

Marcus & Millichap

Corporate Real Estate Services

1993 CORPORATE REAL ESTATE SURVEY

bу

Louis H. Masotti, Ph.D.

Director, Program in Real Estate Management
Graduate School of Management
University of California, Irvine

In cooperation with

Marcus & Millichap
Corporate Real Estate Services

June 1993

The efforts of the following individuals, whose contributions of time and energy were instrumental in the vision, development and final production of this research project, are gratefully acknowledged:

Marcus & Millichap Corporate Real Estate Services

Thomas B. Gibson, Vice President & Regional Manager Douglas B. Pichard, Senior Associate Darin L. Buchalter, Associate, Corporate Accounts

Graduate School of Management University of California, Irvine

Richard Rodner, Director of Development

♦ TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pa	ge no.
List of Tables	3
Preface	4
Governmental and Socioeconomic Aspects of the	
Orange County Business Climate	5
Corporate Real Estate Planning	8
Corporate Real Estate Decision-Making	15
Summary and Conclusions	16
Appendices	
A. Survey Questionnaire	19
B. Industrial and Firm Size Categories	24
C. Profile of Marcus & Millichap Corporate Real Estate Services	25
 D. Profile of the Program in Real Estate Management, 	
Graduate School of Management, UCI	26

♦ LIST OF TABLES

Page no.

Table 1a	Short- and Long-Term Business Outlooks by Size of Firm	5
Table 1b	Short- and Long-Term Business Outlooks by Industry	6
Table 2	Presence of a Company Strategic Facilities Plan	8
Table 3	Presence of Mandatory Lease Requirements	9
Table 4	Presence of a Catastrophic Contingency Plan	11
Table 5	Percentage of Firms Evaluating Annual Occupancy Costs	14

There has been a considerable amount of interest, discussion and analysis over the past few years concerning the dynamic relationship among the vicissitudes of a shifting economy, issues of corporate restructuring, and changes in the nature of work and in the design of the workplace. This dynamic has, in turn, been interpreted by firms and corporations and translated into decisions about the appropriate location, amount and configuration of workspace necessary to remain competitive in the emerging business world of the Nineties.

Recently, 219 Orange County companies completed a survey designed to help us understand how these issues are being addressed in this market. The 1993 Corporate Real Estate Survey¹ endeavors to ascertain how Orange County companies

- · perceive their short and mid-term fortunes,
- plan for real estate assets and facilities, and
- decide about real estate issues.

In the analysis of survey findings, respondent firms were categorized by industry and by size.² We determined that this might yield more precision in our analysis and give more meaning to our findings. Thus, not only can we draw an overall Orange County corporate real estate picture, but we can also evaluate similarities and differences by industry group and by firm size.

¹See Appendix A for the questionnaire used.

²See Appendix B.

♦ GOVERNMENTAL AND SOCIOECONOMIC ASPECTS OF THE ORANGE COUNTY BUSINESS CLIMATE

Whether the outlook is short-term (18-24 months) or long-term (5 years), Orange County companies are optimistic about the future. However, only 66% feel positive in the short run, while an impressive 91% see light at the end of the tunnel five years from now.

Smaller firms are more optimistic in both the short-run (72%) and over the longer term (94%) than large firms, 64% and 83%, respectively.

Medical, Health Care and Computing/Electronics companies are more positive in the short-term while Law and Accounting firms join Medical companies among the industries with very high long-term positive perspectives. Even Manufacturing, with the lowest positive long-term perspective, scores a 79% with only 9% negative.

Table 1a
SHORT- AND LONG-TERM BUSINESS OUTLOOKS BY SIZE OF FIRM

		Outlook					
By Firm Size		% POSITIVE over		% NO CHANGE over		% NEGATIVE over	
	Focus	Short-term	Long-term	Short-term	Long-term	Short-term	Long-term
Small							
	Firm	72	94	18	1 1	10	5
	Industry	51	83	31	7	18	10
Medium							
ļ	Firm	62	90	28	6	10	4
	industry	46	77	30	10	24	13
Large							_
	Firm	64	83	24	12	12	5
	Industry	54	70	34	15	12	15
TOTAL	TOTALS			_			
	Firm	66	91	24	5	10	4
	Industry	49	78	31	10	20	12