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Introduction

The “gold standard” for detection of enteric pathogens in stool samples is bacterial culture using a variety of selective and differential media. However, culture methods can require several days to complete and are targeted for the detection of bacteria that can be grown in culture. There is need for qualitative and quantitative tests that are more rapid than bacterial culture. Real-time detection polymerase chain reaction (RTD-PCR) has been applied for the detection of food-borne pathogens (12), cancer (3,7,11), genetic diseases (20) and infectious diseases (6,8,10,13). This method produced a linear quantitative detection range of 7 logs, with a lower detection limit of 10³ colony-forming units (CFU)/g tissue or a few copies per reaction. (14)

In 2007, a diagnostic testing laboratory (“Subject Laboratory”) began offering a stool-screening test that uses a proprietary DNA method to identify gut microbiota including anaerobes. The Subject Laboratory claims that their DNA assessment is specific, accurate, avoids the pitfalls of sample transport, reports results as specific numbers, and is more sensitive than classic laboratory methods. Their stated cutoff for clinically significant pathogens is 1 x 10³ organisms/gram. The purpose of this study was to assess the accuracy and specificity of this new testing modality by conducting a proficiency analysis study performed by an independent Life Sciences research organization (IIT Research Institute [IITRI], Chicago).

Materials and Methods

Stool Inoculation: Human stool was utilized as a matrix in which to spike known concentrations of various bacterial pathogens. All samples were prepared from a human stool pool that served as the consistent control matrix for all samples. This matrix also provided a background of normal stool flora and was used throughout the study. The test platforms were the Subject Laboratory’s Specimen Collection Kits that were prepared as instructed by the package inserts. One gram of stool was added to each of three vials containing either C&S Medium, 10% Formalin Fixative, or Nucleic Acid Collection Solution. Each vial was subsequently spiked with 0.1mL of bacterial target concentrations at either approximately 1.0 x 10⁷ CFU/mL or 1.0 x 10⁴ CFU/mL. All samples including the normal unaltered stool specimen were shipped to the Subject Laboratory via overnight courier the same day they were prepared with a request for stool analysis.
Bacteria Used: Cryovials containing frozen aliquots of *Shigella sonnei*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Aeromonas caviae*, *Plesiomonas shigelloides*, *Edwardsiella tarda*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and *Clostridium difficile* were utilized. Bacterial preparations were made after aseptically inoculating bacteria into 25 mL of Trypticase Soy Broth. *S. sonnei*, *S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *A. hydrophilia*, *P. shigelloides*, *E. tarda*, and *Y. enterolytica* spiked broths were incubated overnight at 37 ± 2°C overnight. *C. jejuni* and *C. difficile* broths were cultured in anaerobic jars with BD GasPaks™ for 2-3 days at 40 ± 2°C and for 2 days at 37 ± 2°C, respectively.

Colony Counts: Each overnight incubated culture was diluted in 0.1% peptone to a concentration of approximately 1.0 x 10⁷ colony forming units/mL (CFU/mL) using McFarland standardization. Serial dilutions were plated in quintuplicate to confirm the concentration of the spike-aliquots. Titer plates were incubated for the various bacteria as described.

Results

A total of 34 stool samples were sent for Stool Testing. The stool pool was tested extensively, using conventional methodologies, on two separate days and found to be free of entero pathogenic bacteria, yeast and parasites. Thirty-one specimens were spiked with bacterial pathogens at clinically significant levels that are within the sensitivity of culture based methods, and at higher levels well above the Subject Laboratory’s reported lower limit for detection of pathogens. Three “control” specimens were unaltered and contained no bacterial, fungal or parasitic pathogens. All 31 stool specimens containing bacterial pathogens were reported negative for the indicated pathogens by the Subject Laboratory. Seventeen samples were reported as “Parasite present, taxonomy unavailable.” Fifteen samples from the same stool specimen were reported as “No Ova or Parasites.” One specimen was reported to contain *Cryptosporidium* sp. and one specimen was reported to contain *Enterotheus vermicularis*. Two of the samples that were reported to contain “Parasite present, taxonomy unavailable,” were also reported to contain *Cryptosporidium* sp. Complete results are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Organism Added to Normal Stool Specimen</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Normal Stool Flora</th>
<th>Opportunistic Bacteria</th>
<th>Pathogenic Bacteria</th>
<th>Yeast/ Fungi</th>
<th>Parasites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Shigella sonnei</em></td>
<td>3.4x10² CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Shigella sonnei</em></td>
<td>3.4x10⁵ CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
<td>4.4x10² CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
<td>4.4x10⁵ CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4+ =&gt; 1000000pg DNA/g specimen Geotricum sp.</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>E. coli 0157:H7</em></td>
<td>2.8x10² CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cryptosporidium sp. Positive, Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>E. coli 0157:H7</em></td>
<td>2.8x10⁵ CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter jejuni</em></td>
<td>2.8x10² CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>Organism Added to Stool Specimen</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Normal Stool Flora</td>
<td>Opportunistic Bacteria</td>
<td>Pathogenic Bacteria</td>
<td>Yeast/ Fungi</td>
<td>Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campylobacter jejuni</td>
<td>2.8x10^5 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7.3 X 10^7 Bacillus sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vibrio parahemolyticus</td>
<td>5.8x10^1 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Vibrio parahemolyticus</td>
<td>5.8x10^4 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cryptosporidium sp. Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Aeromonas caviae</td>
<td>3.4x10^2 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Aeromonas caviae</td>
<td>3.4x10^5 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Plesiomonas shigelloides</td>
<td>4.4x10^2 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Plesiomonas shigelloides</td>
<td>4.4x10^5 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Enterobius vermicularis Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Edwardsiella tarda</td>
<td>9.5x10^2 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cryptosporidium sp. Positive, Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Edwardsiella tarda</td>
<td>2.4x10^3 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Edwardsiella tarda</td>
<td>9.5x10^5 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Yersinia enterocolitica</td>
<td>5.0x10^2 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.0 X 10^8 Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yersinia enterocolitica</td>
<td>5.0x10^5 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile</td>
<td>2.4x10^1 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile</td>
<td>2.4x10^4 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Normal Stool Flora</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Normal Stool Flora</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Shigella sonnei</td>
<td>6.5x10^3 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Shigella sonnei</td>
<td>6.5x10^6 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Yersinia enterocolitica</td>
<td>9.0x10^3 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Yersinia enterocolitica</td>
<td>9.0x10^6 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>E. coli 0157:H7</td>
<td>5.6x10^3 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>Organism Added to Normal Stool Specimen</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Normal Stool Flora</td>
<td>Opportunistic Bacteria</td>
<td>Pathogenic Bacteria</td>
<td>Yeast/ Fungi</td>
<td>Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>E. coli 0157:H7</td>
<td>5.6x106 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Vibrio parahemolyticus</td>
<td>9.2x102 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Vibrio parahemolyticus</td>
<td>9.2x105 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6.1 X 107 Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile</td>
<td>5.4x102 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile</td>
<td>5.4x105 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Normal Stool Flora</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>E. coli 0157:H7</td>
<td>5.6x103 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>E. coli 0157:H7</td>
<td>5.6x106 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Vibrio parahemolyticus</td>
<td>9.2x102 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Vibrio parahemolyticus</td>
<td>9.2x105 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6.1 X 107 Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Parasite Present; taxonomy unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile</td>
<td>5.4x102 CFU/g</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Ova or Parasites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

