Genesis of large siliceous stromatolites at Frying Pan Lake, Waimangu geothermal field, North Island, New Zealand

BRIAN JONES*, ROBIN W. RENAUT† and KURT O. KONHAUSER*
*Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6G 2E3 (E-mail: brian.jones@ualberta.ca)
†Department of Geological Sciences, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada, S7N 5E2

ABSTRACT

Lilypad stromatolites, up to 3 m long and 1.5 m wide, were found to be actively growing in the shallow marginal waters of Frying Pan Lake and its outflow channel. These stromatolites, composed of Phormidium (> 90%), Fischerella, and a variety of other microbes, develop through a series of distinct growth stages. Dark green microbial mats cover the floor of the outflow channel and give rise to columns of various sizes and shapes in the shallower marginal waters. Once the columns reach the water level, the mats spread laterally to form a lilypad stromatolite. The lilypads are characterized by a raised, dark green rim, 4–5 mm high, that encircles a flat interior covered with a distinctive orange-red mat. The microbes forming the columns and lilypad plate are being actively silicified. The stromatolites are formed of: (i) flat-lying Phormidium filaments (P-laminae), (ii) upright filaments of Phormidium that are commonly associated with Fischerella (U-laminae), and (iii) mucus, diatoms and pyrite frambooids (M-laminae). P-laminae dominate most of the columns, with tripartite cycles of P-, U-, to M-laminae being found mostly in the upper parts of the stromatolites. The transition from the P- to U-laminae is marked by a change in the growth pattern of the Phormidium and branching of Fischerella, which was probably triggered by a change in environmental conditions. In the Frying Pan Lake outflow channel, this change may be related to fluctuations in water level and flow rates that are caused by periods of heavy rain, seasonal changes, long-term variations in rainfall, and/or the unique 40-day hydrological cycle that exists between Frying Pan Lake and Inferno Crater, which is a nearby hydrothermal crater lake.
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INTRODUCTION

Stromatolites, which are laminated microbialites constructed by various microbes (Riding, 1991), are common in hot-spring systems in the geothermal areas of Yellowstone National Park (e.g. Walter et al., 1972, 1976; Walter, 1972; Guidry & Chafetz, 2003a), Iceland (e.g. Konhauser et al., 2001), and New Zealand (e.g. Jones et al., 1997, 1998, 2000). Many stromatolites found in the geothermal systems of the Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ) on the North Island of New Zealand have been termed microstromatolites because of their small size (Jones et al., 1997, 2000). Indeed, with the exception of the stromatolites found around the edge of Ohaaki Pool (Hunt, 1997; Jones et al., 1998, figs 2A and 3), large stromatolites are rare in New Zealand hot-spring systems. Stromatolites growing around Frying Pan Lake, which is located in the Waimangu Thermal Valley (Fig. 1), are important exceptions because of their size (up to 3 m long and 1.5 m wide at water surface) and ‘lilypad’ morphology (Fig. 2).

The microbial mats responsible for the construction of the stromatolites at Frying Pan Lake, in warm (48–52 °C), acidic (pH: 5.6–5.8), spring-fed waters, are dominated by Phormidium. These lilypad stromatolites are significantly different
from stromatolites formed by *Phormidium*-dominated microbial mats elsewhere, which commonly have a coniform morphology (e.g. Walter *et al.*, 1976; Love *et al.*, 1983; Walter, 1983; Jones *et al.*, 2002). In this paper, the morphology of the Frying Pan Lake stromatolites and the microbes that mediated their formation are described, and then the silicification and preservation style of those microbes are assessed. This information is used to analyse the growth dynamics of the microbes in terms of their environmental setting and allows comparison with coniform stromatolites that are also constructed by *Phormidium*-dominated microbial mats. The results of this study have broad implications for understanding the growth and preservation of stromatolites of all ages.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The Waimangu geothermal area, including Frying Pan Lake, is a protected site governed by the Department of Conservation, New Zealand. Therefore, sampling was conducted to minimize impact to the site. In February 2003 and March 2004, detailed observations were made in the field, *in situ* water temperature and pH measurements were obtained, water samples were collected for analysis, and samples from the stromatolites were collected. Only those stromatolites located along the southern shore of Frying Pan Lake near the outflow channel (Figs 1C and 2A) could be studied because of the hazardous, soft ground around much of the shoreline. Samples of the stromatolites were kept in airtight
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polyethylene containers and plastic bags until they were air-dried prior to having thin sections made and samples prepared for examination on the scanning electron microscope (SEM).

The stromatolites are poorly lithified despite silicification of the constituent microbes. Thin sections could be made only from epoxy-impregnated samples. Small fractured samples were mounted on SEM stubs and coated with a very thin layer of gold before being examined on a JEOL 6301FE field emission SEM (JEOL USA Inc., Peabody, MA, USA) at an accelerating voltage of 2.5–5.0 kV. The high microporosity and poor lithification of these samples, however, caused considerable charging that made acquisition of high-quality images difficult. This problem was overcome by embedding the most lithified parts of the samples in a highly conductive glue and examining them on the SEM immediately after they had been sputter-coated with gold. The size and morphological features of the constituent microbes were determined from the SEM photomicrographs.

