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The millimeter-long soil-dwelling nematode Caenorhabditis elegans propels itself by producing undulations that propagate along its body and turns by assuming highly curved shapes. According to our recent study [V. Padmanabhan et al., PLoS ONE 7, e40121 (2012)] all these postures can be accurately described by a piecewise-harmonic-curvature model. We combine this curvature-based description with highly accurate hydrodynamic bead models to evaluate the normalized velocity and turning angles for a worm swimming in an unconfined fluid and in a parallel-wall cell. We find that the worm moves twice as fast and navigates more effectively under a strong confinement, due to the large transverse-to-longitudinal resistance-coefficient ratio resulting from the wall-mediated far-field hydrodynamic coupling between body segments. We also note that the optimal swimming gait is similar to the gait observed for nematodes swimming in high-viscosity fluids. Our bead models allow us to determine the effects of confinement and finite thickness of the body of the nematode on its locomotion. These effects are not accounted for by the classical resistive-force and slender-body theories. © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4816718]

I. INTRODUCTION

Locomotion of small swimming organisms,1, 2 such as bacteria,3 nematodes,4–7 and planktonic species,8 has significant implications for diverse fields of study. For example, fundamental aspects of low-Reynolds-number locomotion are important for understanding long-range transport in swarms of swimmers,9–12 analysis of fouling of submerged surfaces due to formation of bacterial biofilms,13 and description of evolutionary optimization.14 Locomotory mechanisms have also been harnessed in the design of artificial swimmers15, 16 and functional microfluidic devices for biological assays.17–21

A number of recent locomotion studies focused on a submillimeter-size nematode Caenorhabditis elegans.4–7, 22–27 This soil-dwelling worm is a model organism for investigations of genetic regulation and neural control of muscular activity,28 motion,29, 30 and behavior.31–33 Quantitative understanding of nematode locomotion is thus important for the mutant testing and analysis of neuromuscular system. Drug screening assays also benefit from locomotion investigations since motility of C. elegans is often used as a phenotypic readout for drug efficacy.34

C. elegans propels itself by producing sinuous undulation propagating along the body,35 and turns by assuming strongly curved Ω- and loop-shaped body postures.33 The wavelength of the undulation depends on the environment in which the nematode moves. Crawling on smooth surfaces (such as agar in laboratory experiments), C. elegans assumes short-wave W-shaped body postures, and during swimming in water it displays a longer-wave C-shaped body form. Using a simple set of body movements, nematodes efficiently maneuver in diverse environments such as soft moist surfaces, bulk and confined fluids, and complex inhomogeneous media. Typical body shapes of C. elegans are illustrated in Fig. 1.
Recent investigations of the response of \textit{C. elegans} to increased fluid viscosity\textsuperscript{24–26, 37} and confinement pressure\textsuperscript{38} have shown a continuous transition between the long-wave swimming gait in water and a short-wave gait (similar to the crawling gait) in high-viscosity fluids. Understanding of this phenomenon will provide important clues for modeling neural control and biomechanics.

To elucidate this transition, a detailed analysis of hydrodynamics of swimming for a variety of gaits is needed. Using numerical models, this study investigates nematode swimming in different environments. Since experiments are often performed in parallel-wall cells,\textsuperscript{5, 23, 38} we consider swimming in bulk fluids and in fluids confined between two parallel walls. The analysis draws on our recently developed piecewise-harmonic-curvature (PHC) description of worm kinematics.\textsuperscript{36} As discussed in Sec. II, the PHC model allows us to quantitatively describe nematode shapes used in crawling and swimming. It is also a convenient tool to investigate turns.

In Sec. III we formulate a mobility relation for active-particle locomotion, present our hydrodynamic modeling techniques, and discuss the role of confinement in generating hydrodynamic propulsive force. The results for swimming velocity for different nematode gaits are given in Sec. IV. Our analysis of turning maneuvers presented in Sec. V (to our knowledge the first quantitative study of the hydrodynamics of nematode turns) will have important implications for investigations of nematode chemotaxis.\textsuperscript{39}

II. NEMATODE KINEMATICS: PIECEWISE-HARMONIC-CURVATURE REPRESENTATION OF NEMATODE GAIT

\textit{C. elegans} moves forward by producing sinuous undulations and turns by assuming strongly curved body shapes. Deformations of the nematode body take place in two dimensions, i.e., in the
ventral–dorsal plane. The head of the nematode can additionally move normal to this plane, resulting in three-dimensional motion. Here we restrict our analysis to two-dimensional swimming; the effects of normal movements of nematode head will be described elsewhere.

We have recently demonstrated that the gait of crawling and swimming *C. elegans* can be accurately modeled using a piecewise-harmonic expression for its body curvature.\(^{36}\) This PHC representation applies to individual body shapes (including \(W\)-shapes, \(\Omega\)-shapes, and \(C\)-shapes depicted in Fig. 1) as well as to whole tracks of *C. elegans* crawling on agar. We have also shown that a similar description applies to swimming *C. elegans*.

According to the PHC model, the evolution of the body shape is described by the curvature wave propagating along the nematode body,

\[
\kappa_w(s', t) = \kappa(s' + v_s t),
\]

where \(v_s\) is the wave-propagation velocity, \(t\) is the time, and \(s'\) is the coordinate along the nematode body (with \(s' = 0\) and \(s' = L\) denoting the tail and head positions, respectively). The analysis of nematode body postures\(^{36}\) shows that the curvature wave is well represented by the piecewise-harmonic function

\[
\kappa(s) = \begin{cases} 
  A_1 \cos(q_1 s + \phi_1), & s_0 \leq s \leq s_1 \\
  A_2 \cos(q_2 s + \phi_2), & s_1 \leq s \leq s_2 \\
  \cdots & 
\end{cases}
\]

with

\[
s = s' + v_s t,
\]

where \(s_i\) are the mode-change points, and \(A_i\), \(q_i\), and \(\phi_i\) are the amplitude, wavevector, and phase of the mode \(i = 1, 2, \ldots\).

The real-space curves defined by the curvature \(\kappa(s)\) can be obtained by solving Frenet–Serret equations

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}(s) \\ \ddot{y}(s) \end{bmatrix} = \kappa(s) \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{y}(s) \\ \dot{x}(s) \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(x\) and \(y\) are the Cartesian coordinates in the plane of motion, and the dot denotes differentiation with respect to the variable \(s\).