There is a growing demand for faster results for microbiology testing and a growing demand for molecular based analyses that promise results on demand. However, molecular based testing for stool pathogens is still under development and there are currently no FDA cleared *in vitro* assay commercially available. In this study we challenged the claims of a CLIA licensed laboratory that offers a novel DNA method for identifying microorganisms in human stool samples. Our survey showed that the subject laboratory was unable to identify any of the ten enteric pathogens added to a normal stool specimen even though the quantities of microorganisms added were at levels above the stated threshold of detection for the novel assay. Furthermore, the subject laboratory reported “parasites present” in 50% of the samples tested even though no parasites were added to the survey samples and an equal number of the same stool sample were reported negative for parasites.

Other investigators have reported the successful application of molecular methods for detection of microorganisms from human gastrointestinal samples. Real-time PCR has been successfully applied for quantification of bacterial DNA in feces (2,9,15,19), colonic tissue (4), rumen (18), gastric tissue (5) and periodontal samples (1). Rinttilä and colleagues designed an extensive set of real-time PCR assays targeting a large group of predominant and pathogenic human gut microbial species. They demonstrated that real-time PCR using SYBR Green I chemistry has an advantage of being a very sensitive and precise technique for an extensive quantitative evaluation of the gut microbiota and is also feasible for detection of human pathogens from fecal samples. Using fecal samples spiked with various amounts of target bacteria they demonstrated detection limits could be obtained that were between $6 \times 10^3$ (\textit{H. pylori}) and $6 \times 10^4$ (\textit{Clostridium difficile} and \textit{Campylobacter jejuni}) cells per gram of feces (16). In a subsequent publication, Rinttilä et al. used quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) panel to detect 12 pathogenic microorganisms from fecal samples of irritable bowel syndrome subjects (17).

Some laboratories have developed in-house
assays and offer them commercially with the nomenclature of Lab Developed Tests (LDTs). They offer these assays under the banner of a CLIA licensed laboratory and provide a disclaimer on the patient report stating that the “Assay is not FDA cleared and results should not be used for patient diagnosis.” Such is the case for the laboratory that is the subject of this study. The results from the stool analysis are labeled with the following disclaimer: “These test results are not for the diagnosis of disease. They are intended to provide nutritional guidelines to qualified healthcare professionals with full knowledge of patient history and concerns to assist in their design of an appropriate healthcare program.” However, when a sample of physicians who use the Subject laboratory for stool analysis were asked if they derive from the Subject laboratory for patient diagnosis they all said yes and pointed to the fact the laboratory was CLIA licensed so they concluded that the test results must be valid. We should point out that there is no proficiency testing survey available for the assay that is performed by the Subject laboratory, the method being used is proprietary and has not been published and the laboratory is not willing to provide their verification study data to their clients.

Although there is a need to develop rapid molecular testing assays for characterization of the gut microbiome, physicians and patients need to be aware that all stool analysis assays may not be valid and users of these assays should demand to see verification study data in order to discern the claims of the commercial entity offering the lab developed assay. The claims made by the Subject Laboratory that their DNA assessment of stool samples is specific and accurate, could not be supported by this independently conducted proficiency challenge.

Editor’s note: The poster presentation from these authors is presented immediately below; readers can magnify the image for better viewing of details.
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Introduction

The term inborn error of metabolism was coined by British physician, Archibald Garrod (1857-1936), in the early 20th century (1908). He is known for the "one gene, one enzyme" hypothesis, which arose from his studies on the nature and inheritance of alkaptonuria. His seminal text, Inborn Errors of Metabolism was published in 1923 (1).

Inborn errors of metabolism comprise a large number of genetic diseases which involves disorders of metabolism. The majority are due to defects of single genes that code for enzymes. Inborn errors of metabolism are inherited disorders. These disorders may be caused by the altered activity of essential enzymes, deficiencies of the substances that activate the enzymes, or faulty transport of important metabolic compounds.

Inborn errors of metabolism often require dietary changes. The particular enzyme absence or inactivity for each inborn error of metabolism dictates which components are restricted and which should be supplemented. The goals of nutrition therapy are to correct the metabolic imbalance and promote growth and development by providing the adequate needed nutrition, while also restricting (or supplementing) one or more nutrients or dietary components. These restrictions and/or supplementations are specific for each disorder. Inborn errors of metabolism, if subtle can accumulate incomplete metabolic products that can give rise to chronic degenerative diseases.

Chronic degenerative diseases are diseases in which the function or structure of affected tissues or organs will progressively deteriorate over time, whether due to normal bodily wear or lifestyle choices such as exercise or eating habits. These long-lasting diseases are characterized by a slow, progressive deterioration. Degenerative diseases are major causes of morbidity and death (2).

