Formation of a repressive complex in the mammalian circadian clock is mediated by the secondary pocket of CRY1
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The basic helix–loop–helix PAS domain (bHLH–PAS) transcription factor CLOCK:BMAL1 (brain and muscle Arnt-like protein 1) sits at the core of the mammalian circadian transcription/translation feedback loop. Precise control of CLOCK:BMAL1 activity by coactivators and repressors establishes the ∼24-h periodicity of gene expression. Formation of a repressive complex, defined by the core clock proteins cryptochrome 1 (CRY1):CLOCK:BMAL1, plays an important role controlling the switch from repression to activation each day. Here we show that CRY1 binds directly to the PAS domain core of CLOCK:BMAL1, driven primarily by interaction with the CLOCK PAS-B domain. Integrative modeling and solution X-ray scattering studies unambiguously position a key loop of the CLOCK PAS-B domain in the secondary pocket of CRY1, analogous to the antenna chromophore-binding pocket of photolyase. CRY1 docks onto the transcription factor alongside the PAS domains, extending above the DNA-binding bHLH domain. Single point mutations at the interface on either CRY1 or CLOCK disrupt formation of the ternary complex, highlighting the importance of this interface for direct regulation of CLOCK:BMAL1 activity by CRY1.
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Circadian rhythms allow animals to coordinate behavior and physiology with the environmental light/dark cycle (1). Although a host of cellular processes contribute to the generation of ∼24-h timing at the molecular level (i.e., transcriptional, post-transcriptional, translational, posttranslational), the mammalian transcription factor CLOCK:BMAL1 (brain and muscle Arnt-like protein 1) sits at the core of integrated transcription–translation feedback loops that regulate the rhythmic expression of over 40% of the genome throughout the body (2). In support of its central role, the loss of Bmal1 renders mice arrhythmic in the absence of external time cues, the only single clock gene deletion to do so in mice (3). Disruption of circadian rhythms has been linked to altered cellular homeostasis and disease, yet we still lack fundamental insight into basic mechanisms of clock function, including how core clock proteins interact with each other to control the ∼24-h periodicity of gene expression (4).

Recent studies have suggested the presence of several regulatory complexes of core clock proteins that form throughout the day to establish a dynamic balance of CLOCK:BMAL1 activation and repression. In the morning, CLOCK:BMAL1 is bound at E-box sites on DNA with its coactivator CBP/p300, driving expression of the core clock repressors Period (Per) and cryptochrome (Cry) along with other clock-controlled output genes. Repression begins early in the evening, defined by large heteromultimeric PER:CRY complexes bound to CLOCK:BMAL1 (5–7). The structural basis for formation of these complexes, and whether they occur primarily on or off DNA, is still not well understood (8). Based on ChIP-Seq studies, these complexes appear to remodel or reform over time, evolving to a late repressive complex where CRY1 is bound to CLOCK:BMAL1 on DNA, apparently independently of PER (7). These findings suggest that cryptochromes can work both together and separately from PER to repress CLOCK:BMAL1 activity (8–10). We showed that tuning affinity of CRY1 for the transactivation domain (TAD) of BMAL1 controls circadian period by competing with the coactivator CBP/p300 (11). CRY1 also binds to CLOCK, although it is not yet understood how multivalent interactions with CLOCK:BMAL1 contribute to CRY1 function. Therefore, understanding the molecular basis for recruitment of regulators to CLOCK:BMAL1 will shed light on mechanisms that are crucial for establishing the ∼24-h periodicity of the circadian clock.