According to Eq. (1), a sequence of nematode’s body postures corresponds to a line segment of length \(L\) sliding with velocity \(v_s\) along a predetermined curve defined by Eq. (4). In addition to sliding along the curve, a swimming nematode undergoes a rigid-body translational and rotational slip with respect to the surrounding medium. This dynamics is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2](image)

**FIG. 2.** Time progressions for a crawling and a swimming nematode performing the same set of body movements: (a) crawling worm (thick line) slides with velocity \(v_s\) along a predetermined curve (thin line); (b) swimming worm undergoes translational and rotational slip superposed with the motion along the curve.
with different values of the normalized amplitude $A/q$ is illustrated in Fig. 3. Changing $q$ at a fixed value of $A/q$ results in rescaling of the whole curve. Single-mode fits (5) to the nematode shapes depicted in the left panels of Fig. 1 are presented in the corresponding right panels. We note that the shape of a nematode of length $L$ at time $t$ is described by three dimensionless parameters: the normalized amplitude $A/q$, dimensionless wavevector $qL$, and the phase $\phi' = \phi + q v_s t$.

As argued in Ref. 36, nematodes use a single mode to move forward, and they switch modes in order to turn. Our analysis of rectilinear swimming (see Sec. IV) explores the entire space of the PHC parameters $A/q$ and $qL$. We determine the dependence of the normalized swimming velocity on the nematode gait, thus providing important insights regarding the gait transition observed for *C. elegans* swimming in highly viscous fluids.24–26, 37 In our discussion of turning maneuvers (see Sec. V) we use a more limited set of parameters, because in a multi-mode system the parameter space is too large to be fully explored. Assuming that the nematode switches from the default $W$-shaped or $C$-shaped forward-locomotion mode [cf. Figs. 1(a) and 1(c)] to $\Omega$-shaped turning mode [cf. Fig. 1(b)] and then reverts to the default mode, we focus on the dependence of the turning angle on the phases at which the PHC modes are switched.

III. NEMATODE HYDRODYNAMICS

A. Balance of forces and torques acting on the nematode body

In our model, the undulating body of the nematode experiences hydrodynamic forces and torques produced by the propagating wave of PHC. Under creeping flow conditions (assumed herein), the total hydrodynamic force $\mathbf{F}$ and torque $\mathbf{T}$ acting on a swimming nematode can be expressed as a superposition of the contribution produced by the predetermined motion with velocity $v_s$ along the line defined by the PHC relation (2) and Frenet–Serret equations (4) [cf. Fig. 2(a)] and the contribution due to the rigid-body translation and rotation with the linear and angular velocities $u^{rb}$ and $\omega^{rb}$ [cf. Fig. 2(b)]. In the creeping flow regime both these terms are given by the linear friction relations. The total force and torque balance can thus be expressed as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{F} \\
\mathbf{T}
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi^{ta} \\
\xi^{ra}
\end{bmatrix} v_s + 
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{\xi}^{tt} \\
\hat{\xi}^{rr}
\end{bmatrix} 
\begin{bmatrix}
u^{rb} \\
\omega^{rb}
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(6)

where $\xi^{\alpha\beta} (\alpha = t, r)$ are the active-force- and active-torque-generation tensors (with superscript $\alpha$ referring to the active contribution), and $\xi^{\alpha\beta} (\alpha, \beta = t, r)$ are the translational and rotational hydrodynamic resistance tensors. All the above tensors depend on the instantaneous posture of the nematode body. Since the nematode is force- and torque-free,

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{F} \\
\mathbf{T}
\end{bmatrix} = 0,
$$

(7)
the friction relation (6) yields the following mobility relation for the rigid-body translation and rotation of an active particle:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \mathbf{u}^\text{rb} \\
    \omega^\text{rb}
\end{bmatrix}
= -\begin{bmatrix}
    \hat{\mu}^tt & \hat{\mu}^tr \\
    \hat{\mu}^rt & \hat{\mu}^rr
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
    \hat{\zeta}^{ta} \\
    \hat{\zeta}^{ra}
\end{bmatrix} v_s,
\]

(8)

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \hat{\mu}^tt & \hat{\mu}^tr \\
    \hat{\mu}^rt & \hat{\mu}^rr
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    \hat{\zeta}^{tt} & \hat{\zeta}^{tr} \\
    \hat{\zeta}^{rt} & \hat{\zeta}^{rr}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\]

(9)

is the mobility matrix for a given nematode posture. In Secs. III B and III C, we describe our methods for evaluating the above matrices using accurate bead-chain models.

B. Bead models

To determine the mobility matrix (9) we model the nematode as an active chain of hydrodynamically interacting spheres. The chain performs a set of motions similar to a sequence of body postures of a real nematode [see Fig. 4(a)]. In addition to the translational motion, the beads rotate to mimic deformation of the interface of an elongated body, as illustrated in Fig. 4(b). In more detail, the bead-chain kinematics is described in Appendix A.

For each chain configuration (in our simulations described by the PHC model), the active-force and friction tensors \(\zeta^{\alpha\beta}\) in Eq. (6) are evaluated from the corresponding hydrodynamic-resistance matrix for a system of hydrodynamically coupled spheres. The bead positions are then updated according to the changing chain configuration and the rigid-body velocity (8). In our simulations, we use the fourth-order Runge–Kutta method for time stepping.

It has been shown that bead-chain models faithfully reproduce hydrodynamic interactions of elongated bodies,\(^{40}\) so we expect that our calculations accurately describe nematode motion. Details of the chain kinematics and the relevant resistance relations are presented in Appendix A.

We consider a nematode swimming in two distinct geometries: (a) an unbounded fluid and (b) the midplane of a parallel-wall channel. In the first case, the hydrodynamic interactions between the beads representing the nematode body are evaluated using the HYDROMULTIPOLE method.\(^{41}\) In the second case we apply the Cartesian-representation (CR) method,\(^{42, 43}\) and we also employ a computationally efficient approximate Hele–Shaw-dipole (HSD) method (see Appendix B).