We believe that the main human degenerative diseases are divided into four groups: cardiovascular, neoplastic, structural and diseases of the nervous system. This paper will discuss some relevant aspects (i.e., genetics, biochemical, nutritional and patho/physiological) in order to answer the question whether CDD should be considered inborn errors of metabolism.

Why we should consider chronic degenerative diseases as inborn errors of metabolism?

The idea of considering chronic degenerative diseases as inborn errors of metabolism is supported by a large amount of evidence concerning the hereditary and biochemical aspects of diseases.
Some genetic disorders are inherited, while other genetic diseases are caused by acquired changes or mutations in a preexisting gene or group of genes. Mutations occur either randomly or due to some environmental exposure. Any change that affects the quantity or quality of metabolic enzymes predisposes to an adverse physiological condition. Even though many conditions per se are not inherited, the predisposition to suffer or to be at risk from the condition is. This is the main reason why we consider chronic degenerative diseases as inborn errors of metabolism.

Chronic diseases may be caused by genetic factors and environment (lifestyle) and their interaction (i.e. epigenetics) play an important role, and may cause genes to (or fail to manifest) in particular ways. In spite of this, if we submit two non-related individuals to the same conditions why one develops the condition and the other one does not. Clearly, genetic mutations are not the only components at work in the body, the genetic predisposition is relevant as is the biochemical individuality of each individual.

Degenerative diseases can manifest themselves in the human body when the body is out of physical and chemical balance. Degenerative diseases are not a local condition just like cancer is not just a tumor, they are chronic, systemic, metabolic dysfunctions, usually characterized by specific dietary deficiencies or insufficiencies, a host of pathological conditions and a series of chemical, physical, mental and energy imbalances.

The concept underlying an individualized, integrated metabolic program is that of biochemical individuality which addresses the patient’s deficiency and excess levels, biochemical function, energy level, and psychological factors. Certain individuals have a greater need than that supplied by the diet (even a good dietary regime). Their needs may vary from 10 to 1,000 times the physiological requirement. This could be caused by: Digestive problems, poor absorption, food sensitivities, difficulty in the metabolism of certain amino acids, fatty acids, complex carbohydrates, levels in the precursors of neurotransmitters, etc.

This lack of needed cofactors has the problem that it shows no specific symptoms. Some vague symptoms such as lethargy, irritability, insomnia and difficulty in concentrating may be present. Also it affects the body’s ability to resist disease and infection, its ability to recover from exercise, surgery, disease, the ability of the brain to function at a high level. Detecting and treating disease at its earliest stages of cellular biochemical abnormality, rather than waiting for clear clinical symptoms is a cost effective measure and of benefit to the patient. We must have very clear in our minds that nutrient deficiency diseases are the end product of a long and complex series of nutrient depletion reactions.

**Enzyme Control of Metabolic Reactions**

Enzymes are often linked in multistep pathways, such that the product of one reaction becomes the substrate for another. In addition, the multiple steps provide additional levels of regulation, and intermediates can be shunted into other pathways to make other products. When all the enzymes in a pathway are functioning properly, intermediates rarely build up to high concentrations. This is the basis of the Metabolic Correction concept.

**Metabolism and the Metabolic Correction Concept**

The Metabolic Correction Concept provides the biochemical explanation of how to use nutrients for prevention and therapeutic purposes against disease. Metabolic Correction is a functional biochemical/physiological concept that explains how improvements in cellular biochemistry help the body achieve metabolic or physiological optimization. Impaired or incomplete cellular biochemical reactions are amended with Metabolic Correction.

**Enzyme Defects Cause Metabolic Disorders**

It has been documented that the main cause of enzyme defects are genetic mutations that affect the structure or regulation of the enzyme or that create problems with the transport, processing, or binding of enzymatic cofactors. In general, the consequences of an enzyme deficiency are due to perturbations of the cellular biochemistry, because of either a reduction in the amount of an essential product, the buildup or production of a toxic intermediate or side product. All these tribulations are probably due to a lack or limitation of necessary enzymatic cofactors and coenzymes.

**Polymorphisms, Nutrigenomics and Genetic Nutritioneering**

The enzymopathy (disturbances of enzyme function) present in these conditions are determinant in the further development of chronic degenerative diseases. are generally characterized by uncertain etiology, multiple risk factors, a long latency period, a prolonged course of illness, non-contagious origin, functional impairment or disability, and incurability. Nevertheless we believe that with proper metabolic correction; these polymorphic inborn errors of metabolism can be made functional through proper metabolic corrections in the patient’s physiology as a more effective manner to successfully treat or prevent disease. In order to fully understand this idea, the concept that we first have to embrace is biochemical individuality. Biochemical individuality refers to the unique nutritional needs each person has,
based on their genetics, lifestyle, and environmental exposure to various stresses.

Dr. Roger Williams contributed to the understanding of the molecular origin of disease with the development of the concept of biochemical individuality (4). He described anatomical and physiological variations among people and how they related to their individual responses to the environment. He was the first to gain recognition for the term biochemical individuality and how this related to differing nutritional needs for optimal function among different people. He pointed out that even identical twins could be different in their needs for optimal function based upon the fact that they developed in different environments in utero. Although identical twins share the same genes, their differing nutrition and developmental environments can result in different expression of the genes as they grow older. The second important concept we need to understand is the recognition that nutritional status can influence the expression of genetic characteristics. It is now well recognized that our genotype gets transformed into our phenotype as a consequence of nutritional, lifestyle and environmental factors which are important in determining our eventual health patterns.

Dr. Williams coined the term genetotrophic disease to describe diseases which resulted from genetically determined nutritional metabolic needs not being met by the individual and which result in faulty gene expression. Motulsky explained that many the common degenerative diseases are the result of the imbalance nutritional intake with genetically determined needs for good health (5).

The principle can explain some of these discrepancies since every individual organism has a distinctive genetic background and therefore distinctive nutritional needs. Although all human beings operate on the same general physical mechanisms and the same metabolic processes, the individual physical structures and genetically determined enzyme efficiencies vary sufficiently between individuals so that the effect of all the combined reactions in one body may be completely different from that in another individual, even if of the same age, sex, and body size (4). These concepts can irreversibly change the way medicine is practiced and may result in the extension of both life expectancy and health span, or disease-free years of life.

