Improvement of Aspirin-Intolerant Asthma by Montelukast, a Leukotriene Antagonist
A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial
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Leukotriene antagonists block the proinflammatory actions of leukotrienes (LT) and have been introduced as new treatments for asthma. Conventional therapy with glucocorticosteroids does not inhibit the biosynthesis of leukotrienes. We therefore tested whether addition of the leukotriene receptor antagonist montelukast was of therapeutic benefit in a group of aspirin-intolerant patients with asthma of whom 90% already were treated with moderate to high doses of glucocorticosteroids. Under double-blind conditions, 80 aspirin-intolerant patients with asthma were randomized to receive 4 wk oral treatment of either 10 mg of montelukast or placebo once daily at bedtime. Pulmonary function was measured as forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) once a week in the clinic and daily as morning and evening peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR). Asthma symptoms and use of rescue bronchodilator were also recorded daily. Asthma specific quality of life (QoL) was assessed before and after the treatments. The group receiving montelukast showed a remarkable improvement of their asthma, whereas the group given placebo showed no change. Thus, from equal baseline values, the mean difference between the groups over the 4-wk treatment period was 10.2% for FEV₁ and 28.0 L for morning PEFR (p for both < 0.001). The improved pulmonary function in the group receiving montelukast occurred at the same time as 27% less bronchodilator was used (p < 0.05), and it was associated with fewer asthma symptoms than in the group given placebo, including 1.3 nights more of sleep per week and 54% fewer asthma exacerbations (p < 0.05). There was also an improvement in asthma-specific QoL (p < 0.05). The therapeutic response to montelukast was consistent across patients with different baseline characteristics and did not correlate with baseline urinary LTE₄.

Addition of a leukotriene receptor antagonist such as montelukast improves asthma in aspirin-intolerant patients over and above what can be achieved by glucocorticosteroids.
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Following the discovery of leukotrienes (LT) as a group of potent arachidonic acid-derived inflammatory mediators (1), drugs that block the action or formation of leukotrienes have been developed. Such antileukotriene drugs are currently introduced worldwide as a new choice in the treatment of asthma (2, 3). However, the place of antileukotriene drugs in the treatment of asthma remains to be defined more precisely (2, 3). The current mainstay of asthma treatment consists of inhaled glucocorticosteroids. The goal is to control asthma, that is, reduce symptoms and asthma exacerbations and improve quality of life and lung function (4). However, patients with moderate to severe asthma are not always completely controlled even by high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids (5). In fact, recent studies have suggested that combinations of antiasthmatic drugs with different pharmacological effects may confer better asthma control than glucocorticosteroids alone (6–9).

This study evaluated the new alternative of adding a selective leukotriene receptor antagonist to a group of patients with aspirin-intolerant asthma and moderate to severe asthma requiring conventional controller therapy including glucocorticosteroids. Patients with aspirin-intolerant asthma have nonallergic intolerance to aspirin and related nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), chronic nasal afflictions, especially recurrent rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis, and asthma. Their asthma is often inadequately controlled by conventional therapy (10, 11). The strategy to add an antileukotriene to the baseline therapy gains theoretical support from the findings that glucocorticosteroids do not inhibit leukotriene formation in vivo (12–15). The drug selected for the study, montelukast (16), is a competitive antagonist of the CysLT₁ receptor (17), which mediates the bronchoconstrictive and proinflammatory effects of cysteinyl-leukotrienes (LTC₄, LTD₄, and LTE₄). Montelukast has demonstrated efficacy in aspirin-tolerant asthma (19, 20), and a relatively long pharmacodynamic effect allows for once daily oral administration (16).

METHODS

The study was conducted at eight centers in Europe and two in the United States. Approvals were obtained from the respective ethics committees and regulatory agencies, and the patients gave informed consent. Patients had the diagnosis of aspirin-intolerant asthma with characteristic symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitis, asthma, and aspirin intolerance. The intolerance was diagnosed by history and positive oral (36%), nasal (8%) challenges with aspirin. The patients used short-acting β-agonists as needed. Baseline treatment...
included glucocorticosteroids and theophylline (Table 1), but the doses had not been changed during the last 3 mo and the last week before the prestudy visit, respectively. None of the patients used long-acting antihistamines, long-acting β₂-agonists, or inhaled antimuscarinics either during the study or in the 2 wk before entering the study. For inclusion in the study, the patients were required during a 2-wk single-blind run-in period to demonstrate (1) at least 12% improvement in FEV₁, following inhalation of β-agonists; (2) mild daytime asthma symptoms (20% of the maximum score [at least 64 of 336 points] according to a previously validated symptom scoring system [21]); and (3) a daily average use of at least one puff of β-agonist. Those who met these three criteria were randomized according to a computer-generated allocation scheme to receive montelukast 10 mg or placebo, orally once daily at bedtime. There were no significant differences between the baseline characteristics of the two groups (Table 1).

