

Sexual Addiction

Homosexuality

“The old Puritan idea that the devil tempts men had this remarkable effect, it produced the man of iron who fought; the modern idea of blaming his heredity or his circumstances produces the man who succumbs at once.” (Oswald Chambers, “My Utmost for His Highest,” p127).

You are not going to find here an adversarial attempt to demean or lessen anyone’s views regarding the present cultural & highly politicized pro-gay movement. Nor will you find any attempt to discredit any human being’s inestimable worth, which is not influenced or negated by his or her particular persuasion regarding one’s sexual identity.

This entire website is devoted to those who find their present state of affairs in tremendous inner turmoil because of their natural inclination to engage in behaviors that are not pleasing to themselves. The attempts to prove the “rightness” or “wrongness” or “causes” for same-sex preferences is only an attempt at best, for the jury is still out! And there are not clear-cut, unbiased reasons for or against the behavioral conditions contributing to these conditions.

We do not pretend to know the definitive answers. We only want to make clear our position is that today’s “political climate” cannot be the final answer. We feel that everyone is to be free to live their life as they want, which gives lots of latitude to the pro-gay or the pro-straight movement. “Live and let live” is an appropriate appeal from either position. We are not trying to condemn or criticize anyone for their life-choices; we are attempting to understand what makes us “tick” and what, if anything, can be done to help those who yet proclaim their inalienable right to live as free-willed humans, who can yet make a choice on how they are to live.

There seems to be differences & similarities inherent in the life of the Homosexual and Trans-Gender person.

Homosexuality

Transsexuality

1. Poorly developed relations with same-sex parent and peers

1. Remains attached emotionally, if not literally, to Mom’s soft and very safe world.

2. Deep-seated Envy of Men and overwhelming desire to look like, or have their physical attributes. “I want to have his experience.”

2. Deep-seated envy of girls: “I want to have her experience.”

3. A self-protective pull towards the nurturing mother; away from the distant, angry, unattractive, uninvolved father.

3. Disengaged from the male world & feels out of place there ... and self-protectively finds his solace in becoming just like Mom & females by wearing clothing & adopting mannerisms Dress, and desires of females.

4. An idolatry of becoming identified with ‘Mr. Right,’ who will make all things better.

4. An idolatry of “Ms. Perfect,” & her roles.

5. The “sissy syndrome”...feeling very different from men & more like Mom & her safe, nurturing world/clothes.

5. Becomes more identified with the Female’s world & all that symbolizes Her....clothing, mannerisms, speech, roles, interests, charm, easy life.

6. Deep-seated departure from the threatening masculine; desire to be like men, but feels very different.

6. Feels totally disconnected in his heart from masculine world with chronic returns to what most symbolizes her.

MOMMY & ME

“Bonding takes place when the Mother responds to the needs of the child, the needs for closeness, for being held, for food, and for changing. As a baby experiences needs and the mother’s positive response to those needs, he or she begins to internalize, or take in, an emotional picture of a loving, constant mother. They think, ‘Mommy and me are the same.’

It’s sometimes called symbiosis, a sort of ‘swimming in closeness,’ with mother. This symbiotic union is the reason babies panic when mother isn’t around. No one can comfort them but their mother.” (Boundaries, p. 65., by Townsend & Cloud)

“GOD MADE ME THIS WAY”

Science has become the final word for our present cultural climate. That being the case, why do the professionals feel the need to foster lies drawn from bogus studies to back them up?

“God made you that way...so enjoy your true self and have a party!”

As much as I have tried to align my own thinking to that of the popular-politically-correct press, the fact remains, “There are to date only hypothesis that would support the notion that homosexuality or transsexuality are caused by a genetic connection.” (Consult with NARTH, a national coalition of professionally licensed psychiatrists and psychologists, who have made no verifiable connection between genetics and these two conditions. And they themselves still refer to these as “disorders.”)

GENETIC ARGUMENTS = POOR SCIENCE

One of the most influential forerunners of the present misinformation-generation tried to prove a genetical link-up was Dr. Simon LeVay; who undertook to prove such was the case, using his own life’s experience as his main verification, which were nothing less that his own pre-conceived notions, (not true science!)

“I will prove that homosexuality is an inborn genetical condition, or I will leave science altogether.”

Does this opening statement sound like unbiased scientific inquiry to you? The facts are that he had just lost his gay lover to death, a death caused by AIDS...and was desperately trying to explain or justify his own sexual identity confusion, by a creating a hoax. Think this through and you will have to agree this was and remains at its best, “poor-science.”

He later had to confess that his study was indeed a hoax, an attempt to persuade an unsuspecting public & naive medical community that it was caused by an in-born gene, thereby directly caused by God.

Hence, how can anyone argue with that?

“If God did it, then why should we resist it? In fact, consider it a lovely gift from the Creator.”

The highly-oiled political machinery duped the medical community and the unsuspecting world by this deceptive ploy, this hoax.

However, after the medical community caught on to his deceptions and the government seized his so-called “ground-breaking investigative studies,” it became evident he was committing a devious, self-serving fraud. They then informed him that he’d best recant his position, or be sued for “scientific misconduct.” (note: you do the research ; the incriminating evidence is abundant).

OOPS!...MADE A MISTAKE !

Dr. Levay then proclaimed in his biography, “It is important to stress what I didn’t find. I did not prove that homosexuality is genetic, or find a genetic cause for being gay. I didn’t show that gay men are ‘born that way’ ... the most common mistake people make in interpreting my work. Nor did I locate a ‘gay center in the brain – INAH3 is less likely to be the sole gay nucleus of the part of the chain of nuclei in men and women’s sexual behavior.” (Judith Graham, ed., Current Biography Yearbook, 1996, New York,NY: The HW Wilson Co., 1996), 301.)

FOUNDATIONAL DECEPTION

Eric Pollard, a leading gay activist, back in some of the foundational years for gay political activism countered any notions that would not support the altered scientific conclusions. He insisted that a naive world-populous would blindly accept and fall for the intentional, deceptive ploy of the self-serving, politically-savvy gay activists. In The Washington Blade, a major pro-gay Newspaper, Eric, a leading contributor of the “Act Up Organization” wrote:

“I and the others within our politically active Gay Rights group have learned to apply subversive tactics to accomplish our ends, using any means necessary, drawing our tactics and strategy chiefly from the voluminous Mein Kampf, which we have studied thoroughly as a working model.” (P. 137, The Washington Blade, 1987).

TRANSSEXUALISM

“A condition in which one feels inwardly incongruent in his/her God-given gender identity, or role. Eventual attempts are most likely made to “correct the anatomical mistake” through increasing episodes of cross-dressing, opposite gender hormone ingestion, and eventual Sex Reassignment Surgery (SRS); which constitutes the elimination of the obvious anatomical appendages that define one a girl or a boy, mainly the breasts in females, or gonads and penis of the male. The male will typically undergo bilateral breast augmentation, as well as the surgical creation of a pseudo vaginal opening, which is nothing more than a surgically devised hole which doesn’t communicate with any of the internal organs. Women will often opt for the surgical creation of a pump operated pseudo-phallus, to enable her to have sex with a woman.”

TRANSSEXUAL icon of the 70’s – RENEE RICHARDS

Dr. Renee Richards finally disclosed “her” private thoughts regarding sex change. Remember that this man-turned-woman used to be the poster-child for the transsexual agenda; but is now written off as a deluded failure, one who

should not have had the surgery at all.

“I wish that there could have been an alternative way, but there wasn’t back in 1975. If there was a drug that I could have taken that would have reduced the pressure, I would have been better off staying the way I was – as a totally intact person. I know deep down that I’m a second-class woman. I get a lot of inquiries from would-be transsexuals, but I don’t want anyone to hold me out as an example to follow. Today there are better choices, including medication, for dealing with the compulsion to cross-dress and the depression that comes from gender-confusion. As far as being fulfilled as a woman, I’m not as fulfilled as I dreamed of being. I get a lot of letters from people who are considering having this operation ... and I discourage them all. You’d be better getting on some medications ...or get locked up or do whatever it takes to keep you from being allowed to do something like it.” (Tennis Magazine, March, 1999, “The Liason Legacy,” p 31).

HOMOSEXUALITY & TRANSSEXUALITY

The question that is often posed about same-sex-attractions is, “Is it a sin?” The answer to that is so well defined by what Bill Johnson wrote:

IS THIS A SIN?

“It’s easy to latch onto a rating system or some set of rules that will make it clear what we will and won’t do as a Christian. But no rating system can replace a heart that wants to please God. If we’re to honor God with our choices, we must be willing to carefully scrutinize and evaluate how what we do attests to our love for and obedience to God. We must be willing to wrestle with our standards and often refuse to engage in behaviors that others think is permissible, if not God’s permissive will.

When he was in college, the famous evangelist John Wesley wrote a letter to his mother asking her to give him a clear description of sin. Sounds like he wanted a list of do’s and don’ts. But Mrs. Wesley didn’t give John what he wanted. She gave him something much better. In response, she wrote:

“Take this rule: whatever weakens your reason, impairs the tenderness of your conscience, obscures your sense of God, or takes off the relish of spiritual things; in short, whatever increases the strength and authority of your body over your mind, that thing is sin to you, however innocent it may seem to be in itself. It doesn’t matter how others feel about it; or how popular it is, or how seemingly innocent it appears. If it hardens your heart toward God, if it obscures your awareness of the ugliness of sin and the holiness of God, if it takes the edge off your spiritual hunger, then it’s sin.” (Taken from “Not Even a Hint: Guarding your heart against lust,” by Joshua Harris, Multnomah, 2003).