In our simulations of swimming nematodes we use chains of length \(N = 30\) beads, consistent with the average thickness-to-length ratio of \(C.\ elegans\). Bead models allow us to determine effects of finite body thickness and confining walls on the nematode locomotion. These effects are not accounted for in the standard resistive force theory (RFT)\(^{44, 45}\) and slender-body theory (SBT).\(^{45, 46}\)

FIG. 4. Nematode body modeled as a chain of touching spheres. (a) The spheres follow the curve defined by the PHC model with wave-velocity \(v_s\). (b) Prescribed individual bead rotations mimic the motion of the interface of the nematode.
and a modified SBT for a confined cylinder between parallel walls is inaccurate for geometries relevant for nematode locomotion, as discussed in Sec. III C.

C. Effect of confinement on transverse and longitudinal hydrodynamic forces

Effective undulatory locomotion requires large ratios $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_||$ between the transverse and longitudinal resistance coefficients $\zeta_\perp$ and $\zeta_||$ that describe friction forces acting on segments of an elongated body. As demonstrated in our earlier studies (also see Refs. 47 and 48), the resistance-coefficient ratio is significantly affected by confinement, and can be several times larger in a parallel-wall channel than in unconfined fluid. Here we show that confinement enhances the efficiency of undulatory swimming as a result of this increased resistance-coefficient ratio.

To elucidate the effect of confinement on the propulsion forces in undulatory swimming, we consider the flow field produced by a rigid elongated body in transverse motion through an unconfined fluid and along a flat parallel-wall channel. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 5, an unconfined cylinder produces a long-range flow in the same overall direction as the velocity of the cylinder. The resulting transverse resistance force is relatively low. In the limit of infinite cylinder length, the transverse-to-longitudinal resistance-coefficient ratio logarithmically approaches the asymptotic value $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_|| = 2$, and for finite cylinders it is even smaller.

In contrast, for a long cylinder strongly confined between two parallel walls (as shown in Fig. 6) the transverse resistance is significantly larger. This large resistance stems from the pressure distribution needed to drive fluid flow from the region in front of the cylinder to the region behind it [cf. Fig. 6(b)]. Thus, the cylinder acts as a piston pushing fluid in the direction parallel to the walls. The parabolic flow produced by this elongated-piston effect occurs over a distance comparable to the cylinder length and thus requires a large pressure drop, producing a large resistance force.

The effect of the above hydrodynamic-friction mechanism on the resistance-coefficient ratio $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_||$ is depicted in Figs. 7 and 8 where we show $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_||$ for submerged linear chains of spheres moving in the midplane of a parallel-wall channel. In Fig. 7 the resistance-coefficient ratio is plotted vs. the chain length $N$ for different confinement ratios $H/d$ (where $H$ is the channel width and $d$ is the bead diameter). Figure 8 depicts the dependence of $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_||$ on $H/d$ for varying chain lengths. In addition, Fig. 8 also compares our bead-chain results with the resistance-coefficient ratio calculated using a modified SBT for a confined cylinder with $d \ll H \ll l$, where $d$ is the cylinder diameter and $l$ is the cylinder length. We find that SBT significantly overpredicts the resistance ratio for the systems considered in our study, most likely due to large logarithmic corrections resulting in a narrow validity range of the approximation.

Our calculations show that, consistent with the elongated-piston mechanism, the resistance-coefficient ratio increases with the increasing chain length. The largest ratio is observed at a dimensionless channel separation of $H/d \approx 1.3$. For tighter confinements, the resistance forces are dominated by the lubrication forces between the walls and the particle. The lubrication forces are

FIG. 5. Schematic of the flow field generated by an elongated body dragged in a transverse direction through an unconfined fluid. Overall, the scattered flow is in the same direction as the velocity of the body; the resulting resistance force is moderate.
FIG. 6. The elongated-piston effect: The motion of an elongated body dragged in the transverse direction in a parallel-wall channel produces long-range pressure-driven recirculation pattern. The corresponding pressure drop across the body results in a large resistance force. (a) Side view of the system and (b) top view.

FIG. 7. Ratio $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_\parallel$ between the transverse and longitudinal hydrodynamic-resistance coefficients for a linear chain of equal-size spheres vs. the chain length $N$ for unconfined system (dashed line) and parallel-wall channels (solid lines). Channel width normalized by the bead diameter, $H/d$, is as labeled. The chain moves in the midplane of the channel.

FIG. 8. Ratio $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_\parallel$ between transverse and longitudinal hydrodynamic-resistance coefficients vs. normalized gap width $H/d$. Solid lines represent linear chains of equal-size spheres with chain length $N$, as labeled. Results of a modified slender-body theory for a confined cylinder of diameter $d$ and infinite length are represented by a dotted line. Inset shows a blowup of the region of the moderate values of $H/d$. 
significantly more isotropic than the forces associated with the piston effect; hence the decrease of the friction-coefficient ratio for $H/d < 1.3$. For larger wall separations, more fluid leaks between the particle and walls, which also results in a decrease of $\zeta_\perp/\zeta_{||}$. The decrease is gradual: we find that the elongated-piston effect is fairly strong for $H/d = 3$, and the enhanced transverse resistance is still noticeable even for $H/d = 10$.

The above results suggest that the effectiveness of nematode swimming is strongly affected by confinement. This conclusion is supported by explicit calculations presented in Sec. IV.

**IV. EFFECTIVENESS OF LOCOMOTION FOR DIFFERENT CONFINEMENTS AND NEMATODE GAITS**

Figure 9 illustrates typical trajectories of a swimming nematode in unconfined (left) and confined (right) fluid. In both cases the nematode uses the same sequence of body motions. Each panel shows the path of the nematode tail and three snapshots of body postures separated by the same phase difference. Consistent with the discussion in Sec. III C, the unconfined nematode experiences much more backward slip with respect to the surrounding fluid than the confined one. Hence, the worm is less efficient, i.e., it moves a shorter distance for the same sequence of body postures than the confined nematode. Our numerical results closely resemble trajectories of swimming *C. elegans* depicted in Fig. 1(a) of Ref. 23.

In order to quantify the effect of the hydrodynamic slip on the nematode motion, we define the normalized swimming velocity

$$\gamma_S = \frac{V_C}{v_s}, \tag{10}$$

where $V_C$ denotes the average velocity of the worm and $v_s$ is the propagation velocity of the curvature wave (1) along the nematode body.