A person’s particular genetics influences on how much of a specific nutrient they need. For example, folic acid is a B-vitamin that is relevant for cardiovascular and neurological health. One important role of folic acid is to decrease the amount of homocysteine that may accumulate as a normal part of metabolism. Homocysteine is an amino acid by product of methionine that plays a role in the development of heart disease, osteoporosis, dementia, and cancer. Folic acid is required to break down homocysteine. In order for folic acid to do this, it must be activated by the enzyme methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR). MTHFR is produced by the body and coded for by a specific gene. People can have different variations of this gene, which slightly changes the structure of MTHFR. This structural change can reduce its function by 30–65%, meaning that it may not be able to activate folic acid as easily. People who have the gene that decreases the MTHFR activity require higher doses of folic acid or an activated form of the vitamin to effectively push the reaction forward and decrease homocysteine. The requirement for folic acid is greater in people with this genetic variation.

G6PD (Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase) human polymorphism, is a cytosolic enzyme in the pentose phosphate pathway, a metabolic pathway that supplies reducing energy to cells (such as erythrocytes) by maintaining the level of the co-enzyme nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH). G6PD deficiency is the most common human enzyme defect. Individuals with the disease may exhibit non-immune hemolytic anemia in response to a number of causes, most commonly infection or exposure to certain medications or chemicals. The NADPH in turn maintains the level of glutathione in these cells that helps protect the red blood cells against oxidative damage. Patients with this deficiency should not receive vitamin C infusions because it can cause hemolytic anemia. The regulation of gene expression gives the cell control over the versatility and adaptability of any organism and serves as a substrate for evolutionary change. This is profound since our diet has impact on our genetic code which is passed on to the next generation. The more nutritious our diet, the stronger will be the gene pool.

**The Km concept**

Approximately 50 different human genetic diseases are due to a poor binding affinity (Km) of the mutant enzyme for its coenzyme. This can be remedied by feeding high-dose B vitamins, which raise levels of the corresponding coenzyme. Many polymorphisms also result in a lowered affinity of the enzyme for the coenzyme (6). This should be of interest since it seems that a considerable percentage of the population is affected by polymorphisms (6).

**The Weakest Link**

Every element of your physiology must be addressed in order for your body to perform at peak efficiency. Michael Zumpano coined the term Metabolic Optimization in the early eighties to describe his systematic approach to training and nutrition. You can view the metabolic processes as links in a chain. The strength of the entire chain can be compromised by only one weak link.
A significant fraction of the American population appears to not obtain even the Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) of some critical nutrients from their food (7,8). Levels of deficiencies that fall between the RDA and the levels that produce recognized deficiency diseases (Subclinical Deficiencies) can have serious health consequences. Supplementation with specific nutrients has been estimated to be cost effective in preventing disease. Food alone may not provide sufficient micronutrients for preventing deficiency (9). A large proportion of older adults do not consume sufficient amounts of many nutrients. Supplements compensate to some extent, but only an estimated half of this population uses them daily.

When one component in the metabolic micronutrient network is inadequate, repercussions are experienced in a specific biochemical process or even in a large number of processes and can lead to diseases. Many of the carriers of 50 human genetic diseases that are due to defective enzymes can be remedied or ameliorated by the administration of high doses of the vitamin component of the corresponding needed coenzyme, which raises the levels of the coenzyme and at least may partially restore the needed enzymatic activity (10).

**Conclusion**

In most cases, disease results when the individual elects a lifestyle or diet that alters the expression of the genes in such a way that the weakness or uniqueness of inheritance factors result in a phenotype we call disease. That is why we can consider chronic degenerative diseases as inborn errors of metabolism. Metabolic Correction seems as a very logical approach toward attaining the healthy state.
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Introduction

In this brief paper, we review data from the study known as "HPS2-Thrive" and establish our position in refutation of this work.

Summary of Data

HPS2-THRIVE is a recent study of an investigational drug (Tredaptive, Merck) containing both extended release niacin (Niaspan, ERN) and the drug laropiprant, a selective antagonist of the prostaglandin D2 receptor subtype 1 (DP1R), which partially blocks the dermal flushing response to niacin. HPS2-THRIVE randomized 25,673 high-risk patients who could tolerate niacin to either placebo or extended-release niacin (ERN) plus laropiprant (ERNL). The study subjects were all on simvastatin 40 mg/day. The primary endpoint was the time to first major vascular event, defined as the composite of non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) or coronary death, stroke, or any arterial revascularization.

The primary composite endpoint of major vascular events (MVE) was not significantly reduced (risk ratio 0.96, 95% CI: 0.90-1.03, p=0.3) in the active arm. “Serious adverse events” were found in 3% more subjects in the active arm, although most were “minor hyperglycemic problems.” Myopathy generally was uncommon (0.34% per year), but was 4-fold higher overall in the active arm, and 10-fold higher among Chinese subjects.

The study subjects had excellent baseline control of serum lipids on statin therapy (simvastatin 40 mg/day) with an average LDL-C of 63 mg/dl, HDL of 44 mg/dl, and triglycerides of 125 mg/dl. In March 2013, the National Lipid Association (NLA) published their position paper stating that in HPS2-THRIVE, “niacin was clinically irrelevant in the average study subject” and “there was substantial subgroup heterogeneity” and concluded that the investigators “tested a drug in patients who, on average, had no indication to take it.” MVE reduction with ERNL was strongly predicted by baseline LDL-C (heterogeneity p=0.02), with apparent net benefit if LDL-C was above 58 mg/dl at study entry. Therefore and importantly, this study population was not likely to have any significant CVD reduction. Niacin studies, such as the Coronary Drug Project (CDP), have shown significant reductions in cardiovascular events with niacin monotherapy in known CHD. For patients in whom LDL-C or triglycerides are increased, niacin in combination with statins improves both the lipid profile and decreases CV events.

