

2017 Budget Landscape

Clients & Friends Conference Call
January 23, 2017
Columbus, Ohio

Thomas M. Zaino, JD, CPA
tzaino@zhftaxlaw.com
(614) 326-1120

ZAINO HALL & FARRIN LLC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Z_HF CONSULTING

Agenda

- Background on Budget Process
- Major Budget Challenges
 - Recession?
 - Medicaid
 - Other Policy Efforts
- What to Expect
- Open Discussion

Background on Budget Process

Background

- Every two years, Ohio establishes its GRF budget for the next two fiscal years.
 - July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019.
- Initial proposal from Governor
 - To be introduced last week of January or first week of February.
 - Actual legislation will follow sometime in February.
- Governor Kasich's Last Biennial Budget
 - Opportunity to finalize his legacy and policy agenda.

Background

- Governor's Last Two Budgets Proposals
 - Significant personal income tax cuts
 - Broadening of sales tax base
 - Personal & professional services
 - Royalties
 - Intercompany transactions
 - CAT rate increase
- Last Budget: Obtained significant personal income tax cuts
 - 6.3% across the board cut
 - Reduced top rate on PTE income to 3% (a 43% decrease)
 - Increased Business Income Deduction over 2 years
 - No CAT or sales tax increases

Background

- Last fall, Tax Commissioner Joe Testa reached out to Ohio business associations to identify and discuss problems with Ohio's current tax system.
 - Participants focused on issues with the current sales tax on services and the municipal income tax system.
 - Gave no feedback on potential budget proposals.
- Most groups believe Governor Kasich's last budget will continue his effort to reduce the personal income tax.
 - Increase of severance tax.
 - Broadening of sales tax base to more services.
 - Changes to CAT structure or a CAT rate increase.

Background

- While Governor Kasich may seek additional reductions in the personal income tax, the state faces some important budget challenges even without the potential tax reductions.
 - Possible recession
 - New Medicaid funding mechanism required - \$1.1 Billion
 - Other policy priorities

Major Budget Challenges

Recession?

- Definition: *Economic slowdown generally identified by a fall in GDP in two successive quarters.*
- Historically, Ohio enters into a recessionary period every 8 – 12 years.
 - 8 year mark
- Last month, Governor Kasich spoke with House and Senate members and publicly stated:

“We are on the verge of a recession in our state.”

ZHF

Impacts

- Lame Duck: The General Assembly and Administration put most legislation that could have had a significant revenue impact on hold.
- Current Budget: Built-in cushion is expected to cover any revenue shortages.
- Upcoming Budget: Downward trend will push future revenue estimates lower.
 - Forecasts for the coming budget will be reduced.
 - Spending groups will likely have reduced budgets.

Why a Recession?

- Not clear why the Administration believes Ohio is on the verge of a recession.
 - Some leading indicators exist, but often contradicted by similar positive data.
 - Historical experience
 - Prudence dictates being careful

Recession Indicators

- Actual YTD revenues are coming in weaker than expected.
 - *Revenues* are 3.5% below budgeted/estimated revenues YTD.
 - \$611 million less than expected (but, see the detail)
 - *Other states* are experiencing weak personal income tax withholding growth.
 - Ohio's withholding is down \$144.1 million (3.4%) compared to estimate.
 - OBM's view: Falling wage income explains shortfall.
 - *Ohio's economy* slowed in late summer and early fall.
 - But showed improvement in November.

Recession Indicators

- Nationally, *industrial production* has decreased 0.4% in November. In Ohio:
 - Primary metals: +2.3%
 - Fabricated metals: -0.7%
 - Machinery: -1.5%
 - Motor vehicles: -2.3%
- *Personal income* has stalled and personal consumption slowed in November.
 - But up +3.5% compared to last year.
 - Ohio's personal income grew faster than national average during the 2nd and 3rd quarters.