Our results for the normalized velocity (10) for different swimming gaits of confined and unconfined nematodes are presented in Figs. 10–13. The nematode gait is characterized by the dimensionless amplitude $A/q$ (which defines the shapes of the no-slip trajectories represented in Fig. 3), and by the wavevector $q$ normalized by the nematode length $L$.

Figures 10 and 11 show the swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ vs. the normalized wavevector $qL$ in unconfined and confined systems, respectively, for several values of the dimensionless amplitude. The insets in Fig. 10 show the nematode shapes corresponding to characteristic parameter values. Since

![FIG. 9. Tail trajectories and snapshots of body positions at equally spaced times for a nematode swimming in unconfined fluid (left) and in the midplane of a parallel-wall channel of normalized width $H/d = 1.3$ (right).](image-url)
the parameter ranges in Figs. 10 and 11 are the same, and the maximal efficiency occurs at a similar value of the wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/q$, the characteristic shapes shown in Fig. 10 also apply to the results depicted in Fig. 11.

We find that the maximal normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ occurs for a similar amplitude but a shorter wavelength $\lambda = \lambda^{\text{max}}$ than the wavelength of a typical $C$-shaped body posture of a nematode swimming in water [as depicted in Fig. 1(c)]. The maximum of $\gamma_S$ occurs for $\lambda^{\text{max}}/L \approx 0.84$, 

FIG. 10. Normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ vs. wavevector $q$ normalized by the worm length $L$ for a nematode swimming in an unconfined fluid. Normalized amplitude $A/q$ is as labeled. Insets show nematode shapes for parameters corresponding to the points indicated by filled circles.

FIG. 11. Normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ vs. wavevector $q$ normalized by the worm length $L$ for a nematode swimming in a midplane of a parallel-wall channel of width (a) $H/d = 3$ and (b) $H/d = 1.3$. Normalized amplitude $A/q$ is as labeled. Results obtained by using the CR method are represented by solid lines and those by the HSD approximation are represented by dashed lines.
whereas a typical C-shaped swimming body posture corresponds to $\lambda/L \approx 1.16$. The wavelength at the maximal velocity is similar to the wavelength of both the W-shaped crawling gait [cf. Fig. 1(a)] and gait observed for C. elegans swimming in a high-viscosity fluid.24–26, 37 Approximate computations using the HSD method yield a slightly smaller wavelength value $\lambda_{\text{max}}/L \approx 0.70$.

For both unconfined and confined systems the normalized swimming velocity drops sharply for small $qL$, because the worm body undergoes only slight deformations for $\lambda/L \gg 1$. The normalized velocity also significantly decreases at short wavelength (large $qL$), because of finite thickness effects. For confined worms, there is an additional contribution to this decrease, resulting from a smaller transverse resistance for short coherently moving body segments (consistent with the elongated-piston mechanism described in Sec. III C). The effects of finite thickness of the nematode’s body on the swimming velocity are explored in more detail in Appendix A.

The dependence of $\gamma_S$ on $A/q$ is depicted in Fig. 12. For each value of $A/q$ and channel width, the wavevector $qL$ corresponds to the maximal value of $\gamma_S$ (cf. Figs. 10 and 11). Figure 12 also shows the normalized velocity (10) for a worm crawling without sideways slip along the trajectories defined by the Frenet–Serret equation (4). We note that even without slip, $\gamma_S$ is smaller than unity, because $V_C$ is the average velocity in the overall direction of motion, whereas $v_s$ is the velocity along the curved nematode path.

Figures 10–13 show that confining a swimming worm in a parallel-wall channel significantly affects the normalized swimming velocity. According to the results plotted in Fig. 13, the normalized velocity $\gamma_S$ is the largest for $H/d \approx 1.3$. The peak of $\gamma_S$ is relatively broad, and for an experimentally relevant value $H/d \approx 3$, the normalized velocity is only 25% smaller than the maximal value (and twice as large as the corresponding result for unconfined fluid, cf. Fig. 12). We note that enhanced swimming velocity has also been theoretically predicted for a cylindrical swimmer in a tube.51

The results shown in Figs. 11–13 have been obtained by using two complementary methods: the highly accurate but numerically expensive CR method42, 43 and the HSD approximation (described in Appendix B), which is much faster and easier to implement. While at large wavevectors and high amplitudes of the undulations the HSD method overpredicts the swimming velocity, this approximation yields good results for moderate values of $qL$ and $A/q$ that are relevant for swimming nematodes.

V. HYDRODYNAMICS OF TURNS

Nematodes navigate their environment by performing a series of turns to move towards the increasing concentration of a chemoattractant52 or in a direction of a favorable-temperature region.53
FIG. 13. Normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ vs. normalized channel width $H/d$ for a nematode swimming in the midplane of a parallel-wall channel for normalized amplitudes $A/q$ as labeled. Results obtained by using the CR method are represented by solid lines and those by the HSD approximation are represented by dashed lines. The normalized wavevector $q_L$ corresponds to the maximal efficiency for a given channel geometry and normalized amplitude.

In our recent paper\textsuperscript{36} we have shown that the nematode C. elegans turns by abruptly changing the amplitude, wavevector, and phase of the PHC function (1). This behavior was thoroughly documented for a crawling C. elegans, but our additional observations suggest that a similar turning mechanism applies to swimming.

In this paper we consider three-mode turns, where the nematode initially moves using a mode typical of forward locomotion, then increases the amplitude to the $\Omega_1$-shaped mode [cf. Fig. 1(b)], and finally returns to the initial forward-locomotion mode:

$$\kappa(s) = \begin{cases} 
A_1 \cos(qs), & s < s_1 \\
A_2 \cos(qs), & s_1 < s < s_2 \\
A_1 \cos(qs), & s_2 < s
\end{cases} .$$

(11)

In our calculations, we use the normalized amplitude $A_1/q = 1$ for the default (forward) mode and $A_2/q = 1.8$ for the turning mode. The normalized wavevector $qL$ and phase $\phi$ during the turn remain unchanged.

