

BYLAWS MEETING RECAP SUNDAY, 12/17

The meeting held in the women's cardroom was “**below par**” brought about from the limited number of attendees and the sedate mood of the crowd. The *lack* of attendance as well as the *lack* of interest shown during the meeting by the members is a pre-screening that **does not favor our individual rights**. There were **NO** questions raised concerning our “**most important bylaws**”, the **Articles** pertaining to the **Voting** and the **Discipline**. The new Article XII Discipline was sidestepped on purpose, not up for discussion? The only somber objectors to the new **VOTING** bylaw changes (regarding the voting and proper identification of the word “misconduct”) were brought forth by this writer and Stuart Berkowitz. We believe that an **outside voting service** is nothing more than a **diversion from past practices** as long as the **outside service** is selected and controlled by board, responsible to the board and reporting to the board. **Beware what you wish for!**

The replies to our objections were rebuffed by the Ad Hoc Committee. Support from the people in the audience **was nonexistent**. It seems that the membership has a death wish to self-inflict punishment. David Kaplan and David Carver, the moderators, defended their objections to our suggestion for a simple Tamperproof Mail box to collect Ballots. Stating that: “*Outside voting services are used by stockholders voting in a similar fashion*”. Foolishly, some people in the audience bought into this argument without thinking. Stuart Berkowitz and this writer retorted that those **outside voter services** are not under the control of the enterprise that is triggering the vote.

Our real life experiences to such a process are that **outside entities** such as **the stock exchanges supervise that process, and if** Delaire continues to have control it makes this process unacceptable. **Beware what you wish for!** Unfortunately such discussions on important changes are always abbreviated and quickly switched over to “we have to go on or we will not finish”. That is the trouble with board sponsored meetings that start late in the day and then by means of such defensive interchanges avoid further

discussions. The questions are never answered, and never allow continuous discussions, added to the fact that nonchalant members don't have the patience to stick it out, resulting in not pinning down the needed changes. Those kinds of meetings should bring the attention to the needed gaps to reverse the cycle to have member sponsored meetings that allow and foster valued debates that are in the best interest of the membership.

www.delairegovernance.com is the only means that keep Delaireans apprised of past, present and future board trickeries. The **“usual suspects’ comeback”** to our good intents in **cleansing the swamp** is *“why are we always negative to board programs?”* The answer: *“The board has negative feelings as to the rights of our individual members. Our mission is to bring truth and undo negative changes with back-up documents to prove their truthfulness”*. We are not in any other business and we always stay the course, answer all questions and raise more. **Question:** How is it that during all the past meetings no one made any remarks regarding the fiscal disaster of a \$540,000 negative operating loss coming from club operations? Or regarding the **“trespass”** of **Article VI (G)** by **Karpel & Co.** during the summer spending over **½ million dollars** without a membership vote as required under the **bylaws**. Read Brecker's [demand for the vote tally](#). That illegal act has raised our dues for 2017 by **\$4795 per mandatory member** and required assessments to cover the illegal expenditure! This banned transgression must be countered by a Grievance charge with a 1 year suspension. If a 1 year suspension is handed down for bringing transparency to members using <http://www.delairegovernance.com> and considered a transgression due to the fact that by sponsoring such a Web, the sponsor is injurious to the peace, welfare, tranquility and congenial social relationship between the club and the membership and constitutes activities that are detrimental to the membership. How can spending over **½ million dollars** without membership approval not be evaluated in an equal manner? Our sponsor is considering such an action that punishes all those responsible for such a breach. New **DUES INCREASES** have been promised for 2018 to be approximately **\$1600**. Will it ever stop! **Not if we don't scrutinize our Bylaws and punish those that impinge on our rights!** As a Mandatory Membership Club we have the added **"handicap" of not being able to quit**, if the club **becomes too pricey** or we are not

satisfied with the services we pay for as members. Bylaws must be analyzed and observed as we mentioned in the various articles on that subject. **Read: [Crime and Punishment](#) and [The Importance of Analyzing the Bylaw Changes](#).**

Delaire members in the past have never had the luxury of piloting or having had a meeting of any kind, conducted by the members, and for the members. Members have never been in the position of crafting bylaw changes on their own and presenting them for membership approval for a membership vote without board interference! The time has come for us to reverse the cycle to achieve a better and more membership oriented programs to live by. We have mentioned a **“Membership Bill of Rights”** and that is the only way we can get there! **Delaireans, this is a must do. If not** we will end up in the hands of a home builder’s portfolio to escape the consequences of an out of control fiscally irresponsible board that can force us into insolvency. Can anyone honestly say that our Golf Enhancement program was performed wisely? That our property values are increasing? That our homes are selling anywhere close to other better managed clubs? That our dues and assessments have been reduced with the admission of bargain hunting non-resident members that are crowding out our once **famous “STEP UP AND PLAY GOLF”**. **We need change.** Bylaws of the members, for the members and by the members are our long range goal! AD HOC committee writers in the past have only done the biddings of the board and what the board approves. Delagov@aol.com invites members to write us and give us their ideas on how to improve our club. We will be pleased to answer all of your inquiries. All you have to do is whistle! Our **“COMMENTS”** page has been created for such a purpose. You don’t have to use your name if you fear retribution by the board and their disciples. We are attempting to get rid of such worries once and for all. That is what our **“Revolution”** is all about!

WE WANT TO MAKE **DELAIRE GREAT AGAIN** AND WE NEED YOU TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE PROPOSED BYLAWS. THE OLD AND THE NEW MUST INSURE A BETTER LIFESTYLE WITH PEACE AND TRANQUILITY AS ONE OF THE MAIN OBJECTIVES. THE VOTING AND THE DISCIPLINE ARTICLES ARE IN DIRE NEED OF CHANGE, WITH A

180 DEGREE TURNAROUND FROM THE CURRENT PROPOSAL.
THERE ARE A FEW WORTHWHILE BUT FOR THE MOST THEY NEED
CHANGE AS DISCUSSED IN [Analyzing the Bylaw Changes](#)

Make Delaire Great Again