Samples of the actively growing mats were also examined on a transmission electron microscope (TEM). Such samples were stored in 3% glutaraldehyde before being washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with the samples remaining in the buffer for 10 min between each wash. Samples were then stained with 2% osmic acid for 2 h, after which they were washed with PBS repeatedly. Serial dehydration was performed using ethanol concentrations increasing in 10% increments, from 20% to 100%, with a 15 min incubation at each increment. Samples were further incubated in 1 : 1 propylene oxide : osmium tetroxide, for 15 min each, after which they were left in 1 : 1 propylene oxide : spurr resin for 12 h. Samples were embedded in spurr resin and cured in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h, after which they were sectioned using a Reichert-Jung ultracut microtome, collected on copper grids and examined with a Morgani 268 Philips TEM (FEI Electron Optics, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). Some grids were subsequently stained with 1% uranyl acetate to enhance the contrast of the cell material.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Waimangu-Rotomahana hydrothermal system lies along the southern margin of the Okataina Volcanic Centre in a rugged terrain of Quaternary rhyolitic strata and hydrothermal explosion breccias (Seward & Sheppard, 1986). This region has had a long history of intense volcanic activity including the 1886 eruption of Mt Tarawera, which initiated the present geothermal system. Frying Pan Lake, the focus of this study, occupies part of Echo Crater, which formed during the 1886 Tarawera eruption. The present lake was formed after a major hydrothermal eruption on 1 April 1917, after which time water from thermal springs and runoff gradually filled the enlarged depression (Keam, 1955; Houghton & Scott, 2002).

Frying Pan Lake, which has a surface area of ca 38,000 m² (Scott, 1994), is bounded by steep and nearly vertical walls composed largely of rhyolitic ashes overlain by mud and explosion breccias. The lake floor is flat, averaging ca 6 m deep, but is locally > 20 m deep where hot springs and gases are discharged from vents in the sediments of the lake floor (Keam, 1981; Houghton & Scott, 2002). Frying Pan Lake has been described as the world’s largest hot spring, but the multiple vents and the contribution of catchment waters make the term ‘hydrothermal lake’ more appropriate.

The lake waters are of acid sulphate type with a pH range of 5.4–5.8 (Glover et al., 1994, their table 2). The waters are derived from deep alkaline chloride waters that have been acidified by the atmospheric oxidation of H₂S gas, which has increased their sulphate concentration above that of most other fluids at Waimangu (Mahon, 1965; Hunt et al., 1994). Small, dilute acid sulphate springs (pH 2.5) with very low chloride concentration discharge into the lake around the shoreline, together with a few alkaline chloride springs less modified by H₂S oxidation. Silica concentrations are 335–428 mg l⁻¹ (Table 1). Water temperatures in the lake have consistently ranged between 45 and 55 °C for several decades.

The lake surface is continuously disturbed by rising convectional plumes of water and gas that break at the surface, generating concentric surface waves above each plume, interference waves where adjacent plume waves interact, and general turbulence. These surface waves, in turn, are active in the littoral zones where the stromatolites are growing, even at times when wind-generated wave activity is low.

The lake waters flow eastwards into Hot Water Creek, which is supplemented by many alkaline hot springs, before it drains into Lake Rotomahana. The water depth at the outlet is 0.5–1.5 m. Discharge from the lake, which is 65–220 l sec⁻¹ and averages ca 110 l sec⁻¹ (Scott, 1994; Houghton...
& Scott, 2002), is controlled by hydrodynamic and climatic variables. Minor discharge fluctuations of 1–3 days duration have been attributed to rainfall-induced runoff in the catchment (Scott, 1994), with some cool meteoric groundwater discharge around the lake margins. A decrease in discharge from 122 to 104 l sec\(^{-1}\) around the lake margins. A decrease in rainfall-induced runoff in the catchment (Scott, 1994), with some cool meteoric groundwater discharge around the lake margins. A decrease in discharge from 122 to 104 l sec\(^{-1}\) between 1972 and 1990 was related to a decrease in rainfall during that period (Scott, 1992, 1994).

Superimposed on these climatic fluctuations is a cyclic variation in water level that is related to the unique hydrothermal relationship between Frying Pan Lake and Inferno Crater Lake (Lloyd, 1973, 1974; Scott, 1992, 1994; Glover et al., 1994). Inferno Crater (Fig. 1C), located 300 m to the NE, follows a 40-day cycle with the water surface in the crater rising slowly until it reaches a level where it flows through an outflow channel into Hot Water Creek for a few days (Seward & Sheppard, 1986; Scott, 1992, fig. 3; 1994; Glover et al., 1994). The water level in the crater then drops to its lowest position and the cycle begins again. Water discharge from Frying Pan Lake decreases while the water is flowing out of Inferno Crater Lake (Lloyd, 1973, 1974; Seward & Sheppard, 1986; Scott, 1992, 1994; Glover et al., 1994). Then, while the water level in Inferno Crater Lake falls, the outflow from Frying Pan Lake increases. These cyclic changes account for the ca 20 l sec\(^{-1}\) difference in the flow rate from Frying Pan Lake, but only minor changes (few centimetres) in water level.

Historical records show that the water in the outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake has had a temperature (\(T\)) of 51–67 °C and a pH of 3–8 (Table 1). Much of that variation can be related to local input from sub-aqueous springs, sub-aerial springs along the shoreline, and streams that flow into the lake (Brock & Brock, 1971). In February 2003 and March 2004, surface water around the stromatolites on the south side of the outflow channel (Fig. 2A) had a temperature of 47–51 °C and a pH of 5.5–5.8 (Table 1).

**Mastigocladus laminosus**, *Phormidium* sp., and *Cyanidium caldarium* were found by Brock & Brock (1970, 1971) in the environs of Frying Pan Lake and its outflow channel. Much of their material came from Trinity Terrace, which was located on the south-central shore of Frying Pan Lake before it was destroyed by a hydrothermal eruption on 22 February 1973 (Lloyd & Keam, 1974; Scott, 1992). Brock & Brock (1970) argued that the distribution of these taxa was controlled by the balance between water temperature and water acidity. The central parts of the outflow channel appear free of visible cyanobacterial mats because the temperature is >56 °C. Microbes thrive in the marginal zones where the water is a little cooler (51–55 °C). *Cyanidium caldarium*, an obligate acidophile, was found where the pH < 4.8, whereas *Mastigocladus* (now known as *Fischerella*) and *Phormidium* were found where the pH > 4.8 (Brock & Brock, 1970, 1971).