As illustrated in Fig. 14(a), the turning angle of a nematode crawling without slip depends on purely geometrical factors: the lines corresponding to different modes (11) are smoothly joined together, and the turning angle $\theta$ is obtained as a combination of the line slopes at the joining points. Hence, evaluating the turning angle only requires explicit integration of Eq. (4) with respect to $s$, with the curvature given by Eq. (11). The turning angle depends on the PHC mode parameters (including the points $s_1$ and $s_2$ where the modes switch), but is independent of the normalized wavevector $qL$.

For a swimming nematode, the turning angle is affected by the rotational slip of the nematode body with respect to the surrounding medium. The slip depends both on the normalized amplitude $A/q$ and the wavevector $qL$ of the curvature wave defining the sequence of shapes assumed by the nematode. The magnitude of the rotational slip also depends on the confinement. Hence, all of the above factors influence the turning angle. The evolution of the worm shape is determined by combining Eq. (11) with Eq. (3) relating the spatial variable $s$ to time.

Turning maneuvers of unconfined and confined swimming nematodes are illustrated in Fig. 14, for both W-shaped and C-shaped nematode gaits. As discussed in Sec. IV, the W-shaped nematode body [Figs. 14(b) and 14(c)] corresponds, approximately, to the gait for which the normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ assumes the maximal value. This shape is characteristic of nematodes crawling and swimming in high-viscosity fluids. The C-shaped body [Figs. 14(d) and 14(e)] corresponds to the gait observed for C. elegans swimming in water, as depicted in Fig. 1(c). The results shown in Fig. 14 indicate that the same sequence of body postures that produces a turn for a nematode...
crawling without slip yields a similar turn for a swimming nematode. However, the turning angle $\theta$ is smaller, especially for the unconfined nematode.

Figure 15 shows the turning angle vs. the point of initial mode change $s_1$ for two values of the turning-mode length $\Delta s = s_2 - s_1$ [with $s_1 = 0$ corresponding to a point where $\kappa(s)$ has a maximum, according to Eq. (11)]. The dependence of $\theta$ on $\Delta s$ for two values of $s_1$ is depicted in Fig. 16. The results indicate that the choice of mode change parameters $s_1$ and $\Delta s$ has a significant

FIG. 15. Angle of turn $\theta$ vs. the normalized point of amplitude change $q\Delta s$ for the length of high-amplitude mode (a) $q\Delta s = \pi/2$ and (b) $q\Delta s = \pi$. Crawling without slip is represented by dotted lines, swimming in unconfined fluid is represented by solid lines, and in parallel-wall channel of width $H/d = 1.3$ is represented by dashed lines; swimming results are presented for $C$-shaped worms with $qL = 5.5$ (thin lines) and $W$-shaped worms with $qL = 9$ (heavy lines). The results for a confined system are evaluated using HSD approximation.
FIG. 16. Angle of turn $\theta$ vs. normalized length of high-amplitude mode $q\Delta s$ for (a) $q\Delta s_1 = 0$ and (b) $q\Delta s_1 = \pi/2$; lines are the same as in Fig. 15.

effect on the turning angle, both in the sign and magnitude. Confined swimming worms consistently perform sharper turns than their unconfined counterparts. The turning angles of worms employing the C-shape and W-shape gaits are similar. We find that the differences between turning angles determined by using the HSD approximation and CR method (not shown) are small, with typical errors not exceeding 14% in the domain explored in our simulations.

The results in Fig. 16 are depicted for two periods of the normalized length of the turning mode $q\Delta s$. For a swimming nematode, the results in the domain $\Delta s < L$ are slightly different than in the subsequent periods where $\Delta s > L$, because in the first period the nematode can accommodate all three (i.e., the initial, turning, and final) modes simultaneously along its body. Such three-mode body postures do not occur for the subsequent periods of $q\Delta s$.

Since the results shown in Fig. 16 indicate that the effect of the three-mode postures on the turning angles is minimal, turning angles can be accurately estimated by combining results for single-mode and two-mode trajectories. Such a simplified approach significantly reduces the size of parameter space needed to fully characterize the turning maneuvers. In our future study of nematode chemotaxis, this simplified method will increase the efficiency of numerical simulations.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Combining our PHC description of the nematode gait and highly accurate hydrodynamic models, we have quantitatively characterized locomotion capabilities of swimming submillimeter-size nematodes. We have investigated the swimming velocity and turning maneuvers for locomotion in unconfined fluid and in a fluid confined by two parallel walls. The swimming effectiveness was characterized by the dimensionless swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ (normalized by the velocity of curvature wave propagating along the nematode body).
We have determined the dependence of the normalized velocity $\gamma_S$ on the wavevector $q$ and amplitude $A$ of the curvature wave. It has been found that the velocity is maximal for the normalized amplitude $A/q \approx 1$, consistent with our earlier experimental study of the gait of a swimming nematode. However, the wavelength $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of the gait corresponding to the maximal velocity is shorter than the experimentally observed wavelength for nematodes swimming in water. We determined that the calculated wavelength is similar to the one characteristic of a nematode swimming in a high-viscosity fluid. In a forthcoming publication, we will show that the choice of swimming gait can be explained by using energy-dissipation considerations: the gait change stems from a different wavelength dependence of the hydrodynamic energy dissipation in the external fluid and the internal dissipation in the nematode body.

Our numerical simulations of nematodes swimming in a parallel-wall channel reveal that confinement can significantly enhance the swimming velocity. This behavior stems from the increased transverse hydrodynamic resistance due to a large pressure drop across the nematode body moving sideways in a narrow space. We find that for the confinement ratio $H/d = 3$ the normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ exceeds by a factor of two the swimming velocity of an unconfined nematode. The effect of the increased swimming velocity should be taken into account in interpretation of experimental observations of nematode locomotion in parallel-plate cells.

The enhanced swimming velocity under strong confinement in a parallel-wall cell was, in fact, observed in recent experiments. Our results provide the explanation of this phenomenon. An increased locomotion efficiency was also seen for C. elegans moving in microfabricated pillar environments. However, in a pillar system C. elegans produces effective propulsion by pushing against mechanical obstacles, whereas the effect described in our study is of purely hydrodynamic origin.

