

1st Midterm Solution - Mathematical Methods

Edwin A. Urbina
edwin.urbina@ucr.ac.cr

1. Give a short answers (three lines) for each of the next questions.

(a) Give the expression for the scalar product for any coordinate system.

$$\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = \sum_{ij} g_{ij} A^i B^j, \quad \text{with} \quad g_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_j}$$

(b) Wich fact does ensure that a transformation matrix is orthogonal?

If S is real and $SS^T = I \Leftrightarrow S^T = S^{-1} \Rightarrow S$ is orthogonal.

(c) Put down the Stokes's Theorem.

$$\int_{\partial S} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_S \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{\sigma}$$

(d) Put down the metric tensor for a curvilinear transformation.

$$g_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_j}, \quad \text{with} \quad x_k = x_k(q_1, q_2, \dots)$$

(e) Give the formal definition for a Hilbert Space.

It is a funtions space with addition (conmute and associate) and multiplication by scalar (conmute, associate and distribute) both closed; generated by an infinite number of numerable funtions and a well defined escalar product.

$$|f\rangle = \sum_j a_j |\varphi_j\rangle = \sum_j |\varphi_j\rangle \langle \varphi_j | f \rangle = \left(\sum_j |\varphi_j\rangle \langle \varphi_j | \right) |f\rangle$$

2. Shows that:

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B})]_i &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\varepsilon_{klm} A_l B_m) \\ &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} (\partial_j (A_l B_m)) \\ &= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) (A_l (\partial_j B_m) + B_m (\partial_j A_l)) \\ &= \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} (A_l (\partial_j B_m)) + \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} (B_m (\partial_j A_l)) - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} (A_l (\partial_j B_m)) - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} (B_m (\partial_j A_l)) \\ &= A_i (\partial_j B_j) + (B_j \partial_j) A_i - (A_j \partial_j) B_i - B_i (\partial_j A_j) \\ &= (B_j \partial_j) A_i - (A_j \partial_j) B_i - B_i (\partial_j A_j) + A_i (\partial_j B_j) \\ &= (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) A_i - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) B_i - B_i (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + A_i (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) \end{aligned}$$

Scaling both sides by $\hat{e}_i$,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B})$$

Q.E.D.

3. Shows that:

(a) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (k\phi\vec{A}) = k[(\vec{\nabla}\phi) \cdot \vec{A} + \phi\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}]$; k constant, ϕ escalar field, $\vec{A}$ vectorial field.

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\nabla} \cdot (k\phi\vec{A}) &= \sum_i \partial_i (k\phi A_i) \\ &= k \sum_i ((\partial_i \phi) A_i + \phi \partial_i A_i) \quad k \text{ does not sums over } i \text{ and by the chain rule} \\ &= k \left[\sum_i (\partial_i \phi) A_i + \phi \sum_i \partial_i A_i \right] \\ &= k [(\vec{\nabla}\phi) \cdot \vec{A} + \phi \nabla \cdot \vec{A}] \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

(b) Div of gravitation force equals zero.

$$\vec{F}_g = -\frac{GMm}{r^2} \hat{r} \quad (1)$$

But $\hat{r} = \vec{r}/r$ and doing $k = -GMm$,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F}_g = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (k(r^{-3})\vec{r}) = k[(\vec{\nabla}r^{-3}) \cdot \vec{r} + r^{-3}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}], \quad \text{by (a)} \quad (2)$$

Computing $(\vec{\nabla}r^{-3}) \cdot \vec{r}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\vec{\nabla}r^{-3}) \cdot \vec{r} &= \left[(\mathbf{i}\partial_x + \mathbf{j}\partial_y + \mathbf{k}\partial_z)(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-3/2} \right] \cdot (x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}) \\ &= -\frac{3}{2}(2x\mathbf{i} + 2y\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k}) \cdot (x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k})(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-5/2} \\ &= -3(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-5/2} \\ &= -3r^{-3} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Computing $r^{-3}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}$,

$$\begin{aligned}r^{-3}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r} &= r^{-3}(\mathbf{i}\partial_x + \mathbf{j}\partial_y + \mathbf{k}\partial_z) \cdot (x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}) \\ &= 3r^{-3} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then, putting (3) and (4) into (2),

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F}_g = k[-3r^{-3} + 3r^{-3}] = 0$$

Q.E.D.

4. Shows that:

$$\int_V \vec{\nabla}\phi \cdot \vec{A} \, d\tau = \int_{\partial V} \phi\vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\sigma} - \int_V \phi\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} \, d\tau$$

By the Gauß Theorem,

$$\int_V \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} \, d\tau = \int_{\partial V} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{\sigma}$$

Let $\vec{B} = \phi\vec{A}$. Then,

$$\int_V \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\phi\vec{A}) \, d\tau = \int_{\partial V} \phi\vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\sigma}$$

By the chain rule,

$$\int_V (\vec{\nabla}\phi \cdot \vec{A} + \phi\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) \, d\tau = \int_{\partial V} \phi\vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\sigma}$$

Or,

$$\int_V \vec{\nabla}\phi \cdot \vec{A} \, d\tau = \int_{\partial V} \phi\vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\sigma} - \int_V \phi\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} \, d\tau$$

Q.E.D.