Seward & Sheppard (1986, their plates 7.3 and 7.4) illustrated siliceous stromatolites growing in the outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake that contained ferruginous precipitates with a high
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**Table 1. Water analyses for Frying Pan Lake, associated springs, and outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>(T) (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>SiO(_2)</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>SO(_4)</th>
<th>HCO(_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grange (1937) – 28(^1)</td>
<td>April 1928</td>
<td>55–59</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grange (1937) – 29(^1)</td>
<td>June 1932</td>
<td>55–59</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard (1986) – UO1(^2)</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard (1986) – UO1(^2)</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard (1986) – UO2(^2)</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard (1986) – UO2(^2)</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard (1986) – UO3(^2)</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>708</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weissberg (1969, Table 2)(^3)</td>
<td>February 2003</td>
<td>47–51</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann et al. (1986)(^4)</td>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward &amp; Sheppard (1986)(^5)</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Units expressed in mg kg\(^{-1}\) except those of Grange (1937), which are given in p.p.m.*

\(^1\)From Grange (1937) when Frying Pan Lake consisted of smaller spring-fed lakes on Frying Pan Flat.

\(^2\)From Sheppard (1986): UO1 – bubbling springs on margin of Frying Pan Lake; UO2 – geyser on the eastern lake shore; UO3 – outflow channel near stromatolites examined in this study.

\(^3\)From Weissberg (1969, table 2), values from Mahon (1965).

\(^4\)From Mann et al. (1986).

\(^5\)From Seward & Sheppard (1986).

\(^6\)This study, around stromatolites in outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake.
content of tungsten. Tungsten-rich stromatolites were not found during the course of this study.

**STROMATOLITES**

The lilypad stromatolites were found to be growing at several locations around the shoreline of Frying Pan Lake and along its outflow channel up to 200 m downstream from the outlet (Fig. 1C). The stromatolites form discrete columns that are rooted on shallow (< 1 m) platforms around the lake margins or form ledges that extend from the shoreline or channel margins (Fig. 2A,B,D,E). The largest stromatolites, which are inaccessible, are estimated to be up to 3 m long, 1.5 m wide, and 0.5–1 m high. The stromatolites examined were sampled along the southern shoreline of the outflow channel, 10–50 m east of the outlet (Figs 1C and 2A). Lilypad stromatolites are most common within 2 m of the south shoreline. Several submerged columns that have not yet reached the water surface are present in the deeper parts of the channel, but only a few offshore examples have developed the full lilypad morphology. Several lilypad stromatolites are present on the northern shore of the channel near its junction of the lake and 50–100 m downstream (Fig. 2A). The stromatolites grow in water that is 15–50 cm deep where sampled.

The floor of the proximal outflow channel, which is ca 1 m deep, is covered with a dark green microbial mat (Fig. 2B–D). Columns of various shapes and sizes grow to various heights above the channel floor (Fig. 2B,C), both along the margins and in the axial parts of the channel. These columns are formed of dark green microbial mats that appear to be identical to those that coat the surrounding substrate. Some columns show continuity with the benthic mats around the base of the columns. Most of the blade-shaped and ovate columns, which are formed entirely of the microbial mat, flex with local current and wave motion. The larger columns commonly have a dense and rigid core that appears to be formed of silicified microbial mats or small boulders. In some cases, strands of filamentous microbes extend from the tops of the submerged columns and are aligned parallel to the prevailing local currents. Once the top of a column has grown upwards to reach the water level, the mats then extend outwards at water level to produce the round to ovate lilypads (Fig. 2). In cross-section, these stromatolites are mushroom-shaped because outward growth at their tabular upper surface far exceeds the expansion of the submerged column (Fig. 3). Lateral expansion is greatest on the downstream side of many stromatolites with overhangs of up to 10 cm being evident on some stromatolites. Such overhangs shade the parent column from direct sunlight (Fig. 3). The overhangs are formed of densely interwoven, flexible microbial mats that have undergone relatively little silicification. As a result, the overhangs can flex in response to changes in water level or currents.

The upper surfaces of the lilypad stromatolites are distinctive because of the vivid colours of the microbial mats, which seem to vary in accordance with the amount of water present on their surfaces (Fig. 2). The raised (3–5 mm high) rims, which maintain contact with the surrounding water, are dark green (Fig. 2D). When wet, the mats that cover the flat interior of the lilypad surface have an orange-red interior zone that is surrounded by a light green zone (Fig. 2D). After extensive drying, the light green zone commonly becomes orange-red and the interior zonation is lost (Fig. 2E). Slightly higher water levels lead to flooding of lilypad interior with the depth being controlled by the height of the raised rim. When water levels are low, the interior of the lilypad becomes exposed and partial drying of the microbial mats commences. As that water level drops, gas bubbles rise through the mat and create small blisters in the exposed microbial mat (Fig. 2D). Some of the lilypad stromatolites have white partly silicified mats in their central parts (Fig. 2E).
The mats that cover the channel floor are commonly fragmented by gas bubbles and spring waters that are continually escaping from some of the submerged vents. These mat fragments become buoyant, possibly because of trapped gases, and float to the water surface where they move in accordance with local current and wind directions. Storms and high rainfall events, such as that in December 2004, can also lead to the mats being torn up and fragmented. The mat fragments are periodically blown onto the southern shoreline, forming brush-heaps of mat detritus. Some broken mats get lodged between the lilypad stromatolites that grow in that area (Fig. 2A). Further lateral growth of the fragmented mats locally produces an interlocking semi-continuous mat along the littoral zone. Mats that have accumulated along the shoreline become partially silicified by wicking of spring waters and capillary evaporation. Some of the mat fragments become partly silicified while floating on the surface of the water, whereas others are washed onto the surface of the lilypad stromatolites (Fig. 2E).