Here, we set out to identify how CRY1 interacts with CLOCK:BMAL1 to form a stable ternary complex. We found that the photolyase homology region (PHR) of CRY1 binds directly to the second of two tandem PAS domains (PAS-B) of CLOCK and identified single point mutations on CRY1 and CLOCK PAS-B...
that eliminate complex formation. Using these data to guide HADDOCK (High Ambiguity Driven protein–protein DOCKing) modeling, we found that CRY1 PHR docks directly into the secondary pocket of the CRY1 PHR. This pocket is evolutionarily conserved with photolyase, where it serves as the binding site for an antenna chromophore that is important for repair of UV-induced DNA damage (12). Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) studies of CRY1, CLOCK:BMAL1, and the CRY1:CLOCK:BMAL1 ternary complex highlight structural dynamics of these complexes and validate our low-resolution model of the ternary complex using HADDOCK (22, 23). HADDOCK uses residues identified from experimental studies to guide selection of probable protein–protein interfaces and then performs rigid body docking and simulated annealing protocols to provide clusters of hits that are ranked by energetic considerations and their similarity to one another. Based on previous mutagenesis data and our own studies herein, we used the following residues as active restraints, defined by their importance for binding and solvent accessibility: CRY1: G106, R109, E367; CLOCK, E362, E383, E382; and BMAL1 PAS-B: G332, H360, Q361, W362, W363, E367 (Fig. 3). Using size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) to follow complex formation, we found that CRY1 PHR directly bound the PAS-B dimer interface of CRY1:CLOCK:BMAL1 (Fig. 2A). Further dissection of this interaction revealed that the CLOCK PAS-B domain is important for repression of CLOCK:BMAL1 (11, 19, 20). The entire HI loop is freely accessible in the crystal structure of the CLOCK:BMAL1 basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH)-PAS dimer, protruding out from the PAS-B dimer interface (Fig. 2A) (21). To test the role of the HI loop in binding CRY1, we made a W362A substitution in CRY1 PHR and tested its ability to bind CRY1 using a GST pull-down experiment. This single point mutation disrupted formation of the stoichiometric CRY1:CLOCK PAS-B complex (Fig. 2B). We then explored the importance of W362 for the CRY1:CLOCK interaction in the context of a larger, tandem PAS domain dimer. Although GST-BMAL1 PAS-AB was able to pull down similar amounts of wild-type and W362A CLOCK PAS-AB, CRY1 was only present in a ternary complex with wild-type CLOCK PAS-AB (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, a CLOCK:BMAL1 PAS-AB dimer possessing the W362A mutation no longer coimmunoprecipitated with CRY1 on SEC (Fig. 2D). Collectively, these data demonstrate that stable association of CRY1 with the CLOCK:BMAL1 PAS domain core is predicated on a single, solvent-accessible tryptophan on CLOCK PAS-B.

**The CLOCK PAS-B Domain Dockso into the CRY1 Secondary Pocket.** To better understand the nature of the CRY1:CLOCK PAS-B interface, we generated a computational model of the complex using HADDOCK (22, 23). HADDOCK uses residues identified from experimental studies to guide selection of probable protein–protein interfaces and then performs rigid body docking and simulated annealing protocols to provide clusters of hits that are ranked by energetic considerations and their similarity to one another. Based on previous mutagenesis data and our own studies herein, we used the following residues as active restraints, defined by their importance for binding and solvent accessibility: CRY1: G106, R109, E383, E382; and CLOCK PAS-B: G332, H360, Q361, W362, W363, E367 (Fig. 3). (A) PAS-B domains of CLOCK:BMAL1 (PDB ID code 4F3L; CLOCK, green; BMAL1, blue) with conserved tryptophan in HI loop shown in sticks. Red asterisks indicate mutations in CLOCK that disrupt CRY1 repression of CLOCK:BMAL1. Adjacent PAS-A domains are shown in light blue (BMAL1) and light green (CLOCK). (B) GST pull-down assay of GST-CLOCK PAS-B and GST-CLOCK PAS-B W362A with CRY1 PHR. (C) GST pull-down assay of GST-BMAL1 PAS-AB alone, in the presence of CLOCK PAS-AB or CLOCK PAS-AB W362A with CRY1 PHR. (D) S200 10/300 GL SEC analysis of complex formation with CRY1 PHR and the PAS-AB dimer with W362A CLOCK mutation.
binding pocket (12). The existing crystal structure of mouse CRY1 lacks a short, flexible loop adjacent to this pocket (17), so we solved a structure of the mouse CRY1 PHR (1.8-Å resolution) in a new space group with the goal of visualizing this loop (PDB ID code 5T5X) (Table S1). Although our new structure also lacked density for this loop, it was of higher resolution so we used it along with the CLOCK PAS-B domain (isolated from PDB ID code 4F3L) for HADDOCK modeling. Clusters were ranked using electrostatic, van der Waals and ambiguous interaction restraint energy terms.