During 4 wk of double-blind treatment, morning and evening (before intake of study drug) peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR; Mini-Wright), day (0–6 for four variables) and night (0–4) symptoms, and use of β-agonist were recorded daily on diary cards (21). The patients were seen by study nurses and physicians once a week. Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) was determined between 6 A.M. and 9 A.M. using a standardized spirometer (Puritan-Bennett PB 100/110; Nellcor, Kansas City, KS) and results were transmitted electronically to a central database. The Asthma Specific Quality-of-Life Questionnaire (22) was completed at the baseline randomization visit and at the last study visit. Blood was collected for routine chemistry and hematology before the run-in period, at the time of randomization and at the last study visit. Duplicate samples of urine were collected at the randomization and at the last study visit, and levels of LTE₄ were determined as described (23).

The primary efficacy analysis used the intention-to-treat approach including all randomized patients with measurements at baseline and at least once during the double-blind period. The baseline values were the average of all recordings during the 2-wk run-in period. The average treatment response during the double-blind period was assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) in a model that included factors for treatment and study center. Quantitative interactions between the study endpoints and demographic subgroups and concomitant asthma therapy, and the difference between montelukast and placebo groups were assessed using ANOVA. The results were expressed as least square mean with 95% CI unless otherwise stated. All statistical tests were between groups and two tailed, and a p value of less than or equal to 0.05 was considered to indicate significant differences. The study was designed with a 90% power to detect a mean between group difference in FEV₁ of 12 percentage points in percent change from baseline. Effects on nocturnal awakenings were assessed in the group of patients demonstrating at least two nights of awakenings per week during the run-in period. Asthma exacerbations and days with asthma control were defined by a previously described and validated algorithm (19). All patients were included in both efficacy and safety analysis.

RESULTS

Montelukast significantly improved FEV₁ as well as morning and evening PEFR (p < 0.001) (Figure 1). The mean difference in FEV₁ between treatments during the 4-wk period was 10.2% (4.9, 15.5). Likewise, the mean difference between treatments for morning and evening PEFR was 28.0 L/min (14.4, 41.6) and 23.1 L/min (9.5, 36.7), respectively. The effect of montelukast on pulmonary function was manifested within 1 d of treatment as shown by the morning PEFR recordings (Figure 1).

The distribution of the improvement in pulmonary function among the patients is displayed for FEV₁ in Figure 2. In the group receiving montelukast, 52.5% of patients improved their FEV₁ by 5% or more, as compared with 27.5% of subjects in the group on placebo (Figure 2). In fact, 12.5% of the patients in the montelukast group improved by 25% or more, whereas none in the placebo group displayed this magnitude of response. Moreover, in the montelukast group only 7.5% of the subjects showed a decrease in FEV₁ by more than 5% as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. THE BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montelukast (40 Patients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (median; range), yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of asthma (median; range), yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history (median; range), pack-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (mean ± SD), L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ percent predicted (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning PEFR (mean ± SD), L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-agonist use (mean ± SD), puffs/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood eosinophils (mean ± SD), per µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary LTE₄ (mean ± SD), pg/mg creatinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticosteroid user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled only (range 400–2,000 µg/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral only (range 4 and 16 mg/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled and oral (range 400–1,600 µg/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and 2–20 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theophylline user (range 150–800 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specifications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One patient in the placebo group did not complete the study because of severe headaches.

Definition of abbreviations: LTE₄ = leukotriene E₄; PEFR = peak expiratory flow rate.
compared with 35% in the group given placebo (Figure 2). Closely similar data were obtained for the distribution of other measures of pulmonary function and other end points (not shown).