The analysis of turning maneuvers shows that turns in swimming and turns in crawling can be performed by using the same set of body postures. The turning angle is larger for a worm crawling without slip, but the angles in swimming are sufficiently large for effective maneuverability. To our knowledge, this study is the first systematic hydrodynamic investigation of turning maneuvers in undulatory locomotion. Results of our hydrodynamic calculations of swimming speed and turning angles have immediate applications in modeling chemotaxis of nematodes immersed in water, thermotaxis and electroat. We are also using these results to study motor control of a swimming C. elegans.
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APPENDIX A: ACTIVE BEAD-CHAIN MODEL

In our calculations, the body of the nematode is modeled as a long active chain of $N$ touching beads. The chain undergoes deformations that mimic undulatory motion of a swimming worm (cf. Fig. 4). The overall translational and rotational motion of the chain results from the balance of the hydrodynamic forces and torques acting on the beads. Chain kinematics is described in Appendix A 1, and chain hydrodynamics is analyzed in Appendix A 2.

1. Chain kinematics

Consistent with the description of nematode kinematics introduced in Secs. II and III A (see Fig. 2), the beads move along the line $S$ defined by the Frenet–Serret equations (4) with the piecewise-harmonic curvature (2). In the lab coordinate system $O$, the line $S$ rotates and translates with the linear and angular velocities $\mathbf{u}^b$ and $\mathbf{\omega}^b$. Accordingly, the translational and rotational velocities of
each bead,
\[ u_i = u_A^i + u_{rb}^i, \tag{A1a} \]
\[ \omega_i = \omega_A^i + \omega_{rb}^i. \tag{A1b} \]

have the active components \( u_A^i \) and \( \omega_A^i \) associated with the forward motion along the line \( S \), and rigid-body components
\[ u_{rb}^i = u_{rb}^i + \omega_{rb}^i \times R_i, \tag{A2a} \]
\[ \omega_{rb}^i = \omega_{rb}^i, \tag{A2b} \]
where \( R_i \) is the position of the bead \( i \).

The active component of the linear velocity of bead \( i \) is given by the relation
\[ u_A^i = v_s \hat{t}_i, \tag{A3} \]
where \( \hat{t}_i \) is the unit vector tangent to the curve \( S \) at the position of bead \( i \). Relation (A3) corresponds to the active rod moving along the line \( S \) with velocity \( v_s \) and is fully determined by the system geometry. In contrast, the angular velocities of the beads
\[ \omega_A^i = \omega_A^i \hat{e}_z, \tag{A4} \]
(where \( \hat{e}_z \) is the unit vector in the direction \( z \) normal to the plane of motion) are not uniquely determined, except for a chain moving along a line with constant curvature \( \kappa(s) = \kappa_0 \). In this case the angular velocities of all beads are the same,
\[ \omega_i = \kappa_0 v_s, \tag{A5} \]
because the chain moves along the circle as a rigid body. [For a force- and torque-free chain submerged in a fluid the velocity component (A2) compensates for the imposed motion (A4) and (A5), so a circular chain remains at rest.] To verify the accuracy of our approach, we examine three internally consistent bead-rotation models for a flexible chain moving along a line with a varying curvature \( \kappa(s) \). We find that at short wavelengths bead rotations have a large effect on the motion of a chain, but the choice of a specific rotation model does not influence the results in a significant way.

**a. Local-curvature model**

In this model individual bead rotations are evaluated according to the local formula
\[ \omega_A^i = \kappa(s_i) v_s, \tag{A6} \]
where \( s_i \) is the value of the coordinate \( s \) for bead \( i \). The model (A6) satisfies the consistency condition (A5), but it introduces a relative slip of particle surfaces.

**b. Model with no interparticle slip**

Bead angular velocities \( \omega_A^i \) are chosen in such a way that interparticle slip is not present. Accordingly, it is assumed that the angular velocities of the beads satisfy the no-relative-slip condition
\[ \omega_A^i + \omega_A^{i+1} = 2 v_{s,i,i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1, \tag{A7} \]
where \( v_{s,i,i+1} \) is the angular velocity of the vector connecting beads \( i \) and \( i + 1 \), which is computed as
\[ v_{s,i,i+1} = \frac{d \hat{s}_{i,i+1}}{dt} \cdot \hat{n}_{i,i+1}. \tag{A8} \]

Here, \( \hat{s}_{i,i+1} \) denotes the unit vector along the line connecting beads \( i \) and \( i + 1 \), and \( \hat{n}_{i,i+1} \) is the unit vector normal to \( \hat{s}_{i,i+1} \). The system of equations (A7) is solved subject to the boundary condition
\[ \omega_N^i = \kappa(s_N) v_s, \tag{A9} \]
which ensures the consistency condition (A5).
FIG. 17. Normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ vs. wavevector $q$ normalized by the worm length $L$ for a nematode swimming in unconfined fluid. The local-curvature model is represented by the dashed–dotted line, the model with smoothed angular velocity is represented by the dashed line, and the model with no interparticle slip is represented by the dotted line. Solid lines represent resistive force theory and no rotation model as labeled.

c. Model with smoothed angular velocity

This approach aims to more accurately mimic the motion of deformable interface of the nematode for a system with a strongly nonlinear variation of the curvature. To smooth out the effect of rapid curvature variation, the angular velocity of bead $i$ is given as the average rate of rotation of the directors $\hat{s}_{i-1,i}$ and $\hat{s}_{i,i+1}$. Accordingly, the bead angular velocities are given by

$$\omega^A_{i} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{i-1,i} + v_{i,i+1}), \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1. \tag{A10}$$

The angular velocities of the first and last beads are

$$\omega^A_{1} = v_{1,2}, \quad \omega^A_{N} = v_{N-1,N}. \tag{A11}$$

The effect of bead rotation on the swimming speed of an active rod is illustrated in Fig. 17. By comparing the results that include consistent bead-rotation models with a calculation that neglects bead rotations entirely, we find that the angular velocities of the beads have a significant effect on the chain velocity. However, if the bead rotations are properly implemented, discrepancies between various rotation models are small, with appreciable differences occurring only in the regime where the wavevector normalized by the bead diameter is too large, $qd \gtrsim O(1)$. In this regime noticeable differences are expected even for a continuous elongated body, because deformation details are not uniquely determined by the curvature of the centerline alone.

In Fig. 17, the results of the bead-chain model are also compared to the normalized swimming velocity $\gamma_S$ evaluated using the RFT with the resistance-coefficient ratio $\zeta_1/\zeta_|| = 1.45$ (corresponding to a coherently moving 15-bead chain segment). The results indicate that RFT does not capture the decrease of the swimming velocity at short waves, and therefore significantly overpredicts the normalized swimming velocity in this regime.

