In order to advise me how best to respond, the Medical Director has completed a full review of your past clinical care and previous documented diagnosis and has confirmed that due to your on-going concerns and the differing opinions of SPFT and private assessments, a second opinion would be the most appropriate way forward. I am therefore in the process of agreeing a suitable consultant to carry out a second opinion assessment."*

Please can you tell me if your medical director is qualified in ASD and if s/he has been made aware of the substandard nature of both SPFT assessments conducted in 2009?

I refer you again to points 1. and 3. on P1 and questions 4. and 5. on P7 of the attached document, along with previous email communications with Sam Allen.

Has your medical director also compared the facts of the SPFT assessments with my private one (*e.g. the 8 differential clinical tests conducted as listed above and documented in my diagnostic report*)?

This isn't about ongoing concerns and differing opinions - the facts are the facts.

Then there is the fact that I requested a reassessment of ASD in 2012 and it was refused, I had to go through raising a formal complaint at which point it was offered, SPFT then reneged on this and **lied** to me and PHSO about it saying you hadn't (*see the attached document*). I have all the email proof that it was being arranged and covered up and lied about. So now you are offering a reassessment seven years later, after I have been put through hell and have already provided you an irrefutable clinical report of my diagnosis. Why? Do you think it's ethical to do this when you have cast iron proof that your previous assessments were wholly substandard and I have a valid and comprehensive assessment resulting in a diagnosis - simply because it wasn't conducted by yourselves? Or when I have medical evidence that is irrefutable? The chartered clinical psychologist who diagnosed me - as you can see he is ex-NHS (*in a neighbouring Trust*) and very experienced:

(VARIOUS SCREENSHOTS WERE INCLUDED IN EVIDENCE HERE)

He has multiple papers in Google scholar and he took a gold standard clinical training course updating his training that I can provide you details of, in how to assess and diagnose adults with ASD.

Finally, I am flabbergasted that you have dismissed my **medical** report (*I will email you another copy by return on request, but this was shown to you and sections read out to you at the meeting*) of a quantitative EEG which proves that I am autistic. Please see P32 on P12 with snapshots of relevant quotes from the report in the attached document.

I look forward to hearing back from you asap in response to my points and questions.