The mats on the upper surface of the stromatolites are formed almost entirely of non-branching, septate filamentous microbes, ca 1-5 μm in diameter, that have their trichome enclosed in a thin (< 0.2 μm) sheath (Fig. 4A,B). The presence of intracellular photosynthetic membranes (Fig. 4B) clearly confirms their photosynthetic nature. This, coupled with their general morphology, suggests that they are probably *Phormidium*.

In TEM thin section, it is readily apparent that the sites for opal-A nucleation are on the sheath surface, a feature previously noted in other cyanobacteria (e.g. Phoenix et al., 2000; Konhauser et al., 2001). Individual opal-A spheres are ca 100 nm in diameter, but in some areas on the cell surface they have merged into a thick, dense silica coating. The presence of what appears to be an intact cytoplasm in some of the mineralized cells (Fig. 4C), suggests that silicification began while the cell was still viable.

**Silicified biota**

*Phormidium* and locally, *Fischerella* dominate the silicified microbiota in the stromatolites (Figs 5–11). Minor components include indeterminate septate filamentous microbes, small-diameter filamentous microbes, perforate and non-perforate spores (Fig. 12), and diatoms (Fig. 13).

**Phormidium**

Castenholz et al. (2001) included *Phormidium* in the form genus *Leptolyngbya* Anagnostidis & Komarek 1988 that includes a large number of filamentous cyanobacteria from hypersaline, saline and freshwater habitats, including hot springs with temperatures up to 63 °C. These microbes...
have narrow (< 3 μm diameter) trichomes. Sheaths characterize many taxa in this group. In general, this form genus is equivalent to the *Lyngbya* Agardh 1824–*Phormidium* Kützing 1843–*Plectonema* Thuret 1875 group (LPP) as defined by Rippka et al. (1979). The inclusion of all of these genera in one form genus reflects the taxonomic uncertainty that surrounds this group.

Fig. 5. Thin-section photomicrographs of stromatolites from outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake. Entire stromatolite formed of opal-A. (A) Vertical cross-section through upper part of stromatolite showing laminae formed of small filamentous tufts sandwiched between flat- to wavy laminae. Note high porosity (white). (B) Enlarged view of filamentous tuft shown in (A) (position indicated by white letter B). Column formed of dense array of heavily silicified filamentous microbes surrounded by filamentous microbes that are not as heavily silicified. (C) Basal part of filamentous tuft formed of *Fischerella* (F) intermixed with *Phormidium*. (D) *Fischerella* embedded in opal-A matrix. Note well-defined cells that vary in size within one filament and between filaments. (E) Tangled mat of *Phormidium* in upper part of filamentous tuft.
of cyanobacteria. As a result, many genera included in the LPP group or the form genus *Leptolyngbya* are still referred to by their traditional names (Hindák, 2001).

*Phormidium* is an architecturally simple, non-branching filamentous cyanobacterium that has a trichome encased by a thin, gelatinous sheath (Copeland, 1936; Nash, 1938; Cassie, 1989). Numerous extant species have been named according to their filament diameter (Copeland, 1936; Nash, 1938; Cassie, 1989), the presence or absence of granules on their cross-walls (Nash, 1938), their habitat (Nash, 1938), the apex morphology of the trichome, and/or cell size (Copeland, 1936). Most of these features are not evident in silicified specimens and it is therefore difficult to ally silicified forms to extant taxa (Jones et al., 2002).

The microbiota in the stromatolites from Frying Pan Lake is dominated by non-branching filamentous microbes (Figs 5–8) that have an external diameter of 1.6–3.1 μm (Fig. 9A) and a lumen diameter of 0.6–0.9 μm (Fig. 9B). The external diameter is primarily a reflection of the thickness of opal-A that was precipitated around the silicified filament. Transverse cross-sections through some specimens reveal a discontinuity (Jones et al., 2001) that separates the silicified sheath from the opal-A that was precipitated around the sheath as a cement (Fig. 7E–I). The lumen diameter, which can generally be equated to the diameter of the original trichome, providing there is no evidence of opal-A precipitation in the open lumen (Merz, 1992), is 0.6–0.9 μm, with a distinct mode between 0.7 and 0.8 μm (Fig. 9B). This is consistent with many extant species of *Phormidium* (see Jones et al., 2002, fig. 17).

*Fischerella*
*Mastigocladus*, and *Hapalosiphon* are treated here as synonyms of *Fischerella* Gomont 1895 because Hoffmann & Castenholz (2001) have suggested that there is not sufficient reason to consider them separate genera. *Fischerella*, a monospecific genus characterized by significant morphological variation (Castenholz, 1978), generally grows in waters with a temperature of 28–64 °C and a pH of 6.8–9.0 (Copeland, 1936; Castenholz, 1969, 1973, 1976; Cassie, 1989). It has, however, been reported from waters with a pH as low as 3.8 (Castenholz, 1973). *Fischerella laminosus* (Cohn 1862) has been found in the hot-spring systems of New Zealand (Castenholz, 1973, 1976; Cassie, 1989), Iceland (Castenholz, 1973; Joergensen & Nelson, 1988; Konhauser et al., 2001), North America (Copeland, 1936), Central America, Japan and Italy (Castenholz, 1973). There are two forms of *F. laminosus*: the mid-temperature form (MTF) that has an upper temperature limit of 57 °C, and the high-temperature form (HTF) that has an upper temperature limit of 63–64 °C (Castenholz, 1969, 1973, 1976, 1978). The MTF is characterized by true and inverted V-branching whereas the HTF is unbranched (Castenholz, 1973). Incipient V-branching is found, however, in some of the lower temperature variants of the HTF (Castenholz, 1973). *Fischerella* is a resilient microbe that can withstand extremes of dryness and freezing (Castenholz, 1973).