2. Hydrodynamic interactions

Under creeping-flow conditions, the hydrodynamic force and torque acting on bead $i$ in a chain moving through a viscous fluid are related to linear and angular bead velocities via the $N$-particle friction relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_i \\ T_i \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}^t_{ij} & \tilde{\xi}^r_{ij} \\ \tilde{\xi}^r_{ij} & \tilde{\xi}^r_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_j \\ \omega_j \end{bmatrix}, \tag{A12}$$

where $\tilde{\xi}^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}$ ($\alpha, \beta = t, r$) are the translational ($t$) and rotational ($r$) hydrodynamic resistance coefficients.
The total force acting on the chain is equal to the sum of the forces on individual beads:
\[ \mathbf{F} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_i. \]  
(A13)

The total torque
\[ \mathbf{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{T}_i + \mathbf{R}_i \times \mathbf{F}_i) \]  
(A14)

includes the sum of individual bead torques \( \mathbf{T}_i \) as well as the torque due to the forces acting on the beads. Taking into account the velocity decomposition \( (A1) \), the total force and torque can be represented as a superposition of the active and hydrodynamic-resistance components,
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^A \\ \mathbf{T}^A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^R \\ \mathbf{T}^R \end{bmatrix},
\]
where
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^A \\ \mathbf{T}^A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi^{ia} \\ \xi^{ra} \end{bmatrix} v_s,
\]
(A16)

and
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^R \\ \mathbf{T}^R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\xi}^{tt} & \hat{\xi}^{tr} \\ \hat{\xi}^{rt} & \hat{\xi}^{rr} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^b \\ \hat{\omega}^b \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(A17)

Combining expressions \( (A12)\)–\( (A14) \) with the bead rotation models of Appendix A 1, we find the active-force matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \xi^{ia} \\ \xi^{ra} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{I}} & 0 \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_j & \hat{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\xi}^{tt} & \hat{\xi}^{tr} \\ \hat{\xi}^{rt} & \hat{\xi}^{rr} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{I}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_j \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{u}}^A_j \\ \hat{\omega}^A_j \end{bmatrix},
\]
(A18)

(where \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}^A_j = \mathbf{u}_j^A/v_s \) and \( \hat{\omega}^A_j = \mathbf{\omega}_j^A/v_s \) are the normalized active linear and angular velocities of the particles), and the chain-resistance hydrodynamic matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\xi}^{tt} & \hat{\xi}^{tr} \\ \hat{\xi}^{rt} & \hat{\xi}^{rr} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{I}} & 0 \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_i & \hat{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\xi}^{tt} & \hat{\xi}^{tr} \\ \hat{\xi}^{rt} & \hat{\xi}^{rr} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{I}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_j \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(A19)

In the above equations, \( \hat{\mathbf{I}} \) is the identity tensor, the dagger denotes the transpose, and we use the cross-product operator notation
\[ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_i \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}_i \times \mathbf{A}, \]
(A20)

where \( \mathbf{A} \) is an arbitrary vector. Equations \( (A18) \) and \( (A19) \) provide a link between the active bead-chain model and the hydrodynamic description of nematode locomotion given in Eqs. \( (6) \)–\( (9) \).

For a bead chain moving in an unconfined space, the multiparticle hydrodynamic resistance matrix \( \xi^{ap} \) is evaluated using the HYDROMULTIPOLE method.\(^{41}\) For a parallel-wall geometry we use the CR method.\(^{42, 43}\) In both cases, the lubrication resistance for touching neighboring beads is truncated at a finite gap width \( \epsilon_0 \) between particle surfaces. This truncation allows us to avoid infinite internal friction in the chain. The active force and resistance coefficients \( (A16) \) and \( (A19) \) are non-singular in the limit \( \epsilon_0 \to 0 \). We find that the numerical results for chain motion are insensitive to the value of \( \epsilon_0 \) for \( \epsilon_0 \ll 1 \).

Evaluation of the hydrodynamic-interaction tensors by using the CR method is very accurate but numerically expensive. Thus, we have also developed a less accurate but much faster Hele–Shaw dipole approximation, described in Appendix B. A comparison of HSD results with the CR method, presented in Fig. 11, shows that the HSD approximation provides accurate description of the hydrodynamics of active bead chains at sufficiently long wavelengths.
APPENDIX B: HELE–SHAW DIPOLE APPROXIMATION

1. Interparticle dipolar interactions

An isolated spherical particle \(i\) in the midplane of a parallel-wall channel, moving with the velocity \(u_i\) and subject to the external pressure gradient \(\nabla p^\text{ext}\), experiences the hydrodynamic traction force \(F_i\) and produces the far-field scattered parabolic flow driven by a two-dimensional pressure dipole,\(^43, 56–59\)

\[
p' (\rho^i) = D_i \cdot \rho^i / \rho^i_x^2 \quad \text{(B1)}
\]

Here, \(\rho^i = \rho - \rho_i\) is the lateral position of the field point \(\rho = x \hat{e}_x + y \hat{e}_y\) relative to the lateral particle position \(\rho_i = X_i \hat{e}_x + Y_i \hat{e}_y\), \(\rho = |\rho|\), and \(D_i\) is the dipolar moment of the induced pressure dipole. The hydrodynamic traction force and dipolar moment are linearly related to the particle velocity \(u_i\) and the external pressure gradient at the particle position, \(\nabla p^\text{ext}_i \equiv \nabla p^\text{ext}(\rho_i)\) (B2)

(resulting from the superposition of dipolar pressures (B1) produced by other particles. By combining Eqs. (B3) and (B4), we obtain the relations

\[
F_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{\zeta}_{HSD}^{ij} \cdot u_j \quad \text{(B5a)}
\]

\[
D_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{\zeta}_{HSD}^{ij} \cdot D_j \quad \text{(B5b)}
\]

where \(\hat{\zeta}_{HSD}\) is the dipolar-interaction tensor obtained by taking the gradient of Eq. (B1).

