## **COURSE AND INSTRUCTION EVALUATION SPRING 2016**

## was well prepared for class

and the property of the control of t			
Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.36
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	36.36	
Strongly Agree	6	54.55	

## was knowledgeable about the subject.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

### encouraged student participation

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	18.18	
Agree	1	9.09	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

### graded fairly

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.18
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	2	18.18	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	6	54.55	

#### was available during office hours

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.18
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	6	54.55	
Strongly Agree	4	36.36	

#### was able to interest and motivate students

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.18
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	2	18.18	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	6	54.55	

## presented material in a clear and well organized manner

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

## spoke clearly and was easily understood

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.36
Strongly Disagree	1	9.09	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	9.09	
Agree	1	9.09	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

## specified the objectives of the course through the syllabus

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.73
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	3	27.27	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

## achieved the stated course objectives

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.73
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	3	27.27	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

## was present for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

### was on time for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

#### answered questions satisfactorily

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	

#### was responsive to feedback and suggestions

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.45
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	3	27.27	
Strongly Agree	7	63.64	

## utilized educational technology in teaching

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.64
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	9.09	
Agree	2	18.18	
Strongly Agree	8	72.73	
No Response	0	0.00	

# On a scale of 1 - 5 with 5 being the highest, what is your overall rating of this course?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.30
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	9.09	
Neutral in Agreement	0	0.00	
Agree	4	36.36	
Strongly agree	5	45.45	
No Response	1	9.09	

## How many times have you conferred with this instructor during office hours?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.73
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	1	9.09	
3 - 4	4	36.36	
5 - 6	3	27.27	
More than 6	3	27.27	

How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to use the internet or other educational technology?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.36
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	0	0.00	
3 - 4	2	18.18	
5 - 6	3	27.27	
More than 6	6	54.55	

# How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to write at least one page of material?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.55
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	0	0.00	
3 - 4	2	18.18	
5 - 6	1	9.09	
More than 6	8	72.73	

Respondent	Response
3	I enjoyed that research class. I feel like I learned so much, through micro software, learning how to do graphs, putting numbers, interpreting results.

## **COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR ANALYSIS REPORT - FALL 2016**

## was well prepared for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

## was knowledgeable about the subject.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

#### encouraged student participation

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

### graded fairly

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.50
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	1	10.00	
Strongly Agree	8	80.00	

## was available during office hours

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

#### was able to interest and motivate students

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

## presented material in a clear and well organized manner

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

## spoke clearly and was easily understood

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

## specified the objectives of the course through the syllabus

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.56
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	8	80.00	
No Response	1	10.00	

## achieved the stated course objectives

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	
No Response	0	0.00	

#### was present for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

#### was on time for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.50
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	1	10.00	
Strongly Agree	8	80.00	

#### answered questions satisfactorily

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.50
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	1	10.00	
Strongly Agree	8	80.00	

## was responsive to feedback and suggestions

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

## utilized educational technology in teaching

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	9	90.00	

## On a scale of 1 - 5 with 5 being the highest, what is your overall rating of this course?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 5.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral in Agreement	0	0.00	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly agree	10	100.00	

# How many times have you conferred with this instructor during office hours?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.50
None	1	10.00	
1 - 2	5	50.00	
3 - 4	3	30.00	
5 - 6	0	0.00	
More than 6	1	10.00	

How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to use the internet or other educational technology?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.00
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	0	0.00	
3 - 4	1	10.00	
5 - 6	8	80.00	
More than 6	1	10.00	

## How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to write at least one page of material?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	1	10.00	
3 - 4	3	30.00	
5 - 6	3	30.00	
More than 6	2	20.00	
No Response	1	10.00	

Respondent	Response
5	Excellent. I truly appreciated the knowledge and style.
9	Dr. Jerome's knowledge on the subject matter and her way of conveying it to us is wonderful. She is an asset to the program at FMU!