Several clinical benefits of ERNL were noted, including reductions in weight, blood pressure, lipoprotein(a), a significant reduction in arterial revascularization procedures (p= 0.03) and significant reduction in CV risk in the subgroup with the higher baseline LDL cholesterol level (p=0.02). The adherence rate was poor at one year and at the completion of the
study, and this noncompliance may have altered hard CV outcomes. The average age was 64.9 years, and the study population was mostly male. Thus, the data cannot be confidently extrapolated to a younger population nor perhaps to females.

Position

The claim that HPS2-THRIVE proved that niacin induced more harm than the statin arm of the study is not supported by the data. To evaluate this paper, one must consider 1 the participants’ risk at entry, 2 their demographics (especially the Chinese population), 3 known and measured benefits of ERNL, 4 potential harm of laropiprant, 5 research support for the benefits of niacin, and 6 whether the flushing response to niacin correlates with and/or mediates part of its benefit. Unlike other studies using statins and niacin in combination, this study showed increases in serious adverse events (ADE) (3.7% absolute excess adverse events) including:

- Myalgia (0.7%, p<0.001)
- New-onset diabetes (NOD) (1.3%, p<0.001)
- Gastrointestinal problems (1.0%, p<0.001)
- Skin problems (0.3%, p<0.003)
- Infections (1.4%, p<0.001)
- Bleeding (0.7%, p<0.001)

The dose of niacin was high and fixed resulting in dose-related adverse effects. About 43% of the study population were of Chinese descent; this influenced many of the adverse effects, especially the myopathy and skin eruptions.1,4,7 As noted in the original paper, “the absolute risk of myopathy in the placebo group was higher in China than in Europe and the relative risk with ERNL versus placebo was 5.2 in China, as compared to 1.5 in Europe. This is 10x greater in China participants with 50 cases per 10,000 versus 3 cases per 10,000 in Europe.” Overall, the absolute risk of ADEs was low.

Laropiprant may cause adverse effects with either increased or decreased risk of thrombosis.8-14 Laropiprant with aspirin or clopidogrel induces a prolongation of bleeding time and an inhibitory effect on platelet aggregation ex vivo in healthy subjects and in patients with dyslipidemia.13 Stimulation of the prostaglandin D receptors as well metabolites of prostaglandin D2 to prostaglandin J2 must be considered as part of the beneficial effects of niacin and the propensity for flushing which may be beneficial.14-27

In a recent meta-analysis of niacin-CHD studies, definitive benefit from niacin was demonstrated for CVD and CHD.28 This included eleven trials of 9,959 patients showing a reduction in composite endpoints of any CVD by 34% and a reduction of major CHD event by 25%. There was no change in CVA. The magnitude of on-treatment HDL difference between treatment arms was not significantly associated with the magnitude of the effect of niacin on CVD outcomes; thus, niacin’s reduction in CVD events may occur through a mechanism not reflected by changes in HDL or other lipid parameters.29-33 Niacin use over three years increased glucose levels by 5 mg % compared to placebo. There was no increased DM risk.34 Niacin significantly reduced CHD progression and stenosis and other major CV events in 407 subjects in other major clinical trials, including FATS, HATS, AFREGS and CPC clinical trials.3 Also, analysis of the AIM HIGH trial by Guyton et al indicated a CV benefit by niacin in patients with baseline HDL < 32 mg/dl and triglyceride > 200 mg/dl (American Heart Association 2012 Scientific Sessions. November 3-7, 2012; Los Angeles, California). The data from HPS 2 THRIVE does not support harm resulting from the addition of ERNL alone. The data from previous studies as well as the improvement in revascularization procedures in HPS2-THRIVE support CV benefit of ERNL as monotherapy and as additive therapy with statins and other lipid-lowering agents.

Conclusion & Summary of Major Points

1. Niacin remains an efficacious agent for the treatment of dyslipidemia and prevention of CVD as single therapy, and with statins and other lipid-lowering agents with a relatively low side effect profile. Neither the HPS2-THRIVE nor the AIM HIGH studies provide any convincing evidence against the use of niacin in the appropriate clinical situation.

2. The vast majority of clinical trials with niacin alone or niacin with other anti-lipid agents show significant reductions in CVD, CHD and carotid atherosclerosis.

3. In patients not taking statins or those with high LDL levels at baseline (over about 85 mg/dl), high TG over 200 mg/dl and HDL-C < 32 mg/dl, HPS2-THRIVE study results are not likely to be applicable.

4. Laropiprant may have actually been the culprit in the increased incidence of adverse effects; the data do not show that niacin alone was the cause. In addition, Laropiprant may have actually reduced the efficacy of niacin in this trial as noted above.

5. If a patient does not have goal LDL-C, triglycerides, or HDL, then niacin may provide additional
efficacy in LDL reduction, LDL particle number reduction, increase in LDL size, increase in HDL, HDL 2b, HDL particle number, HDL function, reverse cholesterol transport and triglyceride reduction.

6. Patients with CVD and dyslipidemia with HDL < 32 mg/dl and triglyceride > 200 mg/dl may benefit from extended-release niacin added to intensive statin based LDL-C lowering therapy.

7. Niacin may have non-lipoprotein actions that are clinically important to prevent and treat CVD and CHD.
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**Historia y perspectivas**

Como médicos lo que aprendemos en la escuela de medicina acerca de las infecciones virales se resume en los siguientes títulos de cursos: 1) Microbiología, 2) Patología, y 3) Farmacología. Siguiendo estas instrucciones, los tratamientos que usamos son 1) saneamiento, 2) vacunas y 3) medicamentos antivirales, respectivamente. Basado en la formación médica y mi experiencia con otros médicos, les sugiero aquí que más la mayoría de los médicos capacitados son — al menos por su entrenamiento formal — incapaces de ver más allá de las opciones limitadas a las que fueron expuestos. Lo que me gustaría hacer en el presente artículo es ampliar los horizontes conceptuales y terapéuticos mediante una estrategia estructurada antiviral que incluye el saneamiento, vacunación y medicamentos antivirales previamente mencionados, pero que se extiende más allá de estas opciones limitadas. Los datos clínicos (por ejemplo, dosificación y contraindicaciones) de esta estrategia, apoyo y referencias adicionales están disponibles en formato digital constantemente actualizado [1]; el propósito de este artículo es proveer una estrategia para cambiar el paradigma actual de la estructura.