Daytime asthma symptoms decreased from baseline by 12.7% in the group treated with montelukast as compared with 1.3% in the placebo group (p = 0.069 for the difference between the groups) (Figure 3). In the montelukast group, rescue use of inhaled β-agonist decreased by 27.7% from baseline, whereas it decreased by 1.6% in the placebo group (p < 0.05 for the difference between the groups) (Figure 3). From a baseline of 5.1 (2.1, 7.0) awak- enings per week in the subgroup (n = 39) displaying nocturnal symptoms during the run-in pe- riod, the montelukast-treated group had a reduction of awakenedings by 35% in comparison with 5.6% for the placebo group (Figure 3). The difference between the groups was 1.29 additional night (−2.61, 0.03) of sleep after montelukast (p = 0.055) (Figure 3).

The montelukast group had 8.3% days of asthma exacerbations (mean change from baseline) as compared with 21.7% for placebo (Figure 4). The difference in incidence was 13.5% (−22.0, −5.1; p < 0.05). Likewise, the montelukast group had 53.6% days of asthma control (mean change from baseline), whereas the placebo group had 36.3% (p = 0.057 for the difference) (Figure 4).

There was a significant improvement of pooled asthma-specific QoL after 4 wk of treatment with montelukast compared with placebo, and the most responsive domain was emotions (Figure 5). The mean change from baseline for the average of the four QoL domains during the treatment periods was 0.08 (−0.25, 0.41) for placebo and 0.45 (0.15, 0.75) for montelukast (p < 0.05).

The treatment effect was consistent across subgroups de- fined according to age, sex, history of allergic rhinitis or exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, as well as in relation to baseline treatments with theophylline and glucocorticosteroids (not shown). There were, however, no significant interactions between treatment effects and different baseline variables such as FEV₁, daytime symptom score, or β-agonist use. Both groups of patients excreted high levels of LTE₄ in the urine (Table 1), but there were no correlations in the montelukast group between baseline urinary LTE₄ and treatment effect as assessed by change in FEV₁ (Figure 6), daytime symptom score (not shown), or β-agonist use (not shown). Neither did urinary LTE₄ levels change significantly during the course of either treatment. The mean changes were 112 pg/mg creatinine and −89 pg/mg creatinine for the montelukast and placebo groups, respectively (p = 0.167 compared with placebo).

The peripheral blood eosinophil counts decreased by 50 cells/μl (−170, 80) compared with an increase of 80 cells/μl (−60, 220) in the placebo group. This difference, however, was not significant (p < 0.1).

All patients completed the study except for one in the placebo group who discontinued due to severe headaches. There were no significant adverse events during the study. There was no difference between treatment groups with respect to occasional alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotrans- ferase (AST) values above the upper limit of normal (placebo seven cases, montelukast five cases).

**DISCUSSION**

This study in a group of 80 aspirin-intolerant patients with asthma demonstrated that addition of the leukotriene recep-
tor antagonist montelukast improved pulmonary function and control of asthma over and above what was achieved by treatment with conventional antiasthmatic controller therapy. The baseline treatment included inhaled, inhaled and oral, or only oral glucocorticosteroids in 90 and 85% of the patients receiving montelukast and placebo, respectively. In addition, 40–45% of the subjects used oral theophylline twice daily. The patients were considered to be clinically stable on optimal doses of glucocorticosteroids, and this view is supported by the absence of significant changes in the group given placebo.

Nevertheless, there were remarkable improvements in the different outcome variables in the group that received montelukast. Pulmonary function increased by about 10%, with similar effects on daily PEFR and weekly FEV₁, while 27% less rescue medication (β-agonist) was used in the montelukast group. There was a striking 54% decrease in the incidence of asthma exacerbations in the montelukast group. When judged from the diary cards, improvement was observed within 1 d of commencing montelukast treatment and maintained throughout the 4-wk treatment period. In fact, there was a nonsignificant trend for greater improvements in pulmonary function at the end of the 4-wk observation period as compared with the first 2 wk (Figure 1). The patients also reported fewer asthma symptoms and increased asthma-specific quality of life scores on montelukast. Furthermore, subjects with nocturnal asthma given montelukast slept more than one night extra per week (1.32) compared with the placebo group. As in other trials with antileukotrienes (19), it was not possible to identify predictors of the therapeutic response from the patients’ baseline characteristics, including the level of urinary LTE₄ (Figure 6).