Some laminae in the stromatolites from Frying Pan Lake contain scattered filaments of *Fischerella* or small tufts formed of *Fischerella* intertwined with *Phormidium* (Figs 5B,C and 10). The trichomes are formed of short cylindrical (Fig. 10C) to barrel-shaped (Fig. 10D,E) cells that are 2.5–9.7 μm (average 5.1 μm) long, 3.0–5.2 μm (average 4.2 μm) wide, and have a width/length ratio of 0.4–1.7 (average 0.9) (Fig. 11). Cellular dimensions are highly variable in individual trichomes (Figs 5D and 10B) and between trichomes (Fig. 10B), even between neighbouring trichomes from the same tuft. There is an exponential decrease in the ratio of 0.9–2 m (average 5 m (Fig. 9A) and a lumen diameter of 0.6–0.9 μm (Fig. 9B). This is consistent with many extant species of *Phormidium* (see Jones et al., 2002, fig. 17).

Other microbes
Microbes other than *Phormidium* and *Fischerella* form <5% of the biota in the stromatolites (Fig. 12). Their scarcity means that it is difficult to establish all of their morphological attributes and hence, identify them in terms of extant taxa without the use of DNA fingerprinting.
Internal structures

The stromatolites from Frying Pan Lake are formed of: (i) ‘P-laminae’ that are characterized by prone filamentous microbes, (ii) ‘U-laminae’ that are characterized by upright filamentous microbes, and (iii) ‘M-laminae’ that are characterized by extracellular mucus, pyrite framboids and diatoms.

P-laminae

The P-laminae are formed almost entirely of densely interwoven, flat-lying filaments of *Phormidium* (Figs 6D,E and 7), with only scattered specimens of other microbes. In any given lamina or succession of P-laminae, the *Phormidium* filaments tend to lie parallel to each other (Fig. 6D). The orientation of filaments in successive layers, however, commonly varies by as much as 90° (Fig. 6E). The deformed cross-sectional shape of many filaments indicates that many were partly crushed before they were silicified (Fig. 7D,G). The P-laminae have a high microporosity because most lumens and interfilament spaces are open (Figs 6D,E and 7A–D). Locally, however, porosity is reduced by isopachous layers of opal-A cement that coat the filaments (Fig. 7G–I).

U-laminae

The U-laminae have a more diverse biota than the P-laminae. Although *Phormidium* dominates these laminae, *Fischerella* are locally common (Figs 5C,D and 10) and other unidentified microbes (Fig. 12) are scattered throughout. The *Phormidium* filaments were not deformed prior to silicification (Fig. 8B,D,E). Compared with the P-laminae, filaments in the U-laminae are less densely packed and have a greater thickness of encrusting opal-A (Figs 8 and 10). Silicified epiphytes are present on many of the silicified filaments of *Phormidium* (Fig. 8C,D). Spaces between the vertical filaments are partly filled with complex networks of silicified filaments (Fig. 8E,F). The taxonomic affinity of the epiphytic microbes and those forming the networks is unknown because of the encrusting opal-A masking their morphological features.

M-laminae

The M-laminae, generally < 100 μm thick, are formed of mucus (exopolymers), pyrite framboids, and scattered diatoms (*Pinnularia*?) (Fig. 13). These laminae, which are far less common that the P- and U-laminae, probably form < 5% (based on visual estimates from SEM samples) of the stromatolites. Pennate diatoms are the only microbes visibly preserved in these layers and it is therefore tempting to suggest that they secreted the mucus. Pyrite framboids, up to 5 μm in diameter, are common in these layers (Fig. 13), but rare to absent in the other types of laminae. Each framboid contains 20–70 microcrystallites (Fig. 13C). In places, the framboids have disintegrated and their constituent subcrystals, up to 1 μm long, are scattered amid the mucus coating (Fig. 13C).

**PATTERNS OF MICROBE SILICIFICATION**

Studies have shown that microbes will only be preserved if silicification takes place within 10–12 days of their death (Bartley, 1996). Similarly, Jones & Kahle (1986) argued that rapid mineralization must take place if mineralized microbes are to retain their three-dimensional form. Even with such rapid silicification, many of the morphological features critical for identification of the microbes will be lost (Jones et al., 2001, 2004).

The three-dimensional preservation of the microbes in the stromatolites from the outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake (Figs 6–8, 10 and 12) attests to their rapid silicification. The *Fischerella* are very well preserved with many of their taxonomically important characters being clearly evident (Fig. 10C,D). In contrast, the silicified specimens of *Phormidium* commonly have a distorted cross-section (Figs 6E and 7D,G) and scant other morphological features (Figs 6–8). The distortion of the filaments (Fig. 6G) can probably be attributed to compression between neighbouring filaments that took place before silicification had made them rigid and resistant to distortion. Nevertheless, silicification must...
have taken place before the filaments underwent any significant degree of decay.

Some of the silicified filaments were encased by opal-A cement (Fig. 7E–I). In many specimens, there is a distinct discontinuity between the opal-A that replaced the filament and the opal-A that formed as a cement (Jones et al., 2001, fig. 8A–C). Such cross-sections also show that the distribution of the isopachous opal-A cement is highly variable (Fig. 7G,H). Thus, some areas appear to be devoid of such cement (e.g. Fig. 7D) whereas other areas have filaments encrusted with cement (Fig. 7F–I). The isopachous cement layers are < 1 μm thick and there are only scattered examples where the spaces between neighbouring filaments have been completely filled with cement. There is no readily apparent pattern to the distribution of the opal-A cement.

