Electrospun polymeric nanofibers were either surface-decorated with zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles or coated with a continuous ZnO thin film with a precise thickness (~27 nm) via atomic layer deposition (ALD) for the fabrication of flexible photocatalytic nanofibrous membranes.

Water pollution is a growing environmental issue which severely threatens human health. Consequently, development of novel materials for water purification and waste treatment is an important research topic. Functional nanomaterials exhibiting photocatalytic properties, along with a very high surface area, have been widely investigated, since these nanostructures are quite effective in the degradation of organic contaminants under UV light and sunlight. For instance, metal oxides such as ZnO and TiO$_2$ are very well known for their photocatalytic activity and therefore, these materials in the form of nanoparticles, nanorods and nanofibers have been studied intensely for water purification purposes. However, the brittle nature of these metal oxides often causes problems when they are used as a membrane. Therefore, designing photocatalytic membranes with flexible character is highly desired. For instance, flexible membranes composed of electrospun polymeric nanofibers functionalized with ZnO nanorods formed by using a hydrothermal method have been shown to be effective for the photocatalytic degradation of organic molecules, so they have the potential for water purification and organic waste treatment. However, novel materials are always needed for the development of advanced filtering systems for water treatment.

In this work, polymeric nanofibers surface-decorated with ZnO nanoparticles (NPs) and a ZnO nanocoating were fabricated using a two-step approach: electrospinning and atomic layer deposition (ALD) (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1, ESI). Initially, polymeric (nylon 6,6) nanofibers with an average fiber diameter of ~80 nm were grown onto smooth surfaces of polymeric nanofibers via ALD by altering the deposition parameters. Altering the ALD parameters resulted in various ZnO morphologies on the polymeric nanofibers; surface-decorated ZnO NPs and highly dense ZnO NPs, and a continuous ZnO nanocoating with a uniform thickness (~27 nm). The resulting ZnO nanostructures onto nanofibers are illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images clearly elucidated that the ALD process did not destroy the fibrous structure of the polymer. In addition, when compared to the pristine polymeric nanofiber, nylon–ZnO nanofibers had rougher surfaces due to the deposition of ZnO NPs and the nanocoating (Fig. 2 and Fig. S2, ESI). Overall, the sample decorated with highly dense ZnO NPs exhibited the highest surface roughness due to the presence of a greater number of individual ZnO NPs (Fig. 2c and 3b).

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images clearly revealed the morphologies of ZnO deposited on polymeric nanofibers (Fig. 3 and Fig. S3, ESI). For the sample shown in
Fig. 2 SEM images of nanofibers: (a) pristine nylon, (b) nylon–ZnO NPs, (c) nylon–
ZnO NPs (highly dense), and (d) nylon–ZnO nanocoating.

Fig. 3a, ALD was performed under dynamic vacuum conditions with 0.015 s pulses and 10 s purges. The resulting morphology was in the form of randomly distributed individual ZnO NPs with an average size of 20 ± 15 nm. When run for 800 cycles, this recipe resulted in a ~90 nm thick uniform ZnO coating on the electrospun nylon nanofibers. The deposition of highly dense ZnO NPs was obtained when the pulse times of diethylzinc (DEZn) and H2O were both increased to 0.09 s (Fig. 3b). The TEM image shown in Fig. 3c belongs to a sample which was prepared by using the exposure mode (a trademark of Cambridge Nanotech Inc.), with 0.015 s pulses, 10 s exposures, and 10 s purges. The exposure mode keeps the precursor molecules inside the reaction chamber for a certain period of time by switching the dynamic vacuum to a static vacuum just before the precursor pulses. After the exposure, the static vacuum is switched back to a dynamic vacuum for purging. The resulting morphology was a ~27 nm thick ZnO coating around the polymeric nanofibers. This process, therefore, yielded a ZnO nanocoating with a uniform thickness over the relatively large surface area of electrospun nanofibers.