Anti-Recession Indicators

- Third quarter GDP was revised upward to 3.5% after a 2% growth during the previous 3 quarters.
- Ohio non-farm employment increased by 9,100 jobs in November.
 - After 3 months of decline
- Ohio's 4.9% unemployment rate unchanged in November.
 - 5th straight month below 5%
- Construction put-in-place up 4.1% year to year.
- Housing starts down in November: (2.1%)
 - Year to Year Nationally: +1.4%
 - Year to Year Midwest: +9.5%

True or False

- GRF revenues are down \$611 million compared to budget - TRUE.
 - But – the details matter (**Also TRUE**).
- Tax revenue is down \$296.6 million compared to BUDGET
 - Lower non-tax receipts = \$324.6 million
 - Reduced Federal grants (i.e., Medicaid): (**\$336.8 million**)
 - Year-to-Year tax revenues are down \$138.2 million, not \$296.6 million

Tax Type	Variance from Prior Year
Personal Income	(\$214.6 million)
Sales & Use	\$97.2 million
CAT	\$6.0 million
Cigarette & Tobacco	(\$29.2 million)
KwH	\$11.1 million
Other	(\$8.7 million)

Source: OBM Monthly Financial Report through December 31, 2016.

Actual & Budget

Difference between Actual to Actual v. Actual to Budget

Tax Type	Variance from Prior Year YTD	Variance from Budgeted YTD
Personal Income	(\$214.6 million)	(182.9 million)
Sales & Use	\$97.2 million	(104.9 million)
CAT	\$6.0 million	(\$21.0 million)
Cigarette & Tobacco	(\$29.2 million)	(\$3.9 million)
KwH	\$11.1 million	\$19.9 million
Other	(\$8.7 million)	\$3.8 million
Total	(\$138.2 million)	(\$296.6 million)

The Budget & Recession Indicators

- How actual tax revenues compare to budgeted revenues is a weak indicator of economic turmoil.
 - Must assume that the revenue estimates were not too optimistic.
 - Tax cuts add uncertainty until fully phased in.
- How actual tax revenues compare to last year's actual revenues is a stronger indicator of economic performance.
 - While PIT is down 5.1% compared to last year, ZHF believes the impact of the 2015 tax cuts were still being felt because the BID was phased-in and the impact of the 3% cap on PTE income was not fully understood.
 - Extended 2015 returns were due on October 15, 2016.
 - Business owners have likely adjusted their withholding to reflect the 3% top rate.

Bad Omen

- OBM has stated that *“consumers are continuing to spend on autos but not so much on non-automotive goods.”*
- OBM Hypothesis: *“. . . consumers may have shifted a significant portion of their spending to services in satisfying a post-recession pent-up demand for such services.”*

Spending Compared to Budget

- Actual YTD spending is \$412 million (2.2%) below budgeted spending.
 - Does not include transfers out (e.g., BSF)
 - 98% of this under-spending is attributable to lower than expected Medicaid spending
 - \$404 million below expectations (YTD)
- Compared to FY2016, YTD spending is flat
 - \$11 million under last year (0.1%)

Budget Stabilization Fund (BSF)

- BSF (the “Rainy Day Fund”) is used as a “bank account” or cushion against future revenue or spending challenges.
 - By law, can be up to 8.5% of the preceding year’s GRF revenues.
 - Had a balance of \$0.89 at the beginning of Governor Kasich’s administration in 2011.
- Balance in the BSF is \$2.034 billion
 - Equals 6% of FY2016 revenues.

Source: Office of Budget & Management – July 27, 2016 Release,
<http://obm.ohio.gov/Budget/stabilizationfund/>

Medicaid Funding Mechanism

Current Medicaid Funding

- In 2008, Ohio adopted a new tax structure to fund the state's share of Medicaid.
 - \$1 Ohio = \$2 Federal
- Generally, Ohio imposed a sales and use tax on health care services when paid for or otherwise made available by a Medicaid health insuring corporation.
 - MCOs did not mind paying this tax because they could charge it back to the government in its premium.
 - This tax was an unexpected bonus to county governments, especially those in poorer areas.
- This structure was expected to be permitted for only a couple of years.

Current Medicaid Funding

- Ohio was notified by CMS that it must desist from imposing this sales tax.
 - Deadline considered to be June 30, 2017.
 - A couple other states also received this notice.
- GRF impact is a **\$550 million** reduction in GRF per year.
 - Plus, local impact of **\$200 million** per year reduction
 - Concentrated in some poorer counties.
 - This estimate is a projection of future revenue, not revenue received in the past by the counties.
- Used by the Administration and General Assembly to stave off potential other reductions of revenue or spending increases this past year.

Options to Address Medicaid Funding

- Spend less on Medicaid.
 - Will spend far less than budgeted in FY2017.
 - Unknown impact: ACA repeal.
- Create a broad-based tax to raise the additional revenue.
 - Would impact all taxpayers.
 - May not address local government funding.
- Create a new tax targeted at the industry.
 - Risks CMS disapproval if not structured correctly.
 - Likely will not address local government funding.
- Combination of the above.