On the whole, as shown for pulmonary function in Figure 2, there was a marked shift in the response pattern among the subjects given montelukast, with less than 10% showing deterioration during the active treatment and more than 50% of the patients improving their FEV₁ by more than 5% (and 22.5% improving by more than 15%). In the placebo group, there was a normal distribution of responses with about one-third of patients showing deterioration (more than 5% decrease in FEV₁), one-third showing no change (change between +5% and –5%), and one-third showing improvement (more than 5% increase in FEV₁). Furthermore, if there were well-defined subgroups of responders or nonresponders, the treated group would be expected to show a bimodal curve for distribution of responses. The homogeneous shift in the distribution of responses after montelukast provides no clear indication that such subgroups, at least during a 4-wk trial. That assumption would also seem to be supported by the failure to identify predictors of the therapeutic response, including baseline urinary LTE₄. Closely similar results for the distribution of responses have been obtained in other trials with montelukast (19, 24) as well as for other asthma medications such as beclomethasone (24).

Previous studies with montelukast and other antileukotrienes have shown decreased levels of circulating eosinophils over the first 6–8 wk of treatment (19, 25). It is of interest that there was already a trend for a reduction of eosinophils after 4 wk of treatment in this trial, despite relatively low levels of eosinophils at baseline. It should be acknowledged that with 80 patients, the study was not powered to analyze the effect of montelukast on eosinophil numbers.

The pronounced effects of montelukast in our study may relate to the aspirin intolerance as such. The therapeutic response appears to be greater than that observed when beclomethasone...
and montelukast were combined in a group of aspirin-tolerant patients with asthma with baseline characteristics being closely similar to those of the patients in this study (26). Patients with aspirin-intolerant asthma are indeed known to have increased production of cysteiny1-leukotrienes (27, 28), and this may be due to upregulation of the LTC4 synthase (29) and genetic predisposition (30). Aspirin-intolerant patients with asthma have also been reported to be more sensitive to inhalation of leukotrienes than other patients with asthma (31). The latter finding may contribute to explaining why we were unable to find a direct relation between production of leukotrienes, measured as urinary LTE4, and the therapeutic response. Future assessments presumably need to take into account leukotriene responsiveness as well, estimated, for example, as sensitivity to inhaled LTD4.

Recent trials, however, suggest additive effects between anti-leukotrienes and glucocorticosteroids also in aspirin-tolerant patients with asthma (26, 32, 33). Before it can be concluded that leukotrienes are particularly important mediators in patients with aspirin-intolerant asthma, the effects of antileukotrienes in aspirin-intolerant and aspirin-tolerant patients with asthma must be compared using the same study design in patients matched for disease severity and baseline treatment.

Some of us previously reported that addition of the leukotriene biosynthesis inhibitor zileuton improved asthma in aspirin-intolerant patients with asthma (34). The effects of the selective CysLT1 antagonist montelukast on pulmonary function in the reported study appears to be larger than those observed with zileuton. However, these are comparisons between studies and the groups had dissimilar baseline pulmonary function and possibly variations in reserve for improvement. Nevertheless, because montelukast selectively antagonizes the cysteinyl-leukotrienes, whereas zileuton also inhibits the formation of leukotriene B4, the findings together lend support to the notion that the cysteinyl-leukotrienes are the primary mediators of airway obstruction in asthma (3).

In conclusion, the study shows that a group of relatively severe patients with asthma maintained on moderate to high doses of glucocorticosteroids may improve further by the addition of a leukotriene receptor antagonist. Mechanistically, the therapeutic response to montelukast as well as the main-tained high urinary excretion of LTE4 provide further evidence that glucocorticosteroids do not inhibit the synthesis of leukotrienes. Although different study designs preclude direct comparisons, it is of interest that the improvements in pulmonary function in the present study were at least as great as those observed when other antiasthmatic agents have been added to glucocorticosteroids (6–9). Our findings reinforce the concept that a combination of drugs with different modes of action may produce better control of asthma than treatment with glucocorticosteroids alone. Better medical control of moderate to severe asthma is likely to have economical consequences for society as the patients with more severe asthma account for a disproportionately greater share of health costs due to hospitalization or absence from work or school (35).
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Figure 6. Scatterplot of baseline urinary LTE4 versus percent change in FEV1 during the treatment.