A representative model from the top cluster is characterized by a large buried surface area (1994.5 ± 83.2 Å²) mediated by burial of the HI loop and additional sites of contact between the β-sheet of CLOCK PAS-B and CRY1 (Fig. 3B and Fig. S1B). We also noted complementary electrostatic contacts at the interface (Fig. 3C). To test this model experimentally, we made additional point mutations at the observed interface. CLOCK PAS-B H360Y and two mutations in CRY1 (P39A and R109Q) each disrupted formation of a CRY1:CLOCK PAS-B complex as shown by loss of CLOCK PAS-B comigration with CRY1 (peak 1) and the presence of a new peak for the isolated CLOCK PAS-B domain (peak 2) by SEC (Fig. 3D). This finding is consistent with the inability of CRY1 R109Q to coimmunoprecipitate with CLOCK:BMAL1 and reconstitute circadian rhythms in cell-based cycling assays (24). Additionally, mutations that eliminate CRY1:CLOCK PAS-B complex formation in vitro also significantly reduce repressive activity of full-length mCRY1 in steady-state luciferase reporter assays (Fig. S2), demonstrating that these phenotypes are mediated by a direct interaction between CRY1 and the CLOCK:BMAL1 complex at the secondary pocket.

**Solution Scattering Studies Highlight Flexibility of Clock Protein Complexes.** To examine the behavior of the late circadian repressive complex in more detail, we used the solution-based technique of SAXS. We first performed SAXS analysis on the isolated CRY1 PHR and CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB heterodimer individually to provide insight into their behavior before assembling the ternary complex. Scattering data were collected at several concentrations; both CRY1 PHR and CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB samples were well-behaved, showing no radiation damage or aggregation as demonstrated by Guinier analysis (Fig. S3). The mass and radius of gyration determined from our analysis of the SAXS data agreed with values calculated from the crystal structures of CRY1 and CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB. We then used the SAXS profile calculation server FoXS to generate a theoretical model of the CRY1:CLOCK:BMAL1 complex.

**Fig. 3.** CLOCK PAS-B docks into secondary pocket of CRY1. (A) Representative PDB from top HADDOCK cluster (cluster 1). Active residues used to guide the docking are shown in orange (CRY1) and light green (CLOCK). CRY1 PHR unstructured secondary pocket loop is shown in an orange dashed line. See Table S2 for details on HADDOCK cluster statistics. (B) Surface representation of CRY1:CLOCK PAS-B HADDOCK model. (C) Electrostatic representation of CRY1:CLOCK PAS-B HADDOCK model. Surface potential maps were generated using the Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solver in University of California, San Francisco Chimera (43). The secondary pocket of CRY1 and HI loop of CLOCK PAS-B are highlighted in the dashed box analogous to PASS-AB samples were well-behaved, showing no radiation damage as demonstrated by Guinier analysis (Fig. S3). The mass and radius of gyration determined from our analysis of the SAXS data agreed with values calculated from the crystal structures of CRY1 and CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB. We then used the SAXS profile calculation server FoXS to generate a theoretical model of the CRY1:CLOCK:BMAL1 complex.
scattering profile of CRY1 PHR based on our crystal structure (Fig. 4A) (25). Comparison of the theoretical scattering profile to the experimental data provided a fit within the noise (χ² = 1.13), indicating that CRY1 PHR maintains a compact structure in solution that is similar to its crystal structure. Moreover, our crystal structure of CRY1 PHR fit well into a corresponding solution envelope consistent with the pairwise distribution function (Figs. 4B and 5A).

In contrast, the experimental scattering profile of the CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB dimer was not well fit by the theoretical scattering profile calculated from its crystal structure (FoXS, χ² = 5.93) (Fig. 4C). The PAS-A domains of CLOCK and BMAL1 both possess long, flexible loops that are not observed in the crystal structure (12% and 26% of the sequence, respectively) (21). To better describe the motions of these dynamic regions, we used MODELER v9.15 to build in the missing fragments (26) and MultiFoXS to sample a range of possible conformations constrained by the SAXS data. As a result, we found conformations that fit the experimental scattering profile within the noise (χ² = 1.43) (Fig. 4D) (27, 28). The top structural ensemble resulting from this analysis highlighted two main findings: (i) the loops absent from the crystal structure are highly flexible in solution and contribute significantly to the scattering profile of the PAS domain core, and (ii) the interface between CLOCK and BMAL1 PAS-B domains may be dynamic. Our best fits were obtained using a model where the PAS-B domains were able to sample an undocked state, suggesting that the PAS-B domains may exist in more than one state in solution. Given that multiple regions within the PAS domain core of CLOCK:BMAL1 are known to be important for its function (21, 29), characterization of their dynamic behavior in solution could begin to shed light on their role in regulation of DNA binding and CLOCK:BMAL1 transcriptional activity.

