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ABSTRACT: Bimetallic nanostructures were fabricated via aerosol-assisted electroless silver deposition for catalytic CO conversion. An ambient spark discharge was employed to produce nanocatalysts, and the particles were directly deposited on a polytetrafluoroethylene substrate for initiating silver deposition to form Pd–Ag, Pt–Ag, Au–Ag bimetallic nanostructures as well as a pure Ag nanostructure. Kinetics and morphological evolutions in the silver deposition with different nanocatalysts were comparatively studied. The Pt catalyst displayed the highest catalytic activity for electroless silver deposition, followed by the order Pd > Au > Ag. Another catalytic activity of the fabricated bimetallic structures in the carbon monoxide conversion was further evaluated at low-temperature conditions. The bimetallic systems showed significantly higher catalytic activity than that from a pure Ag system.
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INTRODUCTION

Noble metal nanoparticles such as gold (Au), platinum (Pt), and palladium (Pd) nanoparticles have attracted considerable attention because of their exceptional activity as catalysts. Bimetallic nanoparticle systems, in particular, draw higher attention due to their technological and scientific features for improving catalytic properties. Several approaches have been established for fabricating bimetallic structures, including chemical reductions, electrochemical approaches, and vacuum processes. Among these techniques, electroless deposition (ELD) has been regarded as one of the most suitable processes because of its simplicity and low cost.

The mechanism underlying ELD involves activation, which is a catalytic reaction triggered by active particles on the surface of substrates dipped into an electroless bath. The active catalyst acts as an electron carrier for the transfer of electrons from the reducing agent to the Ag ions, hence resulting in further deposition (Scheme 1). Other activation methods can also be employed, such as ion implantation, spin-coating laser exposure, and dip coating.

However, there are still major problems for commercialization, including impurities, complex steps, and environmental pollution from multistep wet chemistry. Moreover, it is hard to determine precise catalytic activity in deposition kinetics because of Sn-protected configuration. It would be advantageous to activate the substrate surface through simple, more effective, and more environmentally friendly means. In previous studies, the authors prepared different metal nanoparticles and used them as activators for ELD. For electroless reaction, the authors synthesized different noble metal nanocatalysts from ambient spark discharges by replacing precursor electrodes; the nanocatalysts were prepared without the use of Sn-sensitization (as impurities, thus deteriorating catalytic activity), and thus showed an enhanced catalytic activity.

As a significant expansion of the previous reports, this work employs ambient spark discharges of noble metals to assess the kinetics and morphological evolution in electroless silver (Ag) deposition. Most efforts have been devoted to Ag deposition via Pd catalysts with less work being performed on the comparison between noble metals. At the initial stage of electroless Ag deposition, the catalytic particles serve as the anodic site at which the reductant can be adsorbed and oxidized. Formally speaking, the electrons released during oxidation travel through the catalytic particles and reduce ionic Ag. The particles act as electron transfer carriers from the reducing agent to the Ag ions, hence resulting in further deposition (Scheme 1).

Fabrication of catalytic membranes via ELD is a widely used technique to form catalyst layers on porous substrates. Carbon monoxide (CO) is an air pollutant, and catalysts containing noble metals have been extensively studied for their abatement of CO through oxidation processes. In particular, noble metal-based bimetallic catalysts exhibited extraordinary high activity at a relatively low temperature due to their strong adsorption ability of CO molecules. Furthermore, the Ag component facilitated completion of research on the effects of the addition of a second metal to noble metal catalysts on the catalytic performance of CO conversion. This is because the
transport of oxygen is no longer a limiting factor because it cannot complicate the kinetic. Hence, in the present work, the fabricated bimetallic nanostructures via electroless Ag deposition on different noble metal nanocatalysts were employed to verify catalytic activity for efficient low temperature CO conversion.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The size distributions of the spark-produced metal particles were measured using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, 3936, TSI, U.S.), and the results are provided in Figure 1. The total number concentration, geometric mean diameter, and geometric standard deviation of the spark-produced Pd particles are $1.81 \times 10^6$ particles cm$^{-3}$, 40.4 nm, and 1.50, respectively. The same data for the other metal particles are summarized in Table SI in the Supporting Information. The discrepancy with the primary particle size on the transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-3010, JEOL, Japan) image (Figure 2a) indicates that the particles agglomerate a few seconds between spark discharge and SMPS measurement. Using coagulation theory, we estimated that the initial primary particle concentration should be closed to the spark between $1 \times 10^9$ and $1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$.