**SIGNIFICANCE OF LAMINATIONS IN STROMATOLITES**

Stromatolites in the outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake are formed largely of P-laminae (Fig. 5A). P-laminae form most of the central columns that support the lilypad plate. The uppermost parts of the stromatolites are more complex because they are formed of cycles that evolve from P- to U- to M-laminae (Fig. 4A). Individual filaments of *Phormidium* that change from a horizontal to a vertical orientation (Fig. 6C) mark the transition from the P- to U-laminae. Similarly, (sub-)vertical branches of *Fischerella* arise from the uniseriate primary trichome that lies at the top of the P-laminae (Fig. 10A,J–L). The fact that individual filaments of two different taxa change orientation at the same level indicates that a change in environmental conditions may have been responsible. It is difficult, however, to attribute this to a systematic daily or seasonal changes because the stromatolite columns are formed mostly of P-laminae and the tripartite succession of laminae is found only in the upper part of the structures (Fig. 5). This, in turn, suggests that the development of the U- and M-laminae may be related to factors other than time-dependent changes in environmental conditions. These stromatolites grow in flowing water and it seems reasonable to suggest that water level and/or flow directions may influence development of individual laminae in the stromatolites. Thus, even minor changes in flow direction across the surface of the stromatolite might be responsible for the change in the orientation of *Phormidium* in successive layers.

Laminae, which are a definitive element of stromatolites, have been attributed to many different causes. Cyclic alternations of laminae have commonly been ascribed to daily, monthly, annual, or some other time-dependent growth processes (Symoens, 1957; Monty, 1967, 1976; Walter et al., 1972; Park, 1976; Walter, 1976; Golubic & Focke, 1978; Chafetz & Folk, 1984; Chafetz et al., 1991; Freytet & Plet, 1996; Renaut et al., 1996; Jones et al., 1998, 1999; Konhauser et al., 2001; Kano et al., 2003). Nevertheless, it is commonly difficult to determine with any certainty if those cycles represent daily, monthly, annual or some other regular periodic growth (Park, 1976). Caudwell et al. (2001), for example, concluded that the laminations in *Rivularia* stromatolites that grew in a palustrine environment in a temperate climate were due to a wide range of biological, environmental and climatic factors that did not necessarily follow a seasonal or annual pattern. Such assessments are especially pertinent in the case of the outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake where the laminae in the stromatolites could potentially be linked to: (i) short-term (1–3 days) increases in outflow caused by heavy rain, (ii) seasonal changes in rainfall and temperature, (iii) changes in outflow related to the 40-day hydrological cycle shared with Inferno Crater Lake, and/or (iv) long-term changes in rainfall between 1972 and 1990 (Scott, 1992.
1994). It is difficult to correlate the laminae in the Frying Pan Lake stromatolites with any of these variables.

**DISCUSSION**

The lilypad morphology of the Frying Pan Lake stromatolites is unusual and seemingly quite rare. This form was not recognized in Hofman’s (1969) classification of stromatolite morphotypes. Davis (1897, fig. 7) described and illustrated ‘pillars’ from an unnamed pool in Yellowstone National Park that ‘...spreads out at the surface of the water in a form that resembles the umbrella-like top of a toadstool.’ He could not find any direct evidence that ‘...siliceous matter was secreted directly around the fibers [filaments], for the deposits were in the form of granules of amorphous silica distributed among the filaments.’ Nevertheless, Davis (1897) did suggest that the filamentous microbes were actively involved in the construction of the pillars because the ‘...presence of the algal filaments as foreign bodies encourages the deposition of silica...’. To our knowledge, this type of stromatolite has not been described or illustrated from anywhere else.

Lilypad structures and ledges, first described from cave pools, are usually composed of CaCO₃ precipitated from the pool waters (e.g. White, 1976; Gonzalez & Lohmann, 1988; Hill & Forti, 1997). Ledges and lilypads may unite at the water surface to form a continuous plate of precipitated minerals that will extend outwards until it fractures or sinks under its own weight. Similar morphological forms have since been recognized in modern hot-spring pools (Renaut et al., 1999; Campbell et al., 2002; Guidry & Chafetz, 2003a), where they are composed mainly of opaline silica and/or calcite, in evaporitic playa lakes where they are composed of salts such as gypsum and halite (Renaut et al., 1999), and in dilute lakes undergoing freezing that produce lilypads and ledges of ice. Although influenced by microbial processes, including the development of some microbial fabrics, most lilypads described to date have been attributed to abiotic origins with mineral precipitation induced by either physical or chemical processes that take place at or near the air–water interface (Renaut et al., 1999). The Frying Pan Lake lilypads, however, are clearly of microbial origin, with the framework for the structures being composed entirely of microbial filaments that are templates for silica precipitation.

The growth pattern of the stromatolites can be inferred from observations of the modern examples in different stages of development, and from an examination of the mound structure. Some mounds rise initially from the benthic mat to form small club-shaped columns. Other mounds rise where the mat drapes over protrusions on the substrate such as gravel clasts. Commonly, mats begin to grow over domal highs in the mat itself, forming cabbage-like clumps of mat. Upward growth of the incipient columns then takes place. Some flex and bend, locally becoming detached from the substrate while small, but others continue to grow both upwards and outwards, expanding the diameter of the column. The columns eventually reach the mean water level in the lake. At this point, vertical growth effectively ceases unless the water level rises. Lateral expansion continues, but the rate of expansion is much greater at water level than around the submerged part of the column. This outward growth facilitates the formation of the tabular lilypad plate.

Modern microbial mats formed of *Phormidium* are commonly characterized by columns that are linked by vertical sheets and bridges (Walter et al., 1976; Love et al., 1983; Walter, 1983; Jones et al., 2002). Such mats grow in hot springs at Yellowstone National Park, where temperature is 32–39 °C and pH 7–9 (Walter et al., 1976), and ice-covered lakes of Antarctica where temperature is < 9 °C (Love et al., 1983). In New Zealand, two modern hot springs at Tokaanu have coniform *Phormidium* mats, and fossil examples are present at Whakarewarewa (Jones et al., 2002).