El hecho de que la mayoría de médicos no se les enseña acerca de la ciencia de la nutrición en la Facultad de medicina es conocido públicamente.[2] Por lo general, la mayoría de los estudiantes de medicina leen solamente un capítulo sobre patologías causadas por deficiencias nutricionales extremas, pero aprenden esencialmente nada acerca de nutrición terapéutica y cómo puede ser aplicada en la prevención y tratamiento de la enfermedad. ¿Ignorando nutrición obliga a médicos por desconocimiento a confiar demasiado en medicamentos y cirugía? ¿Sería la salud pública mejor servida si se distribuye información sobre la prevención de infecciones virales y beneficios nutricionales para que los pacientes y médicos por igual tengan más opciones
terapéuticas? ¿Estamos tratando insuficiencias nutricionales con medicamentos?

Lo que me he dado cuenta a través de los diversos programas de doctorado que he asistido es que la capacitación clínica en el tratamiento de infecciones virales sigue siendo en su mayoría fenomenalista y enigmática, en lugar de descifrada y estructurada. Como educador, investigador y escritor, he aprendido a través de la experiencia que para estructurar efectivamente la información de tal manera que la accesibilidad y la retención de la información se ve reforzada por los estudiantes/lectores (por ejemplo el acrónimo MYBESTPLAIDFIG para la inmunomodulación nutricional [3] y FINDSEX® por tratamientos integrativos contra inflamación [4]). Mi propósito principal al escribir este ensayo es demostrar una estrategia única y estructurada antiviral y proporcionar ejemplos representativos de su aplicación práctica.

En lugar de ver las infecciones virales de una manera que es fenomenalista y enigmática y por lo tanto, difícil de manejar, llevando a estrategias de prevención y tratamiento inefectivos, nosotros debemos disminuir la complejidad del proceso infeccioso. Hacerlo – al menos en la forma que he descrito – en la cual nos da cuatro áreas en las cuales podemos enfocar nuestros esfuerzos: 1) contra el virus directamente, 2) bloqueando la replicación viral, 3) apoyando la función inmune y 4) apoyando la salud celular y de todo el cuerpo. Estos son ilustrados en el diagrama adjunto y brevemente descritos y ejemplificados en los cuatro apartados respectivos que siguen.
**Estrategia antiviral multicomponente**

1. **Ataque directo al virus**: Atacar directamente el virus ha sido el foco de los esfuerzos de salud pública y la práctica médica a través de saneamiento, vacunación y – más recientemente – el uso de medicamentos antivirales específicos. Varios nutrientes y productos botánicos también son muy efectivos para atacar directamente las infecciones virales, y daré dos ejemplos aquí. El mineral selenio tiene un amplio margen de seguridad y proporciona beneficios antivirales a través de varios mecanismos, dos de los cuales bloquean la replicación viral y también bloquean la mutación viral; beneficios antiinfecciosos clínicos son probados en seres humanos con VIH/SIDA.[5] La medicina botánica y té de hierbas Glycyrrhiza glabra ha demostrado eficacia antiviral en estudios experimentales y ensayos clínicos en humanos contra varios patógenos virales diferentes, incluyendo el virus de la hepatitis B (VHB), virus de la hepatitis C (VHC), virus del herpes simple (VHS), un virus de influenza, virus de inmunodeficiencia humana (VIH-1), el síndrome respiratorio agudo severo (SARS)-relacionados con el coronavirus, virus respiratorio sincitial, arbovirus, virus de la vacuna y virus de la estomatitis vesicular [6]; este botánico tiene una excelente historia de seguridad que abarca varios miles de años, con pocos efectos adversos incluyendo un efecto de pseudoaldosterona (agotamiento de potasio y retención de sodio) y un descenso de testosterona, efecto y mecanismo de acción incluyendo vía la unión del virus, inhibición de la replicación viral, mejora de la inmunidad, la inhibición de la inflamación y el bloqueo de actividad de enzimas específicas. Botánicos y nutrientes antivirales pueden utilizarse solos, en combinación y junto con medicamentos para beneficios aditivos y sinérgicos.

2. **Bloqueo de la replicación viral**: Inhibición de la replicación viral es el objetivo terapéutico de muchos fármacos antivirales, mientras varios nutrientes también pueden proporcionar un efecto similar. Debido a que los virus son incapaces de replicar por sí solos y por lo tanto deben contar con una maquinaria genética y de síntesis de su anfitrión humano para su replicación, nutrientes que modulan la expresión genética pueden tener valor terapéutico, es decir mediante la metilación del ADN y bloqueo del factor de transcripción NFkB. Los pocos nutrientes que promueven la metilación del ADN y que también han demostrado eficacia clínica contra las infecciones virales incluyen el ácido fólico [7] (ahora utilizado clínicamente en las formas de ácido fólico y metilo y 5 metil folato), vitamina D3 [8], betaina y S-adenosil-metionina.[9] inhibición del NFkB como mecanismo efectivo antiviral ha sido probada, con dos ejemplos: NAC (acetil-l-cisteína) contra gripe [10] y el ácido lipico contra hepatitis viral y el VIH.[11]

3. **Apoyo a la función inmune**: El funcionamiento y regulación del sistema inmune es fuertemente dependiente del estado nutricional óptimo y sin una nutrición adecuada, el sistema inmunitario está inclinado simultáneamente hacia hipoactividad (inmunodepresión inducida por deficiencia o insuficiencia) y la hiperactividad que se manifiesta con inflamación y autoinmunidad.[12] Las carencias son muy comunes en la población general y contribuyen a epidemias de enfermedades infecciosas e inflamatorias. Ensayos clínicos en humanos usando nutrientes solos o en combinación para apoyar la función inmune en general han demostrado eficacia contra las enfermedades infecciosas y con una seguridad excepcional, especialmente el uso de glutamina, proteína, vitamina A, vitamina D, zinc y aceite de pescado.[13] Ha sido demostrado en varios casos que los suplementos nutricionales mejoran la respuesta inmunológica a las vacunas; por ejemplo, fue observado que cistina y teanina aumentan la seroconversión de vacunación contra la influenza en las personas mayores.[14]