**Low-Resolution Model of the CRY1:CLOCK:BMAL1 Ternary Complex.**

The use of SAXS to guide and validate computational models of protein complexes can be a powerful tool with high-resolution structures in hand for individual components (30). To generate a low-resolution model for the ternary complex, we purified the CRY1 PHR together with the CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB dimer as a stable ternary complex by SEC and collected SAXS data (Fig. 5). Analysis of the scattering profiles confirmed the presence of all three molecules, consistent with the molecular weight of the ternary complex (Fig. S4A–C). Furthermore, the ternary complex showed a maximum particle size (Dmax) of 195 Å, much longer than either CRY1 or CLOCK:BMAL1 alone (86 Å and 115 Å, respectively) (Fig. S4A). The elongated Dmax of the ternary complex suggests that CRY1 extends out from the CLOCK:BMAL1 bHLH PAS-AB dimer.

We assessed models for the ternary complex using two methods. First, we used FoXSDock, which combines experimental data and analysis of calculated energies at predicted interfaces to best fit the SAXS profile of a complex from two known structures. In agreement with the long Dmax, the top FoXSDock model of the ternary complex (χ² = 2.22) placed CRY1 alongside the PAS-AB core, docked at the CLOCK PAS-B interface (Fig. 5B and C). Importantly, each of the statistically degenerate top ensembles independently placed CRY1 at the CLOCK PAS-B interface. However, there was some ambiguity in the positioning of CRY1 using the SAXS data alone, as the experimental scattering profile was equally fit by several orientations of CRY1 bound to the HI loop protrusion in CLOCK PAS-B. We then examined how well our HADDOCK model fit the data when aligned onto the bHLH PAS-AB dimer via the CLOCK PAS-B domain. As shown in Fig. 5B, both methods provided reasonable fits to the experimental data, as shown by the overlay of a representative model of HADDOCK (FoXSDock HADDOCK χ² = 2.74) (Fig. S4D), with the best-scored SAXS-driven model (FoXSDock SAXS). Importantly, both of these models orient CRY1 such that its coiled-coil (CC) helix sits on the top of the ternary complex, available to make interactions with the BMAL1 TAD and other clock proteins that target this critical interface (Fig. 5C) (11, 24, 31, 32). Therefore, the integration of biochemistry, SAXS, and computational modeling provide low-resolution models of the CRY1:CLOCK:BMAL1 ternary complex.

As with our SAXS studies of the CLOCK:BMAL1 heterodimer, scattering data for the ternary complex were best fit by a model where the PAS-B domains of CLOCK and BMAL1 were no longer tightly bound to each other, with the heterodimer maintained by interactions between the N-terminal PAS-A domains (Fig. 2C) and bHLH domains (Fig. 5B). To test whether CRY1 binding influences the association of CLOCK and BMAL1 PAS-B domains with one another, we performed binding assays using the heterodimer of isolated PAS-B domains. The PAS-B domains of CLOCK and BMAL1 form a complex that comigrates by SEC (Fig. S5). Using NMR and SEC, we confirmed that the PAS-B domains maintain a parallel, stacked orientation in isolation similar to that observed in the bHLH PAS-AB structure (21) (Fig. S5). We then asked if binding of CRY1 would influence the interaction between CLOCK and BMAL1 PAS-B domains in the dimer. SEC of CRY1 with a preformed CLOCK:BMAL1 PAS-B dimer demonstrated that binding of CLOCK PAS-B to CRY1 disrupted its interaction with BMAL1 PAS-B (Fig. 5D and E). Taken together, these data indicate that CRY1 binding to CLOCK:BMAL1 may influence the architecture of the PAS domain core.