Although several hypotheses have been proposed, the reason(s) as to why columns commonly

---

**Fig. 8.** SEM photomicrographs of U-laminae from stromatolite in outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake. (A) General view of upright filaments of *Phormidium*. (B) Silicified *Phormidium* from U-lamine. (C) Silicified epiphytes on surface of silicified sheath shown in (B). (D) Oblique transverse view of U-laminae showing erect *Phormidium* surrounded by opal-A precipitates and various epiphytes including small-diameter filamentous microbes. (E) Tranverse cross-section through U-laminae, parallel to depositional surface, showing complex meshwork of opal-A precipitates and epiphytes between the upright filaments of *Phormidium*. (F) Vertical section through U-laminae showing erect filaments of *Phormidium* with associated epiphytes and opal-A precipitate. (G, H) Longitudinal sections through upright filaments of *Phormidium* showing septa.
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develop from Phormidium-dominated mats is poorly understood. The columns may form as rapidly gliding Phormidium filaments become entangled and form small knobs, which then become the preferred habitat for light-seeking microbes (Walter et al., 1976; Awramik & Vanyo, 1983; Walter, 1983). Brock (1978) suggested that column development was promoted by the development of anaerobic conditions in which Phormidium thrive, especially where there is a high sulphide content. He argued that the densely interwoven mats promoted anaerobic conditions even in oxygenated water bodies. In contrast, Schultze-Lam et al. (1996) and Golubic et al. (2000) argued that columnar development in mats formed of tightly interwoven filamentous microbes might be caused by buoyancy that is related to the formation and trapping of gases. Columns growing on the floor of Frying Pan Lake outflow channel may be related to preferential growth on small boulders on the channel floor, and/or local elevation of the microbial mat by gas bubbles constantly escaping from small vents (commonly < 1 cm diameter) on the channel floor. If the model of Brock (1978) is viable, growth of the columns may have also been favoured by the high sulphide content of the water in Frying Pan Lake.

The stromatolites from the Frying Pan Lake outflow channel, like the coniform stromatolites from Kirihoro (Tokaanu), and Walkite Pool, and Te Anarata (Whakarewarewa), are dominated by Phormidium. The Frying Pan Lake stromatolites, however, differ from the Tokaanu and Whakarewarewa examples by: (i) being considerably larger, (ii) their development of the lilypad surface, and (iii) generally lacking the vertical sheets and bridges that connect the gaps between neighbouring columns. Nonetheless, some poorly developed drapes extend from some of the columns in the outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake. The currents and high local turbulence may prevent development of large drapes between neighbouring columns. Almost all reported examples of coniform stromatolites with drapes are found in low-energy waters.

Most stromatolites that grow in open lacustrine environments, where water levels remain relatively stable, do not form lilypads even where rapidly mineralized. The specific conditions that promote lilypad development in Frying Pan Lake are unclear. However, the dominance of Phormidium may be an important factor in their development. The dense meshwork of filaments constructed by Phormidium (Figs 5–8) facilitates columnar growth by providing some rigidity to the developing column even when poorly mineralized. Significantly, even in quiet pools, columnar (coniform) stromatolites develop with Phormidium, but the lower energy conditions also allow column linkage by ornate drapery and webbing. In the more agitated waters of Frying

Fig. 9. Frequency distribution histograms for (A) external diameter and (B) internal diameter of filamentous microbes, other than Fischerella, from P- and U-laminae in stromatolites from outflow channel of Frying Pan Lake. Measurements to one decimal place derived from SEM photomicrographs.
Pan Lake and its outflow channel, extracellular cementation and the binding by epiphytes enhance the strength of the dense meshwork of filaments (Figs 5–8). The cementation initially allows some flexibility, but eventually the columns become more rigid as cementation proceeds. This rigidity, imparted by the meshwork and early mineralization, may allow the mats to grow upwards to the air–water interface, even in energy conditions that would be too high for columns and mats built by other genera. Lateral growth at the air–water interface may then be enhanced by mineralization induced by cooling of the silica-rich fluids and wicking, both of which would reinforce the rigidity of the lilypad plate and increase its resistance to high-energy currents.

The ‘lilypad’ element of the stromatolites in the Frying Pan Lake outflow channel develops in response to a significant change in the growth dynamics of the stromatolite. The pads, which are characterized by a raised outer rim and a flat interior that is covered by a red-orange microbial mat, formed as the microbial mats spread laterally, at water level, from the top of the columns (Fig. 3). The development of these pads had two significant impacts on the growth dynamics of the stromatolites.

- The overhangs, which are widest on the downstream side, shield the parent column from direct sunlight with the extent of shading depending on the angle of the sun and the width of the overhang (Fig. 3). This shade, in turn, likely reduces rates of photosynthesis and lateral growth of the column compared to the upper surface of the stromatolites, thereby maintaining the mushroom-shaped morphology.

- The microbial mat on the surface of the lilypad grows in an environment that is significantly different from the sub-aqueous environment in which the columns grew. The surface mat is formed of a raised rim, which is in direct contact with the water that is flowing through the channel, and the flat interior that is isolated from the surrounding channel waters (Fig. 3). The interior of the lilypad can become flooded because of: (i) an increase in water level, (ii) wind-generated waves that crest over the peripheral rim, (iii) periods of heavy rain, and (iv) wicking of water through the microbial mat. The depth of water trapped on the lilypad surface is controlled by the volume of water washed onto it and the height of the raised peripheral rim. Water is lost from the surface of the lilypad plate as evaporation takes place. It is unlikely, however, that the mat on the interior of the lilypad will ever dry out to the extent that it will be fully desiccated unless a major fall in water level occurs. Pieces of floating mat are commonly washed onto the surface of the lilypads (Fig. 2E).