4. **Apoyo a la salud celular y corporal**: Las infecciones virales tienen numerosos efectos adversos sobre la salud celular y todo el cuerpo. Consecuencias intracelulares de infecciones virales incluyen la disfunción mitocondrial [15] y estrés del retículo endoplasmático [16], que se manifiesta clínicamente como inflamación prolongada, la fatiga y – probablemente – en el caso de infecciones por hongos, la enfermedad de Alzheimer.[17] Entre las más de 30 intervenciones para mejorar la función mitocondrial y aliviar el estrés del retículo endoplasmático, vemos que el ejercicio, las dietas bajas en carbohidratos, ácido lipico, coenzima Q-10 y acetil-l-carnitina son preeminentes por su seguridad, eficacia y beneficios colaterales.[18] La manipulación osteopatía, quizás mediante la promoción del mejoramiento de la respiración y el flujo linfático y la distribución de las quimiocinas, también ha demostrado beneficio en el mejoramiento no farmacológico de las enfermedades infecciosas.[19]

En resumen, mediante el uso de una estrategia estructurada antiviral, las intervenciones farmacológicas y no farmacológicas pueden aplicarse con mayor eficacia clínica y de salud pública, aliviando las cargas de estas enfermedades infecciosas clínicas, sociales, financieras y políticas.

**Conclusión y aplicación**

Los brotes recientes internacionales de infecciones virales han hecho una cosa muy clara: necesitamos una nueva estrategia antiviral en los tiempos modernos para combatir estos nuevos flagelos virales en curso; la pandemia de propagación de estas infecciones en 2014 es prueba de que las medidas médicas habituales y las de salud pública de saneamiento, la vacunación y medicación son insuficientes. Para la mayoría de médicos y funcionarios de salud pública, éstas han sido las herramientas utilizadas contra las infecciones virales con la más reciente adición de fármacos antivirales molecularmente orientados específicamente para cada virus. Bajo esta premisa la estrategia antiviral ideal sería tanto en general y específicamente eficaz, ampliamente disponible, de bajo costo y con pocos o insignificantes efectos adversos e interacciones. Mi propósito de escribir este ensayo no es discutir, ni debatir el saneamiento ni vacunas, ni medicamentos, sino señalar otras estrategias de intervención que pueden beneficiar el paciente además de la salud pública. Estas intervenciones basadas en evidencia han demostrado seguridad, eficacia y rentabilidad con amplia e inmediata disponibilidad internacional y generalmente insignificantes efectos adversos y no interacciones con medicamentos y enfermedades.
Editor’s note: Given the international viral crises occurring in late 2014, publication and distribution of this article is a priority; the fact that these viral-infection health crises exist is prima facie evidence of the failure of current systems and the need—not for new treatments within the same model—for a new model better suited for international distribution, disease prevention, and broad-spectrum effectiveness.
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History and Perspectives

What we as doctors learn in medical school about viral infections is summarized within the following course titles: Microbiology, Pathology, and Pharmacology. Following this instruction, the treatments that we use are sanitation, vaccination, and antiviral drugs, respectively. Based on training and my experience with other doctors, I suggest here that most medically-trained doctors are—at least per their formal training—unable to see beyond these blinders and limited options. My intention in writing this article is to broaden those conceptual and therapeutic horizons via the outlining of a structured antiviral strategy that includes the previously mentioned sanitation, vaccination and antiviral drugs but extends well beyond those limited options. Additional citations, support, and clinical details (e.g., dosing and contraindications) for this strategy are available in a digital format constantly updated\(^1\); the purpose of this article is to structure the strategy, to shift the paradigm.

The fact that most doctors learn nothing about the science of Nutrition in medical school is well known publicly and within medical school academics.\(^2\) Typically, most medical students read one chapter about pathologies caused by extreme nutritional deficiencies, but they learn essentially nothing about therapeutic nutrition and how it can be applied in the prevention and treatment of disease. Does ignoring Nutrition force doctors by default to overly rely on drugs and surgery? Would not public health be better served if information were distributed on the nutritional prevention of viral infections, so that patients and doctors alike would have more options?

What I have noticed through the various doctorate programs I have attended is that clinical training in the management of viral infections remains mostly
phenomenalistic and enigmatic, rather than deciphered and structured. As an educator, and researcher and writer, I have learned through experience to structure information in such a way that the accessibility and retention of the information is enhanced by students/readers (e.g. the DDIRRT for risk management [e.g., defensive mindset, duration of treatment, interactions, referral, return visit, treatment plan], MYBESTPLAIDFIG for nutritional immunomodulation, and FINDSEX® acronyms). My purpose in writing this essay is to demonstrate a unique and structured antiviral strategy and to provide representative examples of its practical application.
Multicomponent Antiviral Strategy

Rather than viewing viral infections in a manner that is phenomenalistic and enigmatic, and therefore unwieldy, leading to clumsy prevention and treatment strategies, we should deconstruct the complexity of the infectious process. Doing so – at least in the manner that I have described – gives us four areas upon which we can focus our efforts: 1) targeting the virus directly, 2) blocking viral replication, 3) supporting immune function, and 4) supporting cellular and whole-body health. These are illustrated in the accompanying diagram and briefly described and exemplified in the four respective paragraphs that follow.

1. Targeting the virus directly: Targeting the virus directly has been the focus of medical practice and public health efforts through sanitation, vaccination, and –more recently– the use of disease-specific antiviral drugs. Several nutrients and botanicals are also very effective for directly targeting viral infections, and I will provide two examples here. The mineral selenium has a wide margin of safety and provides antiviral benefits through several mechanisms, two of which are blocking viral mutation and also blocking viral replication; anti-infectious clinical benefits are proven in humans with HIV/AIDS. The botanical medicine and common herbal tea licorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra) has demonstrated antiviral effectiveness in experimental studies and human clinical trials against several different pathogenic viruses, including hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), herpes simplex virus (HSV), influenza A virus, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1), severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-related coronavirus, respiratory syncytial virus, arboviruses, vaccinia virus, and vesicular stomatitis virus; this botanical has an excellent history of safety spanning several thousand years, with adverse/beneficial effects including a pseudoaldosterone effect (sodium retention and potassium depletion) and a testosterone-lowering effect, and mechanism of action including via direct virus binding, inhibition of viral replication, enhancement of immunity, inhibition of inflammation, and blocking the activity of specific enzymes. Antiviral nutrients and botanicals can be used alone, in combination, and alongside medications for additive and synergistic benefits.