Other Policy Efforts

Opioid Crisis

- Ohio and other states are experiencing an unprecedented opioid crisis.
 - Impacting all areas of Ohio and all economic classes.
 - Impacting employers' ability to hire and grow their businesses.
 - Impacting local government budgets
 - Naloxone
- Push for multi-pronged approach
 - Regional treatment centers
 - Increased interdiction
 - Education

Unemployment Tax Reform

- Policy makers were committed to completing reform of Ohio's unemployment system last year.
 - Not completed.
- This will be a priority this session by the policy makers.
 - Likely to increase unemployment tax on businesses, at least for the near future.

Energy Mandates

- Policy makers were committed to addressing the expiration of a freeze on energy mandates by end of 2016.
 - General Assembly passed a solution, but it was vetoed by Gov. Kasich.
 - Would have turned mandates into “goals.”
- Energy efficiency and renewable energy mandates are now back in place at their original levels.
- Legislators have a willingness to reform mandates due to the increased cost on doing business in Ohio.
 - Special focus is on the energy efficiency mandates.

What to Expect

Look Out For . . .

- Additional personal income tax cuts.
- Tax increases to pay for such PIT cuts.
 - Severance tax increase
 - Broader sales and use tax base
 - Higher CAT rate or bifurcated structure
- Possible mix of good and bad.

ZHF Client Coalitions

- Past budget proposals included significant tax increases on businesses and individuals in exchange for personal income tax cuts.
- ZHF formed client coalitions to successfully support good tax policy, including no new taxes on business and job creation.
- Many benefits from participating in a client coalition.

Next Steps

- Too early to determine whether the Governor's budget proposal will include tax increases.
 - Watch for the budget proposals within the next two or three weeks.
- ZHF will analyze the proposal and communicate the changes to our clients and friends.
- ZHF will contact you if the budget proposal illustrates a need and benefit from another client coalition.

OPEN DISCUSSION

Sources

1. Office of Budget & Management Monthly Financial Report, dated January 10, 2017.
2. Office of Budget & Management – July 27, 2016 Release,
<http://obm.ohio.gov/Budget/stabilizationfund/>
3. Gov. Kasich's recession warnings trace to Ohio tax cuts, not objective data: Brent Larkin, By Brent Larkin, cleveland.com, December 15, 2016,
http://www.cleveland.com/opinion/index.ssf/2016/12/gov_kasichs_recession_warnings.html
4. Tax revenues miss again, Kasich says Ohio on verge of recession, The Columbus Dispatch online article, December 6, 2016;
http://www.dispatch.com/content/stories/local/2016/12/06/Kasich_warns_of_recession_as_state_tax_take_remains_depressed.html
5. The Hannah Report, 13 Issues for States to Watch in 2017, Part 1, January 5, 2017.
6. The Hannah Report, 13 Issues for States to Watch in 2017, Part 2, January 6, 2017.

ZAINO HALL & FARRIN LLC

A T T O R N E Y S A T L A W

Thomas M. Zaino, JD, CPA
(614) 349-4810
tzaino@zhftaxlaw.com

Stephen K. Hall, JD, LLM
(614) 349-4812
shall@zhftaxlaw.com

Richard C. Farrin, JD
(614) 349-4811
rfarrin@zhftaxlaw.com

Debora D. McGraw, JD, LLM,
CPA
(614) 349-4813
dmcgraw@zhftaxlaw.com

John R. Trippier, CPA
(non-attorney
professional)
(614) 349-4815
jtrippier@zhftaxlaw.com

Adam L. Garn, JD, CPA, MT
(614) 349-4814
agarn@zhftaxlaw.com

Bradley W. Tomlinson
(non-attorney professional)
(614) 349-4818
btomlinson@zhftaxlaw.com

Thomas R. Fagan, JD
(614) 782-1541
tfagan@zhftaxlaw.com

Z_HF CONSULTING

Steve Austria
(non-attorney professional)
(614) 349-4820
saustria@zhftaxlaw.com

Charlotte B. Hickcox
(non-attorney professional)
(614) 349-4819
chickcox@zhftaxlaw.com

*Independent SALT Alliance*TM

ZHF's attorneys are proud participants in the *Independent SALT Alliance*TM, a state not-for-profit association of independent providers of professional state and local tax services. The members of ISA represent some of the nation's leading state and local tax experts.



To learn more about the ISA, go to <http://isanetwork.com/>

ZHF