Eliminating the dipole moment \(D_i\) from equations (B5) yields the \(N\)-particle friction relation

\[
F_i = \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \hat{\xi}_{ij}^{HSD} \cdot u_j \quad \text{(B7)}
\]

in the HSD approximation. The hydrodynamic resistance tensor \(\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{HSD}\) can be expressed using the \(N\)-particle matrix relation

\[
\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{HSD} = \xi_0^{tt} \hat{I} + \eta^{-1} \xi_0^{lp} \rho_0^l \hat{g}_{ij} \cdot \hat{g}_{ij}^{-1} \quad \text{(B8)}
\]

where \(\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{HSD}\) and \(\hat{g}_{ij}\) are \(N \times N\) matrices with elements \(\xi_{ij}^{HSD}\) and \(g_{ij}\) \((i, j = 1, \ldots, N)\), and \(\hat{I}\) is the identity matrix in the \(N\)-particle space.
In the HSD approximation, the dynamics of the active chain is described by the active-force and chain-resistance relations (A18) and (A19) with

\[ \tilde{\zeta}^{tt}_{ij} = \tilde{\zeta}^{HSD}_{ij} \]  

(B9a)

for the translational components of the N-particle hydrodynamic resistance matrix, and

\[ \tilde{\zeta}^{tr}_{ij} = \tilde{\zeta}^{rt}_{ij} = \tilde{\zeta}^{rr}_{ij} = 0 \]  

(B9b)

for the components that involve particle rotation. The rotational components (B9b) are neglected in the HSD approximation, because particle rotation in the midplane of the channel does not produce far-field dipolar scattered flow. However, we expect that incorporating short-range rotational effects in future implementations of the HSD method may improve its accuracy at short wavelengths.
TABLE I. Coefficients $\zeta_{00,\parallel}$, $\zeta_{00,\perp}$, and $\zeta_{00}^{pp}$ of the Hele–Shaw dipole approximation (B8) for active chains of touching spheres, for different values of dimensionless channel width.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H/d$</th>
<th>$\zeta_{00,\parallel}$</th>
<th>$\zeta_{00,\perp}$</th>
<th>$\zeta_{00}^{pp}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.85223</td>
<td>0.05176</td>
<td>0.15010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.82923</td>
<td>0.05748</td>
<td>0.15001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.80829</td>
<td>0.06030</td>
<td>0.15032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.79819</td>
<td>0.06347</td>
<td>0.15003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.78857</td>
<td>0.06559</td>
<td>0.14990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.78352</td>
<td>0.06726</td>
<td>0.14976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.78188</td>
<td>0.06910</td>
<td>0.14945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.76290</td>
<td>0.06866</td>
<td>0.14994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.76152</td>
<td>0.07058</td>
<td>0.14948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.75416</td>
<td>0.07068</td>
<td>0.14960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.70692</td>
<td>0.07392</td>
<td>0.14883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.67887</td>
<td>0.07304</td>
<td>0.14705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.65012</td>
<td>0.07020</td>
<td>0.14570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64069</td>
<td>0.06973</td>
<td>0.14323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.56027</td>
<td>0.05441</td>
<td>0.13770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.52446</td>
<td>0.04719</td>
<td>0.13036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.48439</td>
<td>0.03928</td>
<td>0.12826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Application to a system of touching spheres

According to Eq. (B8), the HSD approximation involves three independent numerical coefficients, i.e., $\zeta_{00,\parallel}$, $\zeta_{00,\perp}$, and the product $\zeta_{00}^{pp}$, which describe the single-particle hydrodynamic response (B3) of a particle to its translational motion and to the applied pressure gradient. When the values corresponding to the dynamics of an isolated particle are used for these coefficients, an asymptotic approximation for a system of widely separated particles is obtained. Such an approximation, however, is inaccurate if the interparticle distance is comparable to the channel width.60

In the present study, the HSD approximation is applied outside the far-field asymptotic regime. Therefore, we use an alternative approach, where we treat the coefficients $\zeta_{00,\parallel}$, $\zeta_{00,\perp}$, and $\zeta_{00}^{pp}$ as adjustable parameters. The values of these parameters are determined by fitting the HSD results to accurate CR calculations for the resistance coefficients of rigid linear chains of touching spheres. The same values are then used in our simulations of the motion of active particle chains.

For a given normalized channel width $H/d$, the optimal parameter values are determined by minimizing the fitting error

$$f = \sum_{i=N_{\text{min}}}^{N_{\text{max}}} \left[ \alpha \left( \zeta_{\parallel,\text{CR}}^{(i)} - \zeta_{\parallel,\text{HSD}}^{(i)} \right)^2 + \left( \zeta_{\perp,\text{CR}}^{(i)} - \zeta_{\perp,\text{HSD}}^{(i)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

where $\zeta_{\parallel}$ and $\zeta_{\perp}$ are the lateral and transverse resistance coefficients of chains of different lengths $i$. The indices CR and HSD refer to the results obtained by using the CR algorithm42, 43 and the HSD approximation (B8), respectively.

In our calculations, we have used the summation range from $N_{\text{min}} = 3H/d$ to $N_{\text{max}} = 30$ and the value $\alpha = 4$ for the weight of the lateral resistance component relative to the transverse component. The lower summation limit $N_{\text{min}}$ corresponds to the chain length below which the HSD approximation is not expected to hold, based on the decay distance of the near-field contributions.57, 60 The upper limit $N_{\text{max}}$ is the chain length used in our simulations of nematode locomotion. The fitting error (B10) was minimized by using the conjugate-gradient method.

The results of our calculations are illustrated in Fig. 18, where we compare the HSD approximation with accurate results obtained using the CR method. For sufficiently large chain lengths $N$, the HSD approximation agrees well with the accurate calculations, especially for narrow channels. The error for the resistance-coefficient ratio $\zeta_{\perp}/\zeta_{\parallel}$ is small in the whole range of chain lengths $N$. 


as depicted in Fig. 18(c). The optimal values for the coefficients $\zeta_0^t$, $\zeta_0^{pp}$, and the product $\zeta_0^t \zeta_0^{pp}$ for different channel widths are listed in Table I.

The above fitting procedure optimizes the accuracy of the resistance coefficients for linear chains of spheres. Since the motion of deformable active chains is determined by hydrodynamic interactions of coherently moving chain segments, the HSD approximation yields accurate results for active chains at sufficiently long wavelengths.