**Discussion**

Although it has been nearly two decades since the identification of cryptochromes and discovery of their essential role in circadian...
rhythms, it is still not clear how cryptochromes interact with CLOCK:BMAL1 to inhibit their activity and close the transcription–translation feedback loop of the clock (33). Probing the molecular details of transcription factor–regulator interactions in the clock is important, because they control 24-h timekeeping and generate a vast network of clock-controlled genes that confer circadian timing to physiology and behavior. Here we show that CRY1 interacts directly with the CLOCK:BMAL1 PAS-AB core. We previously demonstrated that multivalent interactions with CLOCK PAS-B and the BMAL1 TAD are required for repression by CRY1 (11, 19). We suggest that CRY1 binding to the PAS-B domain of CLOCK keeps the repressor stably bound to the transcription factor, facilitating its sequestration of the BMAL1 TAD from coactivators (Fig. S5F). In this way, multivalent interactions contribute to the potency of CRY1 as an essential circadian repressor even when expressed at approximately stoichiometric levels with CLOCK:BMAL1 (18).

We identify a gain-of-function interaction at the secondary pocket of mouse CRY1 and demonstrate that it is required to bind CLOCK:BMAL1. This pocket is a remnant of cryptochromes’ evolutionary relationship with the DNA damage repair enzyme, photolyase (17, 34). Photolyases use this pocket to bind an antenna chromophore that harvests photons in low-light conditions, transferring the energy to a flavin molecule buried deep within the catalytic pocket to repair UV-induced thymine dimers (35). The PHR of cryptochromes shares a high degree of structural similarity with photolyases, yet mammalian cryptochromes no longer repair DNA, and presumably have need for an antenna chromophore. We found that the bulky aromatic sidechain of CLOCK W362 is buried within the secondary pocket, exhibiting some similarity to light-harvesting chromophores that dock into the analogous pocket in photolyase. Because of the potent ability of the CLOCK W362A mutation to disrupt CRY1 binding, we propose that it could be a useful tool to specifically uncouple the direct regulation of CLOCK:BMAL1 by CRY1 in cells, allowing the functional dissection of different repressive complexes on CLOCK:BMAL1 that appear throughout the evening (7, 36).

PAS domains play crucial roles in the regulation of bHLH–PAS transcription factors by mediating interactions between bHLH–PAS partners and recruitment of regulatory proteins (37, 38). We focused here on the role that the CLOCK PAS-B domain HI loop plays in binding CRY1, but HI loops in the PAS-B domains of other clock proteins also play central roles in establishing clock protein complexes. For example, HI loop tryptophans in PER proteins mediate their PAS domain–dependent hetero- and homodimerization (39, 40), whereas the analogous tryptophan in BMAL1 PAS-B embeds itself within an internal pocket in CLOCK PAS-B to stabilize the PAS-B dimer (21). Our analysis of the SAXS data shows that the CLOCK:BMAL1 PAS-B interface likely samples open and closed states in solution. We also showed that CRY1 binding further stabilizes the open state by disrupting dimerization of PAS-B domains. Small molecules that bind to the internal pocket of the related hypoxia-inducible factor 2α PAS-B domain allosterically regulate protein interactions at the PAS-B domain (41), suggesting that CRY1 binding could act similarly to regulate docking of BMAL1 through the central pocket of CLOCK. These data highlight the potential importance of protein dynamics and allosteric regulation in controlling the architecture of clock protein complexes.

The combination of static, high-resolution structures from X-ray crystallography with solution studies of proteins by NMR and SAXS is needed to fully describe the role of flexibility in regulating formation of protein complexes. By studying the structural dynamics of the core bHLH PAS-AB dimer of CLOCK:BMAL1 in
solution, we pave the way to study new, highly flexible regions of the transcription factor that control circadian rhythms. For example, our best-fit SAXS models indicate that, long, flexible loops in the PAS-A domains, not observed in the crystal structure, are highly dynamic and sample a large area around the PAS domain dimer. This flexibility could play a role in regulating CLOCK:BMAL1 activity (29). These data also lay the foundation for future studies on the role of the disordered CRY1 C-terminal extension, which controls circadian period and amplitude (42). Understanding the structural basis for mutual exclusivity or synergy of clock protein interactions will provide a framework to elucidate the mechanistic underpinnings of the transcription-based mammalian circadian clock.

Materials and Methods

For details on protein expression and purification, SEC, HADDOCK modeling, SAXS, crystallization, structure determination, pull-down assays, and NMR, see SI Materials and Methods.
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