2. Blocking viral replication: Inhibition of viral replication is the therapeutic goal of many antiviral drugs, while several nutrients can also provide a similar effect. Because viruses are unable to self-replicate and must therefore rely on host/human genetic and synthetic machinery for their replication, nutrients that modulate genetic expression can have therapeutic value here, namely via DNA methylation and blockade of the transcription factor NFkB. The few nutrients which promote DNA methylation and which also have proven clinical effectiveness against viral infections include folic acid (now used clinically in the forms of folinic acid and methylfolate), vitamin D3, betaine and S-adenosylmethionine. Inhibition of the NFkB pathway for antiviral effectiveness is well-documented, with two examples being with NAC against influenza and lipoic acid against viral hepatitis and HIV.

3. Supporting immune function: The performance and regulation of the immune system is heavily dependent on optimal nutritional status, and without proper nutrition, the immune system is slanted simultaneously toward underactivity (deficiency-induced immunosuppression) and hyperactivity manifesting as inflammation and autoimmunity. Nutritional deficiencies are very common in the general population and thereby contribute to epidemics of infectious and inflammatory diseases. Human clinical trials using nutrients alone or in combination to support immune function in general have shown outstanding safety and efficacy against infectious diseases, especially use of glutamine, whey protein isolate, vitamin A, vitamin D, fish oil, and zinc. Nutritional supplementation has been shown in several instances to improve immunological response to vaccinations; for example, cystine and theanine were noted to increase seroconversion of influenza vaccination in elderly persons.

4. Supporting cellular and whole-body health: Viral infections have numerous adverse effects on cellular and whole-body health. Intracellular consequences of viral infections include mitochondrial dysfunction and endoplasmic reticulum stress, manifesting clinically as prolonged inflammation, fatigue and –likely– in the case of herpes simplex infections, Alzheimer’s disease. Among the more than 30 interventions to improve mitochondrial function and alleviate endoplasmic reticulum stress, we see that exercise, low-carbohydrate diets, coenzyme Q-10, lipoic acid, and acetyl-L-carnitine are preeminent in their safety, effectiveness, and collateral benefits. Osteopathic manipulative medicine, perhaps via promotion of improved respiration and lymphatic flow and distribution of chemokines, has also shown benefit in the nonpharmacologic amelioration of infectious disease.

In summary, via the use of a structured antiviral strategy, pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions can be applied with greater clinical and public health effectiveness, thereby alleviating the clinical, social, financial, and political burdens of these infectious diseases.
Conclusion and Application

The recent international outbreaks of viral infections have made one thing very clear: we need a new antiviral strategy in modern times to combat ongoing scourges of viral infections; pandemic spread of these infections in late 2014 is proof that the usual medical and public health measures of sanitation, vaccination, and medication are insufficient. The ideal antiviral strategy would be both generally and specifically effective, widely available, low-cost, with few or negligible adverse effects and drug/disease interactions. For most of medical and public health history, the tools used against viral infections have been sanitation and vaccination, with the more recent addition of molecularly-targeted antiviral drugs specific for each virus. My purpose in writing this essay is not to discuss or debate sanitation nor vaccination nor medication, but rather to point out several other intervention strategies that can be used additionally and to great patient and public health benefit. These evidence-based interventions have proven safety, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness with wide and immediate international availability and generally negligible adverse effects and drug/disease interactions.

Publication history, author disclosures, citation format: The primary goal of this article is to outline a more complete strategy to counter the personal and population-wide impacts of viral infections; representative citations supporting these concepts are provided. This article underwent legitimate peer-review by an international interdisciplinary team of professionals; IJHNFM Editorial Board is listed online ichnfm.org/publications). Dr Vasquez has authored several of the books and articles cited in this article. Dr Vasquez has served as a Lecturer and Researcher for Biotics Research Corporation. Because this is a conceptual essay, citations to literature have been compiled together for efficiency.
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SIBO occurs when bacteria that may (or may not) normally live in the GI tract have overgrown in a location not meant for so many such organisms. This results in the interference of normal digestion and assimilation of food, and may lead to damage of the lining of the small intestine. Unwanted symptoms are common, and if SIBO is left unchecked, a number of serious health challenges could result.
There are numerous factors correlated with dysbiosis, an imbalance of gastrointestinal (GI) microflora. They include a poor diet, physical and/or psychological stress and overuse of antibiotics, among others. Biotics Research has made significant progress in unlocking Nature’s antimicrobial principals, which explain the centuries old use of a variety of herbs and culinary spices to slow food spoilage, and using our skills to provide effective, all natural tools for clinicians.

**A.D.P.®** was created by Biotics Research, harvesting the power of oregano as an all-natural dietary supplement. **A.D.P.®** is a patented, sustained release, emulsified oil of oregano supplement demonstrating proven results to support digestive health and GI function.\(^{(1,2)}\)


**Dysbiocide®** supplies a broad spectrum of targeted botanical compounds in its proprietary blend of herbs and herbal extracts, all documented to possess beneficial properties to support normal gut health.

**Berberine HCl** is isolated from Berberis vulgaris (Barberry). Berberine has a long history of use in both Chinese and Ayurvedic medicine to support gastrointestinal function, and has demonstrated significant activity against a wide variety of organisms.

**FC-Cidal™** is a proprietary blend of unique herbs and herbal extracts, which act to support healthy GI function. Due to its array of terpenoid and polyphenolic compounds, **FC-Cidal™** offers activity against yeasts and molds that may be present in the GI tract.
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"The purpose of life is to live it, to taste experience to the utmost, to reach out eagerly and without fear for newer and richer experience."

Eleanor Roosevelt (1884 - 1962)