## **COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR ANALYSIS REPORT - FALL 2016**

## was well prepared for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.58
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	5.26	
Agree	6	31.58	
Strongly Agree	12	63.16	

## was knowledgeable about the subject.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.74
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	5	26.32	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	

#### encouraged student participation

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.58
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	5.26	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	5	26.32	
Strongly Agree	13	68.42	

## graded fairly

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.79
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	15	78.95	

#### was available during office hours

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.68
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	5.26	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	

#### was able to interest and motivate students

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.74
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	5	26.32	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	

## presented material in a clear and well organized manner

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.47
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	2	10.53	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	13	68.42	

## spoke clearly and was easily understood

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.68
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	5.26	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	

## specified the objectives of the course through the syllabus

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.79
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	15	78.95	

## achieved the stated course objectives

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.79
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	15	78.95	

## was present for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.74
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	5	26.32	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	

### was on time for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.63
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	5.26	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	

#### answered questions satisfactorily

4			
Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.79
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	15	78.95	

## was responsive to feedback and suggestions

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.74
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	5.26	
Agree	3	15.79	
Strongly Agree	15	78.95	

## utilized educational technology in teaching

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.78
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	21.05	
Strongly Agree	14	73.68	
No Response	1	5.26	

# On a scale of 1 - 5 with 5 being the highest, what is your overall rating of this course?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.81
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral in Agreement	0	0.00	
Agree	3	15.79	
Strongly agree	13	68.42	
No Response	3	15.79	

## How many times have you conferred with this instructor during office hours?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
None	1	5.26	
1 - 2	1	5.26	
3 - 4	4	21.05	
5 - 6	8	42.11	
More than 6	4	21.05	
No Response	1	5.26	

How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to use the internet or other educational technology?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.56
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	0	0.00	
3 - 4	2	10.53	
5 - 6	4	21.05	
More than 6	12	63.16	
No Response	1	5.26	

# How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to write at least one page of material?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.29
None	1	5.26	
1 - 2	1	5.26	
3 - 4	1	5.26	
5 - 6	3	15.79	
More than 6	11	57.89	
No Response	1	5.26	
Multiple	1	5.26	

Respondent	Response
2	Dr. Jerome is very professional and informative.
3	The program was great and I've learned so much. I am forever grateful for this amazing opportunity that was given. Thank - You. Dr. Jerome's knowledge and passion for education is admirable.
4	Dr. Jerome was always prepare, and always knows how to make us smile.
5	The class was extremely beneficial, and professor was exciting and engaging.
6	Great speakers for the course.
8	An overall great experience! Everyone here was spectacular and we feel like we built a family .
9	Dr. Jerome was very knowlegeable and helpful. I was able to ask questions and know they woul be answered 100%.
10	It was indeed an honor working with dr. Jerome for the past 18 months. She is very goal and result orianted.

Respondent	Response
12	Dr. Jerome was a wonderful professor that was engaging, encouraging and always available when extra assistance was needed. I thank her for making this opportunity rewarding.

## **COURSE AND INSTRUCTION EVALUATION SPRING 2016**

## was well prepared for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.30
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	

## was knowledgeable about the subject.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.40
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	

### encouraged student participation

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.50
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	10.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	

### graded fairly

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.50
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	10.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	

## was available during office hours

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.30
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	
No Response	0	0.00	

#### was able to interest and motivate students

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.33
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	
No Response	1	10.00	

## presented material in a clear and well organized manner

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.20
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	1	10.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	

## spoke clearly and was easily understood

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.30
Strongly Disagree	1	10.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	

## specified the objectives of the course through the syllabus

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	

## achieved the stated course objectives

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	

#### was present for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.30
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	

#### was on time for class

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.30
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	

## answered questions satisfactorily

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.20
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	1	10.00	
Agree	3	30.00	
Strongly Agree	5	50.00	

## was responsive to feedback and suggestions

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.10
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral	1	10.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	4	40.00	

## utilized educational technology in teaching

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.60
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	4	40.00	
Strongly Agree	6	60.00	
No Response	0	0.00	

## On a scale of 1 - 5 with 5 being the highest, what is your overall rating of this course?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	10.00	
Neutral in Agreement	0	0.00	
Agree	5	50.00	
Strongly agree	2	20.00	
No Response	2	20.00	

## How many times have you conferred with this instructor during office hours?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.70
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	0	0.00	
3 - 4	3	30.00	
5 - 6	7	70.00	
More than 6	0	0.00	

How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to use the internet or other educational technology?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.90
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	1	10.00	
3 - 4	2	20.00	
5 - 6	4	40.00	
More than 6	3	30.00	

# How many assignments were you given in this course that required you to write at least one page of material?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.80
None	0	0.00	
1 - 2	1	10.00	
3 - 4	2	20.00	
5 - 6	5	50.00	
More than 6	2	20.00	

Respondent	Response
7	I am so grateful towards this program. I like some of the assignments that was given which helps me did prepare me to become a teacher, however, I feel like there should have been more assignments, lectures leaning towards secondary sudents in special education.