The TEM images (Figure 2a) reveal that both the morphologies of the spark-produced particles were agglomerates of several primary particles (each $\sim$3.6 nm in lateral dimension). The TEM image shows larger sizes of the nanoparticles than those measured by SMPS owing to gathering individual nanoparticle during the direct electrostatic aerosol sampling. In high-magnification TEM images, all the fringes have a gap showing the (111) Miller indices of the face-centered cubic (fcc) lattice for metallic Au, Pt, Pd, and Ag, which indicated that all the spark-produced particles grew predominantly along the (111) lattice and mostly consisted of several nanometer size crystallites. A deposition density ($D_p$) of the substrate with spark-produced nanocatalysts is defined as follows:

$$D_p = \frac{Q t_d A_s^{-1} m^{-1}}{\int_0^{\infty} \eta(D_p) C_s(D_p) dD_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $Q$ is the flow rate of the carrier gas, $t_d$ is the deposition time, $A_s$ is the plane area of the substrate, $\eta$ ($D_p$) is the deposition efficiency, and $C_s$ ($D_p$) is the area concentration of nanocatalysts. The deposition density on a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) substrate was selected to be approximately $1.94 \times 10^{-2}$ mm$^{-2}$ of catalyst mm$^{-2}$ of the substrate. Scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-6500F, JEOL, Japan) images (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) showed that the untreated PTFE substrate had a clean surface, while a number of particles (i.e., nanocatalysts) were deposited on the activated PTFE substrate (Figure 2b). It can be seen that these nanocatalysts are composed of primary particles distributed on substrate surfaces. By looking at the images closely, it is clear that the Ag nanocatalysts are much more uniform than other nanocatalysts, which are probably due to the size difference between Ag and others (16 nm vs 40–66 nm in mobility equivalent diameter) even though spark operation conditions were identical for all of the nanocatalysts. Significant differences in particle concentration ($0.6 \times 10^6$ particles cm$^{-1}$ vs $1.8–2.6 \times 10^6$ particles cm$^{-1}$ in particle number concentration) may also affect the morphology of nanocatalyst layers. Formation of the web-like networks on the substrates, because of gathering individual agglomerates during the activation, was clearly seen in the Au, Pt, and Pd cases because higher concentrations are commonly favorable for agglomerate between primary particles.

Figure 3 shows SEM and energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX, JED-2300, JEOL, Japan) results of the electroless Ag deposited polymer substrates. As shown in Figure 3a, the Ag deposition occurred on the catalyst particles. The catalyst particles effectively acted as activators to initiate Ag deposition. The process was hence clearly observed to occur by the initial nucleation at several sites on the substrate followed by growth around these nucleated sites. Even though the Ag particles were densely packed on the substrates after 10 min of the Ag deposition, their morphologies were quite different. A report

![Scheme 1. Electroless Deposition of Catalytically Activated Polymer Substrates with Different Spark-Produced Metal Particles; Photos of the Activated Substrates Are Also Shown](image-url)
from Byeon and Kim (2010) demonstrated that the morphology of the electroless metal particles was largely influenced by catalyst properties since the nucleation resulted in electroless particles formed directly on top of the catalyst particles (see Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information). In the case of Au nanocatalysts, isolated Ag islands coalesce into near equilibrium compact shapes forming percolating structures. For Pt and Pd nanocatalysts, three distinct stages such as isolated islands, coalesce equilibrium shapes, and continuous deposits during Ag particle growth were clearly observed as they passed through a sequence of morphological changes. In the early stages, isolated islands developed around the nanocatalysts. As the deposition proceeded, they became larger spherical particles but remained compact islands. Such a morphological difference between Au and Pt (or Pd) nanocatalysts in the Ag deposition could be attributed to their lattice parameters (Au = 0.408 nm, Pt = 0.392 nm and Pd = 0.389 nm vs Ag = 0.409 nm). A larger lattice mismatch between Ag and Pt (or Pd) may introduce growth that is significantly larger for Ag islands, whereas Au nanocatalysts with a nearly identical lattice parameter to Ag in crystallinity, resulted in the formation of a flat Ag film. Another difference in electroless Ag size between Pt and Pd nanocatalysts might have originated from different catalytic activities between the nanocatalysts for hydrazine oxidation during Ag ELD. In addition, a morphological difference in nanocatalyst on the substrates might also affect the Ag size. The particle shape of electroless Ag particles deposited on the Ag nanocatalysts resembles the Ag nanocatalysts. The Ag particles deposited on the Ag catalysts surface were also discontinuous, similar to those of Pt and Pd but composed of smaller particles probably because of the scattered distribution of the Ag catalysts. After 10 min of deposition in this experiment, the Ag particles on the spark-produced Ag on the substrate propagated to isotropic growth of the electroless Ag, and thus they had a larger surface coverage than those from Pt and Pd. EDX maps (Figure 3b) correspond to catalysts (Au, Pt, and Pd) and electroless Ag, and the dots in these maps indicate the positions of each element on the substrates. The EDX maps indeed verify that the catalyst particles and electroless Ag coexisted on the substrates. The maps show that both the catalyst and electroless Ag were spread out over the entire surface.

Deposition kinetics could be described as progressive nucleation on nanocatalysts at the initial stage followed by the diffusion of Ag ions toward the growing nucleus islands, and thus the type of catalysts significantly affects the kinetics by providing an alternative reaction path with lower activation energy. According to the inductively coupled spectroscope (Elan 6000, Perkin-Elmer, US) measurements (Figure 4), the Pt catalysts displayed the highest catalytic activity for electroless Ag deposition, followed by the order Pd > Au > Ag, which implies that Ag deposition on Pt catalysts could automatically generate the best catalysis for the ELD to speed up the reaction. This kinetic order is mostly consistent with previous reports where the deposition rates corresponded to their activation energies (see the Supporting Information), i.e., 17 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Pt), 38 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Pd), 59 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Au), and 42 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Ag) for anodic oxidation of hydrazine, although there is a mismatch between Au and Ag nanocatalysts. Nevertheless, the order of deposition kinetics corresponds to the magnitude (Pt > Pd > Au > Ag) of the metal–hydrogen (i.e., catalyst-reductant) bond energy. In other words, the higher energy of the metal–hydrogen bond can induce more reductant adsorption being oxidized on the nanocatalysts. In addition, as can be seen in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information, a steady-state reaction potential that is further observed during the ELD is triggered by the different nanocatalysts. The potentials measured for the different Au, Pt, Pd, and Ag catalysts were −0.64, −0.79, −0.70,
and −0.34 V, respectively. The potentials of the Pt nanocatalysts, which could catalyze ELD, were more negative than those of the Au, Pd, and Ag catalysts, which were able to rapidly catalyze ELD. The potentials of the Pt catalysts reached approximately −0.8 V. Thus, it may be concluded that the ELD rate rises as the potential becomes increasingly negative. A representative X-ray diffraction (XRD, RINT-2100, Rigaku, Japan) profile (inset of Figure 4) shows sharp peaks at around 2θ = 38.2°, 44.4°, 54.5°, and 77.5°. A comparison of these peaks with the data from JCPDS file (No. 01−0783) revealed that these peaks corresponded to the (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes of the fcc phase of Ag, indicating its high crystallinity. A preferred crystal orientation of (111) for all the samples that have undergone a 10 min deposition was evidenced by the XRD results. However, the I(111)/I(200) intensity ratio (~3.1) for Pt and Pd activated cases was significantly different from the Au and Ag activated ones (~1.7). Larger spherical Ag particles normally have polycrystal-
most likely occurs when the CO and O\textsubscript{2} are activated in neighboring noble metal and Ag sites on the bimetallic surfaces. The transfer of an oxygen atom between them is thus more easily facilitated. In Figure S3 in the Supporting Information, one can further see that the bimetallic nanostructures gave \textasciitilde 76\% conversion at 80 °C with 3\% moisture; the CO conversion activity was stable under moist conditions since moisture is one of the major components in practical application environments. We believe the moisture resistance of our membranes may be due to the extremely hydrophobic nature of the PTFE support.

### CONCLUSIONS

This work introduced for the first time a comparison in ELD kinetics with different spark-produced noble metal particles to produce bimetallic nanostructures. The fabricated bimetallic structures were further employed as catalysts for efficient CO conversion at low temperature conditions. Due to differences in the catalytic activity of spark-produced nanocatalysts in anodic oxidation of the reducing agent, different Ag deposition kinetics via different hydrazine depletions in the electroless bath were performed in the order of Pt > Pd > Au > Ag. In the case of catalytic CO conversion, due to the synergetic effect of different metals, the as-prepared bimetallic nanostructures showed dramatic higher CO conversion, compared with that of the monometallic Ag catalyst. This strategy may be attractive for various scientific and/or engineering applications for ELD as catalysts and it can also be applied to other fields, such as (opto)electronics, antimicrobial material, and bioanalysis since the aerosol-assisted ELD of substrates is simple, environmentally friendly, and effective (with fewer impurities).
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