One of the most notable features of the lilypad stromatolites is the distinctive red-orange colour of the microbial mat that covers the interior of the lilypad (Fig. 2). Similar colours are evident in some of the Phormidium-dominated coniform stromatolites actively growing in an unnamed hot-spring pool opposite Takarea in the Tokaanu geothermal area, which is located at the south end of Lake Taupo (Fig. 1A). Variable colours seem to be a feature of Phormidium-dominated microbial mats in many hot-spring systems (Davis, 1897; Copeland, 1936). Orange Mound in Yellowstone National Park, for example, derived its name from the orange colour of the Phormidium-dominated microbial mats that cover its surface (Copeland, 1936). Similarly, orange mats dominated by Phormidium have been reported from Steep Cone Hot Spring in Yellowstone National Park (Inagaki et al., 2001). Orange bacterial colonies, along with black and green bacterial colonies, have also been reported from lilypad stromatolites that grow in Cistern Spring in Yellowstone National Park (Guidry & Chafetz, 2003a). The colour of such mats, which depends mainly on the ratio of chlorophyll to carotenoids, commonly reflects seasonal changes in microbe growth (e.g. Wiegert & Fraleigh, 1972; Brock, 1994). Thus, the mat will be dark green when low light levels (e.g. during winter) are prevalent. Conversely, during periods of high light levels and high UV radiation, the mats become orange-yellow as the carotenoid pigments increase (Wiegert & Fraleigh, 1972).

An unusual feature of the Frying Pan Lake stromatolites is the pyrite framboids in the M-laminae. Pyrite framboids, found in many different settings, have been attributed to various abiotic and biotic processes (Popa et al., 2004) that include pyritization of individual bacteria or bacterial colonies (Schneiderhohn, 1923; Love, 1957), pyritization of organic particles or colloids (Papunen, 1966; Kalliokoski & Cathles, 1969; Kribek, 1975; Raiswell et al., 1993), low abiotic transformations in Fe–S systems (Rust, 1933; Berner, 1969; Sweeney & Kaplan, 1973; Wilkin & Barnes, 1997), and oxidation of aqueous iron monosulphide by H₂S (Butler & Rickard, 2000). Although Inagaki et al. (2001) found pyrite...
framboids associated with opal-A precipitates in Steep Cone Hot Spring (Yellowstone National Park), they neither described its relationship to the opal-A sinter nor explained its origin. Guidry & Chafetz (2003b) found small (8–40 μm) pyrite framboids inside relict organic remains found in interval 3 (6.8–11.2 m) of core Y-2 from Yellowstone National Park. Hampton et al. (2004) reported pyrite framboids (up to 20 μm diameter) in massive and stromatolitic Pliocene sinters at Northland on the North Island of New Zealand. They suggested that the framboids might have formed as a co-precipitate with colloidal silica (Luther et al., 1982) or by replacement of microbial material (Ramdohr, 1969). Pyrite framboids found in aphotic microbial mats in Movile Cave and Buncar Spring, Romania (Popa et al., 2004) were attributed to a two-stage process (Fe monosulphide precipitate replaced by pyrite) that took place under anaerobic conditions within microbial mats. Popa et al. (2004) showed that these framboids had few microcrystallites (10–100) compared with abiotic pyrite framboids that contain up to 10^6 microcrystallites. The pyrite framboids in the Frying Pan Lake stromatolites, which are characterized by low numbers of microcrystallites, may have formed in a manner similar to that of the Romanian framboids because they are restricted to the mucus-rich diatom (?) mats that were periodically established on the surfaces of the stromatolites. The extracellular mucus may have facilitated pyrite formation by limiting access to the oxygen produced during photosynthesis.

The growth of stromatolites is controlled by many different variables that are a function of local water and climatic conditions. Indeed, the internal laminations of stromatolites have...
commonly been attributed to time-dependent cyclic variations in these environmental conditions. Information derived from the Frying Pan Lake stromatolites clearly shows that the textures of their internal laminations are related to environmental conditions and that the cycles of P-, U-, and M-laminae, must be related to some aspect of the environment that are subject to cyclic changes.

Fig. 12. SEM photomicrographs of microbes that form < 5% of microbiota found in stromatolites from outflow channel from Frying Pan Lake. (A–D) Septate filament. White letters 'B', 'C', and 'D' indicate locations of (B), (C), and (D). (E) Spore of unknown affinity. Note connecting neck with small opening. (F) Small diameter filaments embedded in opal-A matrix. (G, H) Perforate spores of unknown affinity. (I) Two small-diameter filaments merged by silicification. (J) Small-diameter septate filament of unknown affinity. (K) Pennate diatom. (L) Kaolinite embedded in opal-A matrix.
Equally, however, it is difficult to relate those laminae to specific environmental parameters.

CONCLUSIONS

The large (3 m × 1 m × 0.5 m), lilypad stromatolites forming around the margins of Frying Pan Lake are constructed mainly by Phormidium spp. They form in acidic (pH: 5.6–5.8) hot (48–52 °C) waters on shallow littoral platforms and along the proximal margins of the outflow channel. Columns grow upwards from a thick green benthic mat until they reach the air–water interface, and are succeeded by lateral outgrowth that forms the flat lilypad plate. Enlargement of the plate shades the underlying column, restricting photosynthesis and reducing lateral expansion of the column. The mat community that forms the surficial plate is more diverse than that in the column, with alternating prostrate and erect laminae, and laminae rich in extracellular gels, possibly secreted by diatoms. This diversity reflects the more variable ecological conditions at the water surface, including periodic minor changes in water level. Framboidal pyrite has formed under reducing conditions in the surficial gels.

The unusual growth morphology may reflect the dense meshwork of the Phormidium colonies and early partial silicification, which together provide sufficient rigidity to enable the columns to grow well in higher energy conditions than those of most other stromatolites. The lilypad morphology contrasts with the coniform stromatolites produced by Phormidium-dominated communities in low-energy hot-spring pools.
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