All three samples were decorated with ZnO NPs or coated with a uniform ZnO thin film. The formation of NPs instead of a continuous film for the samples prepared under the dynamic vacuum conditions is not due to the limitation of diffusion, since we observed that the precursor molecules can reach every part of the nanofibrous membranes and produce either randomly distributed NPs or a continuous thin film. As the number of precursor molecules increases, the probability for these molecules to coincide with one of the reactive sites on the substrate also increases. Therefore, the increased number of ZnO NPs with the increased DEZn and H2O doses was an expected outcome. Our results indicate that the nucleation and growth of ZnO on polymeric nanofibers proceeds through the formation of islands and subsequent coalescence of these islands to form a continuous film, i.e. Volmer–Weber (3D) growth. The ALD technique has been used to produce conformal and very thin inorganic coatings onto polymeric fibrous materials. In contrast, ZnO NPs have been grown on single-walled carbon nanotubes by ALD. In another study, Libera et al. reported that the ALD of ZnO on amorphous silica forms NPs in the early stages of growth, instead of a uniform thin coating. In our study, by taking advantage of the slow nucleation and/or growth kinetics of ZnO, ZnO NPs were decorated onto polymeric nanofibers under the dynamic vacuum conditions. Although it has been shown that the number of particles can be increased by increasing the precursor doses, the parameters controlling the size of these NPs are currently unknown. Research is underway to investigate the very initial stages of growth, as well as to fully control the morphology of the resulting NPs.

The polycrystalline nature of the deposited ZnO was revealed from the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of the nylon–ZnO nanofibers (Fig. S4, ESI†), which correlate well with the X-ray diffraction (XRD) results (Fig. S5, ESI†). Moreover, bright spots on the polycrystalline diffraction rings were observed for all of the three samples, which showed the existence of large crystal grains. The intensities of the SAED patterns were different for the different morphologies due to the relative amounts of ZnO present on the nanofibers. The semi-crystalline nature of the pristine nylon nanofibers was confirmed by XRD, with two distinct diffraction peaks at 20.4° (100) and 23.0° (010, 110). These peaks, although suppressed due to the deposition of ZnO, were also observed in the XRD patterns of the nylon–ZnO samples, suggesting that the crystalline structure of the polymer was not affected during the ALD process. The crystal structure of ZnO deposited onto the nanofibers by ALD was determined as hexagonal wurtzite structure from the XRD patterns of the samples, in which the diffraction peaks were indexed according to The International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) (Reference Code: 01-074-0040). Similar to the SAED patterns, the intensities of the ZnO diffraction peaks in the XRD patterns were amplified as the relative amount of ZnO on the sample increased.

The surface chemical composition of the nylon–ZnO nanofibers was investigated by using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Table S1, ESI†). As expected, the C 1s, O 1s, and N 1s peaks from the polymer (nylon 6,6) were observed in the XPS scans of both of the nylon–ZnO NP samples. In the case of the nylon–ZnO nanocoating, the N 1s peak could not be detected due to the continuous layer of ZnO, as also proven by TEM analysis (Fig. 3c). In addition, contamination could increase the amount of C observed in the scans for all of the samples. The presence of a Zn 2p3 peaks and the increased intensity of the O 1s peaks in the XPS
Decomposition due to the catalytic activity of ZnO, resulting in earlier polymer temperature observed for the nylon–ZnO nanofibers. This is possibly because of the presence of a large number of individual ZnO NPs, resulting in a higher surface area. This result clearly indicates that there is no need for a continuous ZnO nanocoating on the polymeric nanofibers, since surface-decorated ZnO NPs, depending on their concentration, exhibited similar or improved efficiency for the photocatalytic decomposition of model organic dye compared with the ZnO nanocoating.

In summary, we have fabricated flexible photocatalytic nanofibrous membranes by combining the electrospinning and ALD techniques. By altering the ALD parameters, various ZnO morphologies on polymeric nanofibers such as ZnO NPs or a continuous ZnO nanocoating were obtained. The nanofibrous membrane surface-decorated with highly dense ZnO NPs exhibited the highest efficiency for the photocatalytic decomposition of Rh-B dye due to its large surface area. These functional nanofibrous membranes may find applications as filtering materials for water purification and organic waste treatment. We showed that ALD can provide not only uniform and conformal coatings with precise thickness control, but can also be used to surface-decorate polymeric nanofiber templates with inorganic NPs, and the distribution density of the NPs can easily be adjusted by altering the deposition parameters. This approach can be used to produce functional flexible nanofibrous membranes for filtration, catalysis, sensors, photonics, electronics, energy and biotechnology, depending on the selection of the polymer and inorganic components.
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