

# Public Consultation - 22 February 2014

## Summary of Responses

### Station 1 – What is a Neighbourhood Plan?

- Point C – re extra care accommodation. We have already got 4 care homes in the village and even allowing for demographic increase I think we should concentrate on the young. They are our 'seed-coin' for the future. P.S We may end up like a U.S. village for the aged!
- Pathway need to take into account mobility scooter which is causing a problem. Also baby buggies.

### Station 2 – Constraints

- Given the extra bedroom tax currently in place for those single occupiers of 2 bed properties, where is the provision of 1 bed properties for disabled / able bodied single people. Single person households are a growth area.
- Flat empty in Dean Close for over two years. Why delay in doing houses up after the current tenant has left?
- There is a need for 2 bedroom houses to buy for young people who want to stay in the village.
- Kineton desperately needs first time buyer homes. We are looking for in Kineton and due to house prices going up, without first time buyers' house it's difficult.
- "Secure" the view of the Market Square Surgery from the Churchyard through planning constraint.
- Protect the garden behind Court Close (Mill Lane?) against development.
- Identify any businesses which should be considered as eligible Council purchase under latest legislation.
- Why do some villagers have option to move 3 time to a 3 bed house when others are no considered?

### Station 3 – Kineton Parish Information

### Station 4 – Development Control

- The land opposite current Bloor Homes development will be favoured by developers as easiest option or adding to existing Bloor development.
- No to development on Banbury Road adjacent to Walton Fields: Long established farmland; Long residing families / residents; Increase of traffic / congestion around school.
- Instead of building new houses for the elderly, buy the existing properties in the centre of the village when people sell up or pass away.

- High School will need to expand into field next to it (Barton) to accommodate 1,900 homes children from Lighthorne plus additional children from Wellesbourne etc who now cannot go to Stratford High.
- The main need of the village is parking provision. The only possibility is to relocate the Primary School – perhaps with the Secondary School on that site (extended?). This should be the highest priority if the village is to survive with its shops.
- Area behind Court Close (Near Village Hall) might be suitable for a car park??
- Opposed to Gilkes land due to flooding; Garden behind Londis as too dense development.
- Opposed to development behind Glosters Green. Wildlife area housing bats, reptiles etc.
- Build on MOD land or towards Gaydon due to flooding / sewerage issues. Sulby House has been flooded twice. Sewerage comes up in their son's bathroom and also in next doors garden.
- There is a distinct lack of office space in the village for any small business to start up which would lead to employment and increased trade for the shops. I myself am trapped in an overpriced office and my only option is to move out of the village. My clients are 50% village businesses and need to stay local.
- Little Kineton is a hamlet attached to Kineton. It's a stiff climb up and down to walk from Little Kineton to Kineton. OK if you are able. Buses more frequent to Leamington Spa from Kineton.
- There is obviously a need for further housing but think about infrastructure and impact on the environment.
- Housing for the elderly etc should be existing property when it becomes available rather than build new.
- Any future housing development should take full account of future traffic movement in / through the village. Particularly relevant for any 'Barwood' / Kineton High School link.
- There is a need for transport / bus between Kineton and Wellesbourne for people without transport to connect with services to Stratford / Leamington Spa etc.
- Field between Tysoe Road and Red Road next to Little Kineton not for sale occupied by granddaughter, 3 ponies and 2 horses.
- Next to Elm Hurst Road – field consists of superb example of ridge and furrow.
- Land by Kineton Doctors Surgery – Make into car park.
- No sewerage system at Banbury Road. Traffic congestion.

## Station 4 – Renewable Energy

- No Fracking.
- A 'Solar Panel Farm' would not be desirable. Why not use 'Factory' / Industrial / Business Roofs?
- Wind Turbines would be objectionable. Solar Panel Farm X. Fracking is dangerous.
- Solar Panel Farm OK but doesn't give a good return for the upheaval?
- Not in favour of wind turbines, solar panels or Fracking. Suggest improving energy consumption by encouraging insulation of houses, more efficient boilers, lights etc also provide mains connection for GAS to village.
- Not sufficiently knowledgeable to answer. Ask the experts!
- Object to Fracking.
- No objections to wind turbines.
- No objections to solar farm.
- No Fracking. No Wind farms. Solar on roof tops only.
- Fracking is not a good idea unless Kineton residents get earthquake proof damage foundations. Not viable. Wind Turbines in the valley not enough wind. Why not put them above new housing development? Sure they would love to have them.
- Wind Turbines good. Ideal top of Pittern Hill. Kineton needs own sustainable energy source.
- Wind Turbines yes but constraints on number. Solar Panel farm on outside of Parish Boundary on road to Banbury. No Fracking whatsoever. Not enough research has been done into geological impact.
- Wind Turbines as a way to secure future energy sources.
- No turbines. Solar might be ok depends on location. Fracking yes.
- As Kineton lies in a valley wind turbines would be a failure.
- I would take every action possible to ensure that Fracking did not take place in Kineton.
- Solar panels I don't have a problem with perhaps with hedging around.
- No Fracking. Limited wind farms and solar panels.
- No proof as yet that wind farms generate much power. Solar panels a possibility. Fracking?
- No objections to wind turbines. No Fracking
- Don't want any of these within 10 mile radius of Kineton.
- We don't want any turbines, solar panels or fracking in or around our Parish. It is a complete waste of money.
- Wind turbines and solar panels acceptable if sensitively positioned; not in favour of fracking.
- Solar on roof tops only. No solar panels on farmland. No 'industrial' scale wind farms.

- Solar panel ok but please not hideous windmills. Fracking – don't know enough.
- Solar and turbines ok on the assumption of sensitivity. Encourage panels on roof tops. Fracking, lots of hype about this not a lot of sensible debate. In the USA communities benefit from fracking and I would like to see Kineton benefit IF it ever took place but I have no strong objections.
- Wind Turbines – No, too noisy. Solar Panel Farm – ok if suitably screened. Fracking – Is there any shale? If so, no.
- Kineton as a community will need an alternative fuel / source of heating within the next 10 – 15 years for the rural community to survive. LPG / Diesel are not financially sustainable. The solution will have to be cooperative as individual solutions are not financially viable.
- No to Fracking. Ok to solar. No to wind turbines due to environmental impact and visual appearance.
- Wind turbines – expensive, intermittent. Solar panels fine for individuals. Fracking not possible, cement stone and other sedimentary rocks down to old hard rocks.
- Wind turbines must not be developed. Solar ok if not seen from village residence. Fracking okay provided any damage falls to the government.
- Wind turbines suitably sited – do not know parameters but may be necessary. Solar, no problem. Fracking not ideal but